About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to \square students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School:	BEACON Charter School
District:	Beacon Charter
Code:	58-39601



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

					Number								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		46			46			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	46	46	46	46	46	46	10,628	10,672	10,594	100	100	100	100	100	100	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	9	12	9	9	12	9	1,745	2,408	1,172	20	26	20	20	26	20	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	311	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students	8	8	8	8	8	8	1,627	1,625	1,612	17	17	17	17	17	17	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	4	4	4	4	4	4	820	841	747	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	536	492	570	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	5
State Approved	0	0	0	0	0	0	195	156	189							36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	108	108							55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41							21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30							17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1							1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9							6	8	5
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	341	336	381							64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149	46	22	70	9	0	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140	46	9	39	28	24	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2	46	2	65	33	0	7.2	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	53	0	1	52	6	12	32	62	11	21	3	6	1144
2009-10	43	0	0	43	16	37	26	60	1	2	0	0	1153
2010-11	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	1	141	32	23	90	64	16	11	3	2	1148
DISTRICT													
2008-09	53	0	1	52	6	12	32	62	11	21	3	6	1144
2009-10	43	0	0	43	16	37	26	60	1	2	0	0	1153
2010-11	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	1	141	32	23	90	64	16	11	3	2	1148
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total				Perc	ent of	Total	l Pos	ssible	Point	s					
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	3	0 40	5	50	60	70	80	90	100 			
Word ID/Vocabulary	20									•						
Type of Text															S	chool
Literary	42								•						D	istrict
Informational	42							-	•	_				_	— S	tandard rror Bar
Level of Comprehension																
Initial Understanding	31									•	-					
Analysis & Interpretation	53							-	•	_						



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict	-				Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149	46	22	70	9	0	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	10	0	0	10	0	0	8	80	2	20	0	0	1145	10	0	80	20	0	1145	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	36	Ő	Ő	36	10	28	24	67	2	6	Ő	Ő	1150	36	28	67	6	0	1150	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		20	21	0,		Ū	Ű	Ű	1150	0	20	0,	Ŭ	, i	1150	9		10		Ŭ	
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										4						1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino		-	-																	.,					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	1	0	0	1				1		1										288	26	52	18	4	1142
Black or African American	3	0	0	3						1				3						862	11	46	27	15	1140
		0	0																		1				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	-	-	0										0						30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	37	0	0	37	9	24	24	65	4	11	0	0	1149	37	24	65	11	0	1149	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										0						166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1						0						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149	46	22	70	9	0	1149	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	8	0	0	8										8						1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	38	0	Ő	38	9	24	28	74	1	3	0	0	1150	38	24	74	3	0	1150	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
All Other Students	50	0	Ū	50	5	24	20	/4			0	0	1150	50	24	/4	5	0	1150	9,001	52	51	15	4	1145
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	0	0	26	3	12	20	77	3	12	0	0	1148	26	12	77	12	0	1148	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	20	0	0	20	7	35	12	60	1	5	0	0	1151	20	35	60	5	0	1151	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	46	0	Ő	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149	46	22	70	9	0	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	46	0	0	46	10	22	32	70	4	9	0	0	1149	46	22	70	9	0	1149	2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	0	0	0	0		~~~	52	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,				0	~~~	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5			7,976	33	48	13	6	1142
504 Plan																									
	3	0	0	3						1				3						250	20		12		1149
Students with a 504 Plan	43	0	0	43	10	23	29	67	4	9	0	0	1149	43	23	67	9	0	1149	250 10,378	30 28	55 48	12 16	3 8	1149
All Other Students																									

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	53	0	1	52	0	0	9	17	14	27	29	56	1132
2009-10	43	0	0	43	0	0	7	16	27	63	9	21	1137
2010-11	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	1	141	4	3	34	24	54	38	49	35	1136
DISTRICT													
2008-09	53	0	1	52	0	0	9	17	14	27	29	56	1132
2009-10	43	0	0	43	0	0	7	16	27	63	9	21	1137
2010-11	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	1	141	4	3	34	24	54	38	49	35	1136
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134
		÷		-									

	Total				Perce	ent of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	5			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Numbers & Operations	19					—							SchoolDistrict
Geometry & Measurement	41						_						 State Standard
Functions & Algebra	55					-		-					Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•							



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140	46	9	39	28	24	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	10	0	0	10	0	0	4	40	4	40	2	20	1139	10	0	40	40	20	1139	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	36	0	0	36	4	11	14	39	9	25	9	25	1140	36	11	39	25	25	1140	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										4						1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																								1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	1	0	0	1										1						293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	3	0	0	3				1		1				3						865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	37	0	0	37	3	8	15	41	8	22	11	30	1140	37	8	41	22	30	1140	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										0						166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		1				1				0						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140	46	9	39	28	24	1140	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	8	0	0	8		1		1		1				8						1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	38	0	0	38	4	11	15	39	10	26	9	24	1140	38	11	39	26	24	1140	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
																				-,					
SES	20		0	26		10		25		22	_	24		26	10	25	22	24	1110	2 70 4		10	27	50	4424
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	26 20	0	0 0	26 20	3	12 5	9 9	35 45	6 7	23 35	8	31 15	1140 1140	26 20	12 5	35 45	23 35	31 15	1140 1140	3,794 6,878	1	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137
841																									
Migrant		0	0																						
Migrant Students	0	Ŭ Ŭ	0	0		9	10	20	12	20	11	24	1140	0	9	20	20	24	1140	0		20	20	20	1125
All Other Students	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140	46	9	39	28	24	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I	10			10			10	20	12	20		24				20	20	24		2.000		45		60	4422
Students Receiving Title I Services	46	0	0	46	4	9	18	39	13	28	11	24	1140	46	9	39	28	24	1140	2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										0						7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	3	0	0	3	Ι.									3						250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	43	0	0	43	4	9	18	42	12	28	9	21	1141	43	9	42	28	21	1141	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	53	0	3	50	1	2	14	28	33	66	2	4	5.7
2009-10	43	0	0	43	3	7	22	51	18	42	0	0	6.9
2010-11	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	3	139	5	4	66	47	66	47	2	1	6.6
DISTRICT													
2008-09	53	0	3	50	1	2	14	28	33	66	2	4	5.7
2009-10	43	0	0	43	3	7	22	51	18	42	0	0	6.9
2010-11	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2
Cumulative													
Total	142	0	3	139	5	4	66	47	66	47	2	1	6.6
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner, includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results**

School: BEACON Charter School **District:** Beacon Charter State: Rhode Island Code: 58-39601

	A	/erage	Scor	e C	omp	oariso	n by	Туре с	of Writ	ting§							
T				Scł	nool					District					Stat	e	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	()	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	46	7.2			-		46	7.2		•		10,594	6.4			
or a personal experience, bener, or luea.	2009-10	5						5					1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making	2010-11	6						6					1,327	6.2			
inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	6						6					1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	6						6					1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	6						6					1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	7						7					1,321	6.6			
or a personal experience, benef, or face.	2009-10	5						5					1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	5						5					1,328	6.6			
	2009-10	5						5					1,337	6.8			
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	5						5					1,340	6.6		-	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	5						5					1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The \bigcirc shows this year's score and the black bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The \blacktriangle shows last year's score and the gray bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

Score Distribution									Scoring Rubric							
Total	Score	Score	Sch	lool	Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focuses the writing interaction of the strongly stated purpose focus and							
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	 intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics 							
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1		• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
11	6	5	1	2	1	2	<1	5								
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent							
9	5	4	3	7	3	7	3	4	 burpose is evident, locus/controlling idea may not be maintained signification organized and content of edetails are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics 							
8	4	4	21	46	21	46	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	6	13	6	13	20	3	 some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics 							
6	3	3	10	22	10	22	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	3	7	3	7	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	2	4	2	4	7		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2									
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:BEACON Charter SchoolDistrict:Beacon CharterState:Rhode IslandCode:58-39601

	School													rict	State										
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2	46	2	65	33	0	7.2	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	5	50	0	0	6.6	10	0	50	50	0	6.6	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	36	0	0	36	1	3	25	69	10	28	Ő	Ő	7.4	36	3	69	28	Ő	7.4	5,246		56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			25	05		20		0	/	0		05	20	Ū	7.4	9		50	55	5	0.7
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										4						1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino		, in the second se	Ĭ											· ·						.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	1	0	0	1																288	2	42 54	41	3	6.6
	1 1		0											3						852		34	56	10	5.7
Black or African American	3	0	-	3																	1 1				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	37	0	0	37	1	3	24	65	12	32	0	0	7.2	37	3	65	32	0	7.2	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										0						166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0			1			33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2	46	2	65	33	0	7.2	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	8	0	0	8										8						1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	38	0	0	38	1	3	27	71	10	26	0	0	7.4	38	3	71	26	0	7.4	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
All other students	50	0	0	50			21			20	0	0	/.4	30	5	/1	20	0	7.4	0,902		55	41	5	0.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	0	0	26	0	0	17	65	9	35	0	0	7.1	26	0	65	35	0	7.1	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	20	0	0	20	1	5	13	65	6	30	0	0	7.3	20	5	65	30	0	7.3	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0				1		0			1		
All Other Students	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2	46	2	65	33	0	7.2	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	46	0	0	46	1	2	30	65	15	33	0	0	7.2	46	2	65	33	0	7.2	2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	0	0	0	40		4	50	05				U	1.2	0	L 2	05			1.2	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
			Ĵ											ľ						.,					
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan	3	0	0	3										3						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
	43	0	0		1	2	20	67	12	20	0	0	7.7	43	2	67	20	0	7.7		1		40	5	
All Other Students	43	U		43		2	29	67	13	30	U	0	7.3	43	2	67	30	U	7.3	10,344		50	44	Э	6.4
					1									1					1	1			1		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient