


About The New England Common Assessment Program

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level



results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School: Hope Information Technology

District: Providence

Code: 28-28603



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Grade Level Summary Report

School: Hope Information Technology
 District: Providence
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 28-28603

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
Students enrolled on or after October 1	117			1,588			11,164			100			100			100		
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	105	114	104	1,476	1,506	1,454	10,628	10,672	10,594	90	97	89	93	95	92	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	76	75	76	1,745	2,408	1,172	0	0	0	5	5	5	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	7	15	7	126	155	117	271	311	261	7	13	7	9	10	8	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	27	27	27	236	236	232	1,627	1,625	1,612	26	24	26	16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	49	48	50	820	841	747	0	0	0	21	20	22	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	12	3	13	112	82	134	536	492	570	10	3	11	7	5	8	5	4	5
State Approved	8	0	8	45	17	45	195	156	189	67	0	62	40	21	34	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	13	13	13	108	108	108	0	0	0	29	76	29	55	69	57
First Year LEP	8	0	8	28	0	28	40	0	41	100	0	100	62	0	62	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	4	4	4	34	35	30	0	0	0	9	24	9	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	4	3	5	67	65	89	341	336	381	33	100	38	60	79	66	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124	1,506	<1	11	22	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Reading Results

School: Hope Information Technology
 District: Providence
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 28-28603

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09	102	5	2	95	12	13	45	47	23	24	15	16	1142
2009-10	133	2	2	129	2	2	58	45	30	23	39	30	1138
2010-11	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137
Cumulative Total	352	15	8	329	21	6	141	43	85	26	82	25	1139
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	40	75	1,506	168	11	665	44	365	24	308	20	1140
2009-10	1,702	54	62	1,586	253	16	703	44	350	22	280	18	1142
2010-11	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141
Cumulative Total	4,911	139	204	4,568	614	13	2,009	44	1,075	24	870	19	1141
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Hope Information Technology
 District: Providence
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 28-28603

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District					State							
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	70	7	1	62	4	6	24	39	17	27	17	27	1136	711	11	42	24	23	1139	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	47	1	3	43	3	7	14	33	15	35	11	26	1137	765	15	45	25	15	1142	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	71	7	3	61	4	7	23	38	18	30	16	26	1137	857	11	43	24	22	1140	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										14	21	29	21	29	1140	63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	4	0	0	4										99	15	55	22	8	1144	288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	30	1	1	28	2	7	9	32	9	32	8	29	1137	344	10	43	28	18	1140	862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										1						30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	7	0	0	7										130	28	42	16	14	1146	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										27	30	41	22	7	1147	166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	17	8	2	7										126	0	7	21	71	1126	271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										11	0	36	45	18	1137	26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	100	0	2	98	7	7	38	39	30	31	23	23	1138	1,339	14	47	24	14	1142	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	27	0	0	27	0	0	4	15	8	30	15	56	1127	236	1	18	28	53	1129	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	90	8	4	78	7	9	34	44	24	31	13	17	1140	1,240	15	48	24	13	1143	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	6	3	79	5	6	26	33	26	33	22	28	1136	1,131	11	45	25	19	1140	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	29	2	1	26	2	8	12	46	6	23	6	23	1139	345	20	37	23	20	1142	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137	1,459	13	44	25	19	1141	2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	29	6	65	1127	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										9						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	117	8	4	105	7	7	38	36	32	30	28	27	1137	1,467	13	43	25	19	1141	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Mathematics Results

School:	Hope Information Technology
District:	Providence
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	28-28603

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09	102	2	4	96	0	0	9	9	18	19	69	72	1127
2009-10	133	1	5	127	0	0	5	4	21	17	101	80	1125
2010-11	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124
Cumulative Total	352	3	12	337	0	0	19	6	61	18	257	76	1125
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	29	75	1,517	8	1	206	14	280	18	1,023	67	1128
2009-10	1,702	22	81	1,599	6	<1	170	11	289	18	1,134	71	1128
2010-11	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128
Cumulative Total	4,911	68	221	4,622	20	<1	546	12	893	19	3,163	68	1128
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:	Hope Information Technology
District:	Providence
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	28-28603

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District					State							
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124	1,506	<1	11	22	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	70	0	1	69	0	0	3	4	16	23	50	72	1125	726	1	13	22	64	1128	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	47	0	2	45	0	0	2	4	6	13	37	82	1124	780	<1	10	21	69	1127	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	71	0	2	69	0	0	3	4	13	19	53	77	1123	883	0	9	21	70	1127	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										15	0	0	27	73	1123	64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	4	0	0	4										99	0	23	33	43	1133	293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	30	0	1	29	0	0	1	3	6	21	22	76	1125	347	<1	7	20	73	1127	865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										1						29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	7	0	0	7										130	4	27	21	48	1132	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										27	0	15	33	52	1132	166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	17	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	100	1115	155	0	0	3	97	1117	311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										11	0	0	9	91	1127	26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	100	0	1	99	0	0	5	5	22	22	72	73	1126	1,340	<1	13	24	63	1129	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	27	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	1	4	26	96	1119	236	0	1	3	96	1118	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	90	0	3	87	0	0	5	6	21	24	61	70	1126	1,270	<1	13	25	61	1130	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	0	3	85	0	0	2	2	17	20	66	78	1124	1,152	<1	9	22	68	1128	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	29	0	0	29	0	0	3	10	5	17	21	72	1126	354	1	18	18	62	1129	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124	1,506	<1	11	22	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124	1,489	<1	11	22	66	1128	2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	0	0	100	1115	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										9						250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	117	0	3	114	0	0	5	4	22	19	87	76	1124	1,497	<1	11	21	67	1128	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

School: Hope Information Technology
District: Providence
State: Rhode Island
Code: 28-28603

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
SCHOOL													
2008-09	102	4	2	96	0	0	22	23	63	66	11	11	5.2
2009-10	133	2	2	129	2	2	36	28	72	56	19	15	5.4
2010-11	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2
Cumulative Total	352	14	9	329	2	1	86	26	194	59	47	14	5.3
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	35	79	1,507	23	2	409	27	849	56	226	15	5.4
2009-10	1,702	53	92	1,557	82	5	591	38	713	46	171	11	6.1
2010-11	1,588	45	89	1,454	9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7
Cumulative Total	4,911	133	260	4,518	114	3	1,561	35	2,259	50	584	13	5.7
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above	
2008-09	<p>Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.</p>
2009-10	<p>Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.</p>
2010-11	<p>Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.</p>



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

School:	Hope Information Technology
District:	Providence
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	28-28603

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing[§]

Type of Writing	Tested	School			District			State		
		Number Tested	Mean Score	0 7 12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0 7 12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0 7 12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	104	5.2	●	1,454	5.7	●	10,594	6.4	●
	2009-10	15	6	▲	198	6.1	▲	1,339	6.5	▲
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	13	4.6	●	182	5.6	●	1,327	6.2	●
	2009-10	16	4.9	▲	191	5.7	▲	1,323	6.2	▲
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	11	5.8	●	191	6	●	1,313	6.3	●
	2009-10	17	6	▲	195	5.9	▲	1,321	6.2	▲
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	12	5.8	●	174	6.2	●	1,321	6.6	●
	2009-10	15	6	▲	198	6.1	▲	1,339	6.5	▲
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	16	5	●	183	6	●	1,328	6.6	●
	2009-10	16	5.5	▲	196	6.1	▲	1,337	6.8	▲
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2010-11	14	5.8	●	191	6	●	1,340	6.6	●
	2009-10	16	4.4	▲	187	5.9	▲	1,326	6.6	▲

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Writing Results

School: Hope Information Technology
District: Providence
State: Rhode Island
Code: 28-28603

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2	School		District		State
			N	%	N	%	
12	6	6	0	0	1	<1	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	0	0	8	1	1
9	5	4	0	0	18	1	3
8	4	4	11	11	261	18	27
7	4	3	17	16	282	19	20
6	3	3	28	27	373	26	29
5	3	2	16	15	160	11	8
4	2	2	15	14	164	11	7
3	2	1	5	5	36	2	1
2	1	1	4	4	54	4	2
0	0	0	8	8	97	7	2

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent • details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well • may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence • some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence • generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Hope Information Technology
 District: Providence
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 28-28603

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District					State							
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	70	7	1	62	0	0	16	26	36	58	10	16	5.1	697	<1	35	49	16	5.4	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	47	1	4	42	0	0	12	29	23	55	7	17	5.3	757	1	42	47	10	5.9	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	71	7	3	61	0	0	16	26	34	56	11	18	5.0	838	<1	37	48	15	5.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										15	7	40	33	20	5.7	64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	4	0	0	4										99	1	48	46	4	6.3	288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	30	1	2	27	0	0	6	22	17	63	4	15	5.3	340	<1	34	54	12	5.5	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										1						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	7	0	0	7										130	2	52	36	11	6.2	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										27	0	48	44	7	6.0	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	17	8	2	7										117	0	3	41	56	2.7	261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										11	0	27	64	9	5.5	26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	100	0	3	97	0	0	28	29	56	58	13	13	5.4	1,326	1	42	48	9	5.9	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	27	0	0	27	0	0	2	7	17	63	8	30	4.0	232	0	12	54	34	3.9	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	90	8	5	77	0	0	26	34	42	55	9	12	5.6	1,222	1	44	47	9	6.0	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	6	4	78	0	0	18	23	51	65	9	12	5.3	1,117	1	37	50	12	5.6	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	29	2	1	26	0	0	10	38	8	31	8	31	4.8	337	1	43	41	15	5.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2	1,437	1	39	48	12	5.7	2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	18	35	47	3.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										9						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	117	8	5	104	0	0	28	27	59	57	17	16	5.2	1,445	1	38	48	13	5.6	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.