


About The New England Common Assessment Program

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level



results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Chariho

Code: 98



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Grade Level Summary Report

District: Chariho
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 98

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
Students enrolled on or after October 1				299			11,164						100			100		
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				293	293	293	10,628	10,672	10,594				98	98	98	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				109	109	51	1,745	2,408	1,172				37	37	17	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				1	1	1	271	311	261				<1	<1	<1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				1	1	1	36	50	28				100	100	100	13	16	11
IEP Students				29	29	29	1,627	1,625	1,612				10	10	10	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				28	27	26	820	841	747				97	93	90	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				6	6	6	536	492	570				2	2	2	5	4	5
State Approved				3	3	3	195	156	189				50	50	50	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				3	3	3	108	108	108				100	100	100	55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	34	35	30				0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0	0	6	8	5
Other				3	3	3	341	336	381				50	50	50	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

	District												State												
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
MATH	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Reading Results

District: Chariho
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 98

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09													
2009-10													
2010-11													
Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT													
2008-09	269	5	0	264	55	21	146	55	47	18	16	6	1147
2009-10	311	5	1	305	96	31	168	55	35	11	6	2	1150
2010-11	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150
Cumulative Total	879	13	4	862	254	29	461	53	119	14	28	3	1149
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Reading Results

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98

REPORTING CATEGORIES	District												State												
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	152	1	2	149	44	30	82	55	21	14	2	1	1149	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	147	2	1	144	59	41	65	45	16	11	4	3	1151	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0									9												
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6									1,803	11	48	24	17	1141							
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2									63	16	41	30	13	1142							
Asian	4	0	0	4									288	26	52	18	4	1148							
Black or African American	4	0	0	4									862	11	46	27	15	1141							
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0									30	3	53	23	20	1140							
White	277	3	2	272	96	35	137	50	33	12	6	2	1151	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	4	0	0	4									166	28	47	20	5	1147							
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	1	1									73	5	19	38	37	1134							
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1									271	1	18	32	48	1131							
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1									26	0	54	38	8	1140							
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0									32	3	53	38	6	1141							
All Other Students	297	3	3	291	103	35	146	50	36	12	6	2	1150	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	34	3	2	29	1	3	11	38	15	52	2	7	1138	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	265	0	1	264	102	39	136	52	22	8	4	2	1152	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	2	1	63	13	21	32	51	14	22	4	6	1146	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	233	1	2	230	90	39	115	50	23	10	2	1	1152	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0									0												
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0									2,652	13	48	25	15	1142							
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	11	0	0	11	2	18	5	45	1	9	3	27	1144	250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	288	3	3	282	101	36	142	50	36	13	3	1	1151	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Mathematics Results

District: Chariho
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 98

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09													
2009-10													
2010-11													
Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT													
2008-09	269	5	0	264	3	1	79	30	96	36	86	33	1136
2009-10	311	5	2	304	7	2	118	39	97	32	82	27	1138
2010-11	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139
Cumulative Total	879	13	5	861	21	2	317	37	293	34	230	27	1138
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98

REPORTING CATEGORIES	District												State												
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	152	1	2	149	7	5	68	46	48	32	26	17	1140	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	147	2	1	144	4	3	52	36	52	36	36	25	1138	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian	4	0	0	4										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	277	3	2	272	11	4	110	40	94	35	57	21	1139	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	4	0	0	4										166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	1	1										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	297	3	3	291	11	4	120	41	99	34	61	21	1139	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	34	3	2	29	0	0	1	3	4	14	24	83	1128	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	265	0	1	264	11	4	119	45	96	36	38	14	1140	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	2	1	63	0	0	18	29	19	30	26	41	1135	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	233	1	2	230	11	5	102	44	81	35	36	16	1140	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	11	0	0	11	0	0	3	27	3	27	5	45	1134	250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	288	3	3	282	11	4	117	41	97	34	57	20	1139	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09													
2009-10													
2010-11													
Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT													
2008-09	269	5	0	264	12	5	117	44	123	47	12	5	6.5
2009-10	311	5	1	305	27	9	201	66	76	25	1	<1	7.5
2010-11	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4
Cumulative Total	879	13	4	862	43	5	435	50	371	43	13	2	6.8
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above	
2008-09	<p>Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.</p>
2009-10	<p>Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.</p>
2010-11	<p>Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.</p>



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

District: Chariho
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 98

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing [§]																
Type of Writing		School					District					State				
		Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)						293	6.4		●		10,594	6.4		●	
	2009-10						37	7.5		▲		1,339	6.5		▲	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11						37	6.1		●		1,327	6.2		●	
	2009-10						40	6.4		▲		1,323	6.2		▲	
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11						38	6.3		●		1,313	6.3		●	
	2009-10						35	7		▲		1,321	6.2		▲	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11						37	6.1		●		1,321	6.6		●	
	2009-10						37	7.5		▲		1,339	6.5		▲	
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11						35	6.5		●		1,328	6.6		●	
	2009-10						36	7.6		▲		1,337	6.8		▲	
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2010-11						38	7.2		●		1,340	6.6		●	
	2009-10						40	7.4		▲		1,326	6.6		▲	

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.
 The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.
 The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.
 § The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.
 The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.
Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2			District		State
			N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			0	0	<1
11	6	5			2	1	<1
10	5	5			2	1	1
9	5	4			2	1	3
8	4	4			61	21	27
7	4	3			54	18	20
6	3	3			121	41	29
5	3	2			26	9	8
4	2	2			25	9	7
3	2	1			0	0	1
2	1	1			0	0	2
0	0	0			0	0	2

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent • details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well • may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence • some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence • generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Writing Results

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98

REPORTING CATEGORIES	District												State												
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	152	1	2	149	3	2	54	36	92	62	0	0	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	147	2	1	144	1	1	63	44	80	56	0	0	6.5	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	4	0	0	4										288	2	54	41	3	6.6						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										852	1	34	56	10	5.7						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	0	17	70	13	5.2						
White	277	3	2	272	4	1	109	40	159	58	0	0	6.4	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races	4	0	0	4										166	1	41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	1	1										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										261	0	11	50	39	3.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										26	0	27	65	8	5.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7						
All Other Students	297	3	3	291	4	1	117	40	170	58	0	0	6.4	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	34	3	2	29	0	0	1	3	28	97	0	0	5.1	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	265	0	1	264	4	2	116	44	144	55	0	0	6.6	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	2	1	63	0	0	22	35	41	65	0	0	6.1	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9						
All Other Students	233	1	2	230	4	2	95	41	131	57	0	0	6.5	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	11	0	0	11	0	0	1	9	10	91	0	0	5.7	250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	288	3	3	282	4	1	116	41	162	57	0	0	6.5	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.