# About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to  $\square$ students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



## **Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **School Results**

School:	West Warwick High School
District:	West Warwick
Code:	38-38106



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

					Numbei	ſ							Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		285			292			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	243	240	242	246	243	245	10,628	10,672	10,594	85	84	85	84	83	84	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	101	126	57	104	129	60	1,745	2,408	1,172	42	53	24	42	53	24	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	311	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students	43	42	43	46	45	46	1,627	1,625	1,612	18	18	18	19	19	19	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	11	12	12	14	15	15	820	841	747	26	29	28	30	33	33	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	42	45	43	46	49	47	536	492	570	15	16	15	16	17	16	5	4	5
State Approved	5	5	5	5	5	5	195	156	189	12	11	12	11	10	11	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	4	4	4	4	4	4	108	108	108	80	80	80	80	80	80	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	34	35	30	20	20	20	20	20	20	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	37	40	38	41	44	42	341	336	381	88	89	88	89	90	89	64	68	67

#### NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	285	5	37	243	81	33	117	48	32	13	13	5	1150	246	33	48	14	6	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	285	5	40	240	5	2	68	28	73	30	94	39	1135	243	2	28	30	40	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	285	5	38	242	0	0	131	54	105	43	6	2	6.6	245	0	53	43	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	334	4	19	311	42	14	157	50	79	25	33	11	1143
2009-10	254	10	16	228	47	21	101	44	58	25	22	10	1145
2010-11	285	5	37	243	81	33	117	48	32	13	13	5	1150
Cumulative													
Total	873	19	72	782	170	22	375	48	169	22	68	9	1146
DISTRICT													
2008-09	335	4	19	312	42	13	157	50	79	25	34	11	1143
2009-10	258	10	18	230	47	20	102	44	58	25	23	10	1145
2010-11	292	5	41	246	81	33	117	48	34	14	14	6	1149
Cumulative													
Total	885	19	78	788	170	22	376	48	171	22	71	9	1145
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total				Perce	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	-			
Type of Text													School
Literary	42								-				<ul> <li>District</li> <li>State</li> </ul>
Informational	42							*					Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	31							+	●- ▲- ◆				
Analysis & Interpretation	53							• •					



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	285	5	37	243	81	33	117	48	32	13	13	5	1150	246	33	48	14	6	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	162	3	25	134	37	28	65	49	25	19	7	5	1148	136	27	48	19	6	1148	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female Not Reported	123 0	2 0	12 0	109 0	44	40	52	48	7	6	6	6	1152	110 0	40	47	7	5	1151	5,255 9	34	46	14	6	1149
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	31	0	2	29	4	14	20	69	3	10	2	7	1146	29	14	69	10	7	1146	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	1	0										0						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	6	0	0	6										6						288	26	52	18	4	1142
Black or African American	10	0	4	6										6						862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	229	5	29	195	70	36	90	46	24	12	11	6	1150	198	35	45	13	6	1150	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	8	0	1 0	7										7						166 73	28 5	47 19	20 38	5 37	1147 1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	1	0										0						271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	Ő	0	1										1						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	283	5	36	242	81	33	116	48	32	13	13	5	1150	245	33	47	14	6	1149	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	61	4	14	43	0	0	13	30	19	44	11	26	1134	46	0	28	46	26	1134	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	224	1	23	200	81	41	104	52	13	7	2	1	1153	200	41	52	7	1	1153	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	104	2	15 22	87 156	17 64	20 41	44 73	51 47	18 14	21 9	8	9	1145	90 156	19 41	49 47	22 9	10 3	1144	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24 11	14 5	1142 1150
		5		150								5					5		1.02	0,000	50	10			
Migrant Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	285	5	37	243	81	33	117	48	32	13	13	5	1150	246	33	48	14	6	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	285	5	37	243	81	33	117	48	32	13	13	5	1150	246	33	48	14	6	1149	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	10	0	2	8										8						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	275	5	35	235	79	34	113	48	30	13	13	6	1150	238	33	47	13	6	1149	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	334	4	19	311	1	<1	67	22	91	29	152	49	1133
2009-10	254	10	16	228	1	<1	53	23	79	35	95	42	1134
2010-11	285	5	40	240	5	2	68	28	73	30	94	39	1135
Cumulative													
Total	873	19	75	779	7	1	188	24	243	31	341	44	1134
DISTRICT										•			
2008-09	335	4	19	312	1	<1	67	21	91	29	153	49	1133
2009-10	258	10	19	229	1	<1	53	23	79	34	96	42	1134
2010-11	292	5	44	243	5	2	68	28	74	30	96	40	1135
Cumulative													
Total	885	19	82	784	7	1	188	24	244	31	345	44	1134
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134
				•									

	Total				Perce	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Numbers & Operations	19				•									ichool District
Geometry & Measurement	41				-								♦ S	itate
Functions & Algebra	55					+ +								Fror Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•								



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	285	5	40	240	5	2	68	28	73	30	94	39	1135	243	2	28	30	40	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	162	3	26	133	5	4	39	29	30	23	59 35	44	1135	135	4	29 27	23 40	44 33	1135	5,386	3 2	31	28	38 39	1135
Female Not Reported	123 0	2 0	14 0	107 0		0	29	27	43	40	35	33	1136	108 0	0	27	40	33	1136	5,277 9	2	29	30	39	1135
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	31	0	2	29	0	0	5	17	13	45	11	38	1134	29	0	17	45	38	1134	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	1	0										0						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	6	0	0	6										6						293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	10	0	4	6										6						865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White	0 229	0	0 32	0 192	4	2	57	30	55	29	76	40	1135	0 195	2	29	29	40	1135	29 7,342	0 3	21 37	24 31	55 29	1129 1137
Two or more races	8	0	52 1	7	4	2	57	50	55	29	70	40	1155	7	2	29	29	40	1155	166	1	23	31	45	1137
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	Ő	0	Ő										0						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	1	0										0						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 283	5	0 39	0 239	5	2	68	28	72	30	94	39	1135	0 242	2	28	30	40	1135	33 10,302	0 3	12 31	24 30	64 37	1130 1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	61	4	15	42	0	0	0	0	3	7	39	93	1123	45	0	0	9	91	1123	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	224	1	25	198	5	3	68	34	70	35	55	28	1138	198	3	34	35	28	1138	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	104 181	2 3	18 22	84 156	1 4	1	13 55	15 35	26 47	31 30	44 50	52 32	1132 1137	87 156	1	15 35	31 30	53 32	1132 1137	3,794 6,878	1 4	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	285	5	40	240	5	2	68	28	73	30	94	39	1135	243	2	28	30	40	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0	_	_								0						2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	285	5	40	240	5	2	68	28	73	30	94	39	1135	243	2	28	30	40	1135	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
504 Plan	40		2																	250		22	~ ~ 7		1407
Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	10 275	0	2 38	8 232	5	2	67	29	71	31	89	38	1135	8 235	2	29	31	39	1135	250 10,422	4	32 30	37 29	27 39	1137 1135
	215	,	50	2.52			0/	2.5	''		0.5	50		255	L 4	23	51	1 22		10,422		50	2.5	55	'''''

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	334	4	19	311	19	6	103	33	165	53	24	8	6.2
2009-10	254	10	16	228	15	7	113	50	85	37	15	7	6.7
2010-11	285	5	38	242	0	0	131	54	105	43	6	2	6.6
Cumulative			70	704									
Total	873	19	73	781	34	4	347	44	355	45	45	6	6.5
DISTRICT													
2008-09	335	4	19	312	19	6	103	33	165	53	25	8	6.2
2009-10	258	10	18	230	15	7	114	50	86	37	15	7	6.7
2010-11	292	5	42	245	0	0	131	53	106	43	8	3	6.5
Cumulative													
Total	885	19	79	787	34	4	348	44	357	45	48	6	6.4
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results** 

School: West Warwick High School **District:** West Warwick State: Rhode Island Code: 38-38106

	A	verage	Scor	e C	Compariso	n by	Туре с	of Writ	ing⁵							
Tune of Muiting				Scł	nool			I	Distri	ct				Stat	e	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	(	) 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	242	6.6		•		245	6.5		-		10,594	6.4			
or a personal experience, benci, or need.	2009-10	31	6.6				31	6.6		-		1,339	6.5			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	31	5.9				31	5.9		-•-		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	30	6				30	6				1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	29	7.1		-		29	7.1		-		1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	28	6.6				28	6.6				1,321	6.2		-	
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	31	6.8		•		32	6.7		-		1,321	6.6			
or a personal experience, bene, or need.	2009-10	31	6.6				31	6.6				1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	32	6.7				33	6.6				1,328	6.6			
	2009-10	28	6.3				28	6.3				1,337	6.8		_	
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view to change their minde about something, or to act in a	2010-11	26	6.9				26	6.9				1,340	6.6			
sive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept t of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a o way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer rts an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	27	6				27	6				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The  $\bigcirc$  shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The  $\blacktriangle$  shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

			Score Dis	stribution				Scoring Rubric								
Total	Score	Score	Sch	lool	Dis	trict	State		• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writin							
Score	1	2 N 70 N 70 78	6	<ul> <li>intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>												
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • well-organized and • well-organized							
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent							
9	5	4	8	3	8	3	3	4	• details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     • may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
8	4	4	67	28	67	27	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	56	23	56	23	20	3	<ul> <li>some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>							
6	3	3	69	29	69	28	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	15	6	15	6	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	21	9	22	9	7		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	4	2	5	2	1		information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	2	1	3	1	2	0	Deserves is totally incoment or implement							
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:West Warwick High SchoolDistrict:West WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:38-38106

	School														State										
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	285	5	38	242	0	0	131	54	105	43	6	2	6.6	245	0	53	43	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	162	3	26	133	0	0	64	48	65	49	4	3	6.4	135	0	47	49	4	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	123	2	12	109	0	0	67	61	40	37	2	2	6.8	110	0	61	36	3	6.8	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	31	0	2	29	0	0	15	52	14	48	0	0	6.5	29	0	52	48	0	6.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino		Ŭ	-	25	ľ			52			Ű	Ŭ			ľ	52		, i	0.5	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		57	52		5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	1	0										0						64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	6	0	0	6		1								6						288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	10	0	4	6										6						852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	229	5	30	194	0	0	106	55	83	43	5	3	6.6	197	0	54	43	4	6.5	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	8	0	1	7	0		100	1 35	05	45			0.0	7	0		45		0.5	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student		0	1	0				1						0						261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1				1		1				1						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	283	5	37	241	0	0	131	54	104	43	6	2	6.6	244	0	54	43	3	6.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	61	4	14	43	0	0	6	14	31	72	6	14	5.0	46	0	13	70	17	4.9	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	224	1	24	199	0	0	125	63	74	37	0	0	6.9	199	0	63	37	0	6.9	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
CTC																									
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	104	2	16	86	0	0	30	35	53	62	3	3	6.0	89	0	34	61	6	5.9	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	181	3	22	156	0	0	101	65	52	33	3	2	6.9	156	0	65	33	2	6.9	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant	0		0																						
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0			121	E 4	105	12		2		0		52	42			0	1	50	44		
All Other Students	285	5	38	242	0	0	131	54	105	43	6	2	6.6	245	0	53	43	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	285	5	38	242	0	0	131	54	105	43	6	2	6.6	245	0	53	43	3	6.5	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	10	0	2	8										8						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	275	5	36	234	0	0	128	55	100	43	6	3	6.6	237	0	54	43	3	6.5	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
	1 613																								1 0.7

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient