# About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to  $\square$ students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### **Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **School Results**

School:	Pilgrim High School
District:	Warwick
Code:	35-35134



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

					Number	r							Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		296			823			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	278	281	279	780	786	781	10,628	10,672	10,594	94	95	94	95	96	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	55	92	37	279	342	175	1,745	2,408	1,172	20	33	13	36	44	22	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	1	1	1	271	311	261	0	0	0	<1	<1	<1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	1	1	0	36	50	28				100	100	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	42	42	43	125	125	125	1,627	1,625	1,612	15	15	15	16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	39	37	37	85	85	78	820	841	747	93	88	86	68	68	62	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	18	15	17	43	37	42	536	492	570	6	5	6	5	4	5	5	4	5
State Approved	7	7	6	14	14	13	195	156	189	39	47	35	33	38	31	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	11	11	11	108	108	108	86	86	100	79	79	85	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	2	2	2	34	35	30	0	0	0	14	14	15	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	1	1	0	1	1	0	12	13	9	14	14	0	7	7	0	6	8	5
Other	11	8	11	29	23	29	341	336	381	61	53	65	67	62	69	64	68	67

### NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	Enrolled         NT Approved         NT Other         Tested         Level 4         Level 3         Level 2         Level 2           N         N         N         N         %         N         %         N         %         N         %         N         %         N         %         N         %         M         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %         %										el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	296	7	11	278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149	780	30	53	13	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	296	7	8	281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136	786	1	30	32	37	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4	1	6.6	781	1	53	44	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	282	7	8	267	46	17	154	58	50	19	17	6	1146
2009-10	285	10	4	271	43	16	135	50	66	24	27	10	1144
2010-11	296	7	11	278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149
Cumulative													
Total	863	24	23	816	161	20	455	56	148	18	52	6	1146
DISTRICT													
2008-09	863	9	31	823	131	16	432	52	180	22	80	10	1144
2009-10	797	13	17	767	118	15	412	54	157	20	80	10	1144
2010-11	823	14	29	780	234	30	411	53	99	13	36	5	1149
Cumulative													
Total	2,483	36	77	2,370	483	20	1,255	53	436	18	196	8	1146
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•					
Type of Text													• Sch	ool
Literary	42							•	-				▲ Dist ◆ Stat	trict
Informational	42												— Star	ndard or Bar
Level of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31								•					
Analysis & Interpretation	53							+ + +						



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	vel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	296	7	11	278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149	780	30	53	13	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
<b>Gender</b> Male Female Not Reported	147 149 0	4 3 0	10 1 0	133 145 0	25 47	19 32	77 89	58 61	25 7	19 5	6 2	5 1	1146 1151	374 406 0	22 38	54 51	19 7	5 4	1147 1151	5,364 5,255 9	22 34	50 46	18 14	10 6	1145 1149
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	18	0	1	17	1	6	12	71	3	18	1	6	1145	40	10	58	28	5	1145	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0 6 7 0 262 2 1	0 0 0 7 0 0	0 0 0 9 0 1	0 6 7 0 246 2 0	66	27	145	59	28	11	7	3	1149	0 17 20 4 691 7 1	35 30 31	59 50 53	6 15 12	0 5 5	1152 1148 1149	63 288 862 30 7,343 166 73	16 26 11 3 34 28 5	41 52 46 53 49 47 19	30 18 27 23 12 20 38	13 4 15 20 5 5 37	1142 1148 1141 1140 1150 1147 1134
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 0 0 296	0 0 0 7	0 0 0 11	0 0 0 278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149	1 0 0 779	30	53	13	5	1149	271 26 32 10,299	1 0 3 29	18 54 53 49	32 38 38 15	48 8 6 7	1131 1140 1141 1148
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	49 247	7 0	0 11	42 236	4 68	10 29	13 153	31 65	19 13	45 6	6 2	14 1	1139 1151	125 655	8 34	36 56	35 8	21 2	1138 1151	1,627 9,001	4 32	32 51	33 13	31 4	1135 1149
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	79 217	1 6	7 4	71 207	11 61	15 29	43 123	61 59	14 18	20 9	3 5	4 2	1145 1150	216 564	17 35	55 52	20 10	8 3	1145 1151	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24 11	14 5	1142 1150
<b>Migrant</b> Migrant Students All Other Students	0 296	0 7	0 11	0 278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149	0 780	30	53	13	5	1149	0 10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 296	0 7	0 11	0 278	72	26	166	60	32	12	8	3	1149	0 780	30	53	13	5	1149	2,652 7,976	13 33	48 48	25 13	15 6	1142 1149
<b>504 Plan</b> Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	5 291	0 7	0 11	5 273	72	26	161	59	32	12	8	3	1149	20 760	15 30	80 52	5 13	0 5	1148 1149	250 10,378	30 28	55 48	12 16	3 8	1149 1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	282	7	8	267	2	1	61	23	92	34	112	42	1134
2009-10	285	11	4	270	0	0	45	17	110	41	115	43	1133
2010-11	296	7	8	281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136
Cumulative													
Total	863	25	20	818	3	<1	194	24	296	36	325	40	1134
DISTRICT													
2008-09	863	9	33	821	5	1	180	22	260	32	376	46	1134
2009-10	797	14	20	763	1	<1	153	20	270	35	339	44	1133
2010-11	823	14	23	786	9	1	237	30	248	32	292	37	1135
Cumulative													
Total	2,483	37	76	2,370	15	1	570	24	778	33	1,007	42	1134
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134

	Total			I	Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	5			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Numbers & Operations	19				•								<ul><li>School</li><li>District</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	41												<ul> <li>State</li> <li>Standard</li> </ul>
Functions & Algebra	55					•	-						Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				-	•							



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict						Sta	te		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Scale	d		evel 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Scor	e N		%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	296	7	8	281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136	786	1	30	32	37	113	5 10,672	2	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																										
Male	147	4	7	136	0	0	42	31	45	33	49	36	1135	378	1	31	31	37	113			3	31	28	38	1135
Female	149	3	1	145	1	1	46	32	49	34	49	34	1136	408	1	29	32	38	113		7	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9						
Race/Ethnicity																										
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	1	17	0	0	4	24	3	18	10	59	1134	40	0	20	25	55	113	4 1,837	7	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						64		2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	6	0	0	6										17	0	41	24	35	113			4	33	31	32	1132
Black or African American	7	Ő	Ő	7										20	Ő	30	25	45	113			<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										4						29		0	21	24	55	1129
White	262	7	6	249	1	<1	77	31	86	35	85	34	1136	697	1	31	32	36	113		2	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	2	0	0	2										7						166 76		1	23	31 13	45 79	1135 1124
No Race/Ethnicity Reported		0	I	0																/6		0	8	13	/9	1124
LEP Status																										
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										1						311		0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26		0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 296	0	0 8	0 281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136	0 785	1	30	32	37	113	33 5 10,302	2	0	12 31	24 30	64 37	1130 1135
All Other Students	2.50	,	0	201			00	51	54	55	50	55	1150	/05	'	50	52	57	115	10,502	2	5	21	50	57	1155
IEP																										
Students with an IEP	49	7	0	42	0	0	0	0	10	24	32	76	1127	125	0	6	17	78	112			0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	247	0	8	239	1	<1	88	37	84	35	66	28	1137	661	1	35	34	30	113	7 9,047	7	3	35	32	31	1137
SES																										
Economically Disadvantaged Students	79	1	4	74	0	0	16	22	20	27	38	51	1133	220	<1	18	27	55	113			1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	217	6	4	207	1	<1	72	35	74	36	60	29	1137	566	1	35	33	30	113	7 6,878	3	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																										
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0						
All Other Students	296	7	8	281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136	786	1	30	32	37	113	5 10,672	2	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680		1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	296	7	8	281	1	<1	88	31	94	33	98	35	1136	786	1	30	32	37	113			3	35	30	31	1130
			-																							
504 Plan			_																							
Students with a 504 Plan	5 291	0	0 8	5 276	1	.1	00	21	0.2	22	97	25	1120	20	0	25	45	30	113		<u>_</u>	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	291	/	ŏ	2/0		<1	86	31	92	33	9/	35	1136	766		30	31	37	113	5 10,422	4	3	30	29	39	1135
ovel 4 - Proficient with Distinction									 		<u> </u>														<u> </u>	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	282	4	10	268	8	3	133	50	112	42	15	6	6.4
2009-10	285	10	6	269	15	6	134	50	107	40	13	5	6.7
2010-11	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4	1	6.6
Cumulative	0(2)	20	27	010	22	2	415	Γ1	246	40	22	4	6.6
Total	863	20	27	816	23	3	415	51	346	42	32	4	6.6
DISTRICT													
2008-09	863	5	33	825	25	3	308	37	433	52	59	7	6.1
2009-10	797	13	23	761	40	5	366	48	321	42	34	4	6.7
2010-11	823	13	29	781	5	1	411	53	346	44	19	2	6.6
Cumulative													
Total	2,483	31	85	2,367	70	3	1,085	46	1,100	46	112	5	6.5
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results** 

School: Pilgrim High School **District:** Warwick State: Rhode Island Code: 35-35134

	A	/erage	Score	e C	ompariso	n by	Туре с	of Writ	ting <sup>§</sup>							
				Sch	nool				Distri	ct				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	) 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	279	6.6		•		781	6.6		•		10,594	6.4			
or a personal experience, bene, or laca.	2009-10	34	6.2				98	6.4				1,339	6.5			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	33	6.2		•		93	6.5				1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	31	6				92	5.7				1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	34	6.5				94	6.5		-•		1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	35	5.7				97	5.8				1,321	6.2			
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	40	6.7		•		106	6.9		•		1,321	6.6		-	
or a personal experience, benef, or face.	2009-10	34	6.2				98	6.4				1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	34	6.6				97	6.6				1,328	6.6			
	2009-10	35	6.6				99	6.8		-		1,337	6.8			
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	35	6.2		•		97	6.7		•		1,340	6.6		-	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	31	6.9		-		91	6.6				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The  $\bigcirc$  shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The  $\blacktriangle$  shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

Score Distribution									Scoring Rubric							
Total	Total Score		Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     interview of the strong focus of the strong stated purpose focus of the strong stated purpose focus of the strong stro							
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1		• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent through							
11	6	5	0	0	1	<1	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
10	5	5	0	0	4	1	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent							
9	5	4	5	2	17	2	3	4	details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
8	4	4	70	25	206	26	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	73	26	188	24	20	3	some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may have tapped in other order of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics							
6	3	3	86	31	246	31	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	25	9	56	7	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	16	6	44	6	7		• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	1	<1	6	1	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	3	1	9	1	2	0								
0	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:Pilgrim High SchoolDistrict:WarwickState:Rhode IslandCode:35-35134

	School															State									
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	TCSICU	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4	1	6.6	781	1	53	44	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	147	4	10	133	0	0	57	43	73	55	3	2	6.3	374	1	43	53	4	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	149	2	1	146	Ö	Ő	91	62	54	37	1	1	6.8	407		62	37	1	6.9	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			5.	02					0.0	0		02	57		0.5	9		50			
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	1	17	0	0	6	35	11	65	0	0	6.2	40	0	45	55	0	6.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino		Ŭ			ľ		Ŭ				Ŭ		0.2		ľ	1.5			0.5	1,701		57	52		5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	6	0	0	6		1								17	6	65	29	0	7.2	288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	7	0	0	7										19	5	63	26	5	7.1	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										4		05	20	,	/.1	30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	262	6	9	247	0	0	134	54	109	44	4	2	6.6	693	<1	53	44	3	6.6	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	202	0	0	247	0	0	154	J4	105	44	4	2	0.0	7			44	5	0.0	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	1	0										1						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1						1						261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0			140	F.2	127	10		1		0	1	- F2	44	- -		33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4		6.6	780		53	44	2	6.6	10,274		51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	49	6	0	43	0	0	7	16	32	74	4	9	5.3	125	0	23	65	12	5.3	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	247	0	11	236	0	0	141	60	95	40	0	0	6.8	656	1	58	40	1	6.8	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	79	1	7	71	0	0	32	45	38	54	1	1	6.5	215	<1	41	55	3	6.3	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	217	5	4	208	0	0	116	56	89	43	3	1	6.6	566	1	57	40	2	6.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0		1				0					
All Other Students	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4	1	6.6	781	1	53	44	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	296	6	11	279	0	0	148	53	127	46	4	1	6.6	781	1	53	44	2	6.6	2,623 7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
	290	U	11	2/9		U	140	55	12/	40	4		0.0	/01		55	44	2	0.0	7,971		54	42	2	0.0
504 Plan				_													15								
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5	_	-								20	0	55	45	0	6.7	250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	291	6	11	274	0	0	145	53	125	46	4	1	6.6	761	1	53	44	2	6.6	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
Loval 4 - Proficiant with Dictinction																									

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient