## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



# Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011** 

### **School Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District**: Westerly

**Code:** 36-36104



## **Grade Level Summary Report**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Pe	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	:		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		250			251			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	245	247	245	246	248	245	10,628	10,672	10,594	98	99	98	98	99	98	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	36	94	31	37	95	31	1,745	2,408	1,172	15	38	13	15	38	13	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	6	6	6	6	6	6	271	311	261	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	4	2	2	4	2	2	36	50	28	67	33	33	67	33	33	13	16	11
IEP Students	39	39	39	40	40	39	1,627	1,625	1,612	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	23	25	25	24	26	25	820	841	747	59	64	64	60	65	64	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	5	3	5	5	3	6	536	492	570	2	1	2	2	1	2	5	4	5
State Approved	4	2	4	4	2	4	195	156	189	80	67	80	80	67	67	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	2	2	2	2	2	2	108	108	108	50	100	50	50	100	50	55	69	57
First Year LEP	2	0	2	2	0	2	40	0	41	50	0	50	50	0	50	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	1	1	1	1	1	2	341	336	381	20	33	20	20	33	33	64	68	67

#### **NECAP RESULTS**

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	250	4	1	245	82	33	114	47	38	16	11	4	1150	246	33	46	15	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	250	2	1	247	8	3	95	38	73	30	71	29	1137	248	3	38	29	29	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	250	4	1	245	4	2	133	54	105	43	3	1	6.8	245	2	54	43	1	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## **Reading Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	245	4	0	241	48	20	139	58	37	15	17	7	1147
2009-10	272	5	3	264	77	29	138	52	29	11	20	8	1148
2010-11	250	4	1	245	82	33	114	47	38	16	11	4	1150
Cumulative													
Total	767	13	4	750	207	28	391	52	104	14	48	6	1148
DISTRICT													
2008-09	249	4	0	245	48	20	140	57	39	16	18	7	1146
2009-10	274	5	3	266	77	29	139	52	29	11	21	8	1148
2010-11	251	4	1	246	82	33	114	46	38	15	12	5	1149
Cumulative													
Total	774	13	4	757	207	27	393	52	106	14	51	7	1148
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			ı	Percer	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	*		
ype of Text												
Literary	42							<u>-</u> 2				
Informational	42							*	-			
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31								*			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							*				



## **Disaggregated Reading Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

District: Westerly
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 36-36104

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	/el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	250	4	1	245	82	33	114	47	38	16	11	4	1150	246	33	46	15	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	131	1	1	129	38	29	63	49	24	19	4	3	1149	130	29	48	18	4	1149	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	119	3	0	116	44	38	51	44	14	12	7	6	1150	116	38	44	12	6	1150	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0					''					0						9				-	
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	0	7										7						1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																				"					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3				1						3						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	20	3	0	17	5	29	8	47	4	24	0	0	1150	17	29	47	24	0	1150	288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	7	0	0	7										7			İ			862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	207	1	1	205	72	35	95	46	28	14	10	5	1150	206	35	46	14	5	1150	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	6	0	0	6	'-	33	33	10	20	1 ''	'		1130	6	33	10		1	1130	166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	6	0	0	6										6						271	1	18	32	48	1131
	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0								İ										53	i	i	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	244	4	1	239	81	34	110	46	37	15	11	5	1150	0 240	34	46	15	5	1149	32 10,299	3 29	49	38 15	6 7	1141
IEP																									
	41	_		20	1 ,	_	1.4	3.0	1.5	20	_	24	1120	1 40	-	25	20	22	1120	1 (27	4			21	1125
Students with an IEP	41	2	0	39	2	5	14	36	15	38	8	21	1138	40	5	35	38	23	1138	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	209	2	1	206	80	39	100	49	23	11	3	1	1152	206	39	49	11	1	1152	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	63	1	0	62	13	21	29	47	14	23	6	10	1145	63	21	46	22	11	1144	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	187	3	1	183	69	38	85	46	24	13	5	3	1151	183	38	46	13	3	1151	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0		İ			
All Other Students	250	4	1	245	82	33	114	47	38	16	11	4	1150	246	33	46	15	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1 1	0	0	1										<b>l</b> 1						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	249	4	1	244	82	34	114	47	37	15	11	5	1150	245	33	47	15	5	1149	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	0	6										6						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	244	4	1	239	81	34	110	46	37	15	11	5	1150	240	34	46	15	5	1150	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147
All Other Students	244	1 4	'	233	01	; J4	1110	40	1 2/	1 17		, ,	I IIJU	240	J4	40	1 1 1	; )	11170	10,570	20	40	10	, 0	114/

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### **Mathematics Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	245	3	0	242	2	1	78	32	83	34	79	33	1136
2009-10	272	5	4	263	6	2	93	35	87	33	77	29	1137
2010-11	250	2	1	247	8	3	95	38	73	30	71	29	1137
Cumulative													
Total	767	10	5	752	16	2	266	35	243	32	227	30	1137
DISTRICT													
2008-09	249	3	0	246	2	1	78	32	83	34	83	34	1135
2009-10	274	5	4	265	6	2	93	35	87	33	79	30	1137
2010-11	251	2	1	248	8	3	95	38	73	29	72	29	1137
Cumulative													
Total	774	10	5	759	16	2	266	35	243	32	234	31	1136
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134

	Total			1	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 I		
Numbers & Operations	19		1		<b>→</b> -	<b>-</b>							•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			•							<b>*</b>	State
Functions & Algebra	55		1			•	•							Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					*								



## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 36-36104

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	250	2	1	247	8	3	95	38	73	30	71	29	1137	248	3	38	29	29	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	131	1	1	129	3	2	57	44	36	28	33	26	1138	130	2	44	28	26	1138	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	119	1	0	118	5	4	38	32	37	31	38	32	1137	118	4	32	31	32	1137	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	0	7										7						1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino	_																			6.4			20		4422
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3	,	1.		42	_	21	_	20	1140	3	11	42	21	20	1110	64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	20 7	0	0	19 7	2	11	8	42	4	21	5	26	1140	19 7	11	42	21	26	1140	293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American			1 -											l '						865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White	0	0	0	0			00	20		20		20	1127	0	٦	20	20	20	1127	29	0	21	24	55	1129
	207	'		205	6	3	80	39	60	29	59	29	1137	206	3	39	29	29	1137	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	6 0	0	0	6										6 0						166 76	1 0	23 8	31 13	45 79	1135 1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	6	0	0	6										6						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0		İ				26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	244	2	1	241	8	3	92	38	71	29	70	29	1137	242	3	38	29	29	1137	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	41	2	0	39	0	0	3	8	4	10	32	82	1125	40	0	8	10	83	1125	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	209	0	1	208	8	4	92	44	69	33	39	19	1140	208	4	44	33	19	1140	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	63	0	0	63	1	2	20	32	18	29	24	38	1135	64	2	31	28	39	1135	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	187	2	1	184	7	4	75	41	55	30	47	26	1138	184	4	41	30	26	1138	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	250	2	1	247	8	3	95	38	73	30	71	29	1137	248	3	38	29	29	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1		1								1 1		1				2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	249	2	1	246	8	3	95	39	73	30	70	28	1137	247	3	38	30	29	1137	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	0	6										6						250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	244	2	1	241	8	3	95	39	70	29	68	28	1138	242	3	39	29	29	1137	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135
		1 4	1 1	441		, ,	1 22	, ,,,	1 / 0	. 20	1 00	. 20	1 1120	444			. 20		1 112/	10,422			. 43	, ,,,	1 1122

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Writing Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	245	4	0	241	13	5	116	48	103	43	9	4	6.6
2009-10	272	5	4	263	25	10	132	50	96	37	10	4	7.0
2010-11	250	4	1	245	4	2	133	54	105	43	3	1	6.8
Cumulative													
Total	767	13	5	749	42	6	381	51	304	41	22	3	6.8
DISTRICT													
2008-09	249	5	0	244	13	5	116	48	105	43	10	4	6.6
2009-10	274	5	4	265	25	9	132	50	97	37	11	4	7.0
2010-11	251	4	2	245	4	2	133	54	105	43	3	1	6.8
Cumulative													
Total	774	14	6	754	42	6	381	51	307	41	24	3	6.8
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



## **Writing Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

#### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

Type of Writing				Scł	nool			С	istr	ict				State	}	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	(	0 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	245	6.8		•		245	6.8		•		10,594	6.4		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or face.	2009-10	32	6.8				32	6.8				1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	34	6.1		•		34	6.1		•		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	29	6.2				29	6.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	30	6.7		•		30	6.7		•		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	33	6.6		4		34	6.5				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	32	7.2		•		32	7.2		•		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or fuea.	2009-10	32	6.8				32	6.8				1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	33	7.4		•		33	7.4		•		1,328	6.6		-	
and thoughts on a rocusca topic.	2009-10	35	7.6				36	7.5			-	1,337	6.8			•
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	26	6.7		•		26	6.7		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	31	6.8				31	6.8				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

**Note:** Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

The shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



## **Writing Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

			Score Dis	tribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	1	<1	1	<1	<1
10	5	5	3	1	3	1	1
9	5	4	10	4	10	4	3
8	4	4	80	33	80	33	27
7	4	3	43	18	43	18	20
6	3	3	76	31	76	31	29
5	3	2	15	6	15	6	8
4	2	2	14	6	14	6	7
3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	3	1	3	1	2

Scoring Rubric									
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics								
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting								
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout								
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.								

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## **Disaggregated Writing Results**

**School:** Westerly High School

**District:** Westerly **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 36-36104

	School												District							State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	250	4	1	245	4	2	133	54	105	43	3	1	6.8	245	2	54	43	1	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender Male Female Not Reported	131 119 0	1 3 0	1 0 0	129 116 0	3 1	2 1	59 74	46 64	66 39	51 34	1 2	1 2	6.6 6.9	129 116 0	2	46 64	51 34	1 2	6.6 6.9	5,339 5,246 9	1 1	43 56	49 39	6 3	6.2 6.7
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	7	0	0	7										7						1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	3 20 7 0 207 6 0	0 3 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	3 17 7 0 205 6	1 2	6	11	65 57	5 84	29 41	0 3	0	7.2	3 17 7 0 205 6 0	6	65 57	29 41	0	7.2 6.8	64 288 852 30 7,342 166 68	2 2 1 0 1 1	42 54 34 17 55 41 18	45 41 56 70 41 55 47	11 3 10 13 3 3 35	6.0 6.6 5.7 5.2 6.7 6.3 4.4
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	6 0 0 244	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	6 0 0 239	4	2	130	54	102	43	3	1	6.8	6 0 0 239	2	54	43	1	6.8	261 26 33 10,274	0 0 0 1	11 27 24 51	50 65 70 44	39 8 6 4	3.7 5.7 5.7 6.5
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	41 209	2 2	0	39 206	0 4	0 2	7 126	18 61	30 75	77 36	2	5 <1	5.3 7.1	39 206	0 2	18 61	77 36	5 <1	5.3 7.1	1,612 8,982	<1 1	18 55	64 41	18 3	4.9 6.7
SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	63 187	1 3	0 1	62 183	2 2	3	25 108	40 59	34 71	55 39	1 2	2	6.5 6.8	62 183	3 1	40 59	55 39	2	6.5 6.8	3,740 6,854	<1 1	37 57	54 39	8	5.9 6.7
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 250	0 4	0	0 245	4	2	133	54	105	43	3	1	6.8	0 245	2	54	43	1	6.8	0 10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
<b>Title I</b> Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	1 249	0 4	0	1 244	4	2	133	55	104	43	3	1	6.8	1 244	2	55	43	1	6.8	2,623 7,971	<1 1	37 54	52 42	10 3	5.8 6.6
<b>504 Plan</b> Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	6 244	0 4	0 1	6 239	4	2	131	55	101	42	3	1	6.8	6 239	2	55	42	1	6.8	250 10,344	2	51 50	46 44	2 5	6.7 6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient