About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to \square students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School:	William B. Cooley/Health
District:	Providence
Code:	28-28189



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

					Number								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		84			1,588			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	74	74	72	1,476	1,506	1,454	10,628	10,672	10,594	88	88	86	93	95	92	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	8	8	8	76	75	76	1,745	2,408	1,172	11	11	11	5	5	5	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	10	10	9	126	155	117	271	311	261	14	14	13	9	10	8	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	8	8	8	236	236	232	1,627	1,625	1,612	11	11	11	16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	8	8	8	49	48	50	820	841	747	100	100	100	21	20	22	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	10	10	12	112	82	134	536	492	570	12	12	14	7	5	8	5	4	5
State Approved	0	0	0	45	17	45	195	156	189	0	0	0	40	21	34	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	13	13	13	108	108	108				29	76	29	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	28	0	28	40	0	41				62	0	62	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	4	4	4	34	35	30				9	24	9	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	10	10	12	67	65	89	341	336	381	100	100	100	60	79	66	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	84	0	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	84	0	10	74	0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126	1,506	<1	11	22	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	92	4	11	77	3	4	23	30	26	34	25	32	1135
2009-10	85	0	4	81	0	0	27	33	31	38	23	28	1135
2010-11	84	0	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139
Cumulative													
Total	261	4	25	232	7	3	86	37	76	33	63	27	1136
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	40	75	1,506	168	11	665	44	365	24	308	20	1140
2009-10	1,702	54	62	1,586	253	16	703	44	350	22	280	18	1142
2010-11	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141
Cumulative													
Total	4,911	139	204	4,568	614	13	2,009	44	1,075	24	870	19	1141
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total				Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90 	100 		
Word ID/Vocabulary	20						-		•					
Type of Text													•	School
Literary	42					—	•	٠						District State
Informational	42						•	٠						Standaro Error Ba
Level of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31					-	•		•					
Analysis & Interpretation	53							•						



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict						Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	d	d l	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	e N		%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	84	0	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141	1 10,6	28	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																										
Male	45	0	8	37	2	5	17	46	9	24	9	24	1138	711	11	42	24	23	1139	9 5,36	4	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	39	0	2	37	2	5	19	51	10	27	6	16	1141	765	15	45	25	15	1142			34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9						
Race/Ethnicity																										
Hispanic or Latino	57	0	5	52	1	2	24	46	13	25	14	27	1137	857	11	43	24	22	1140	0 1,80	3	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																										
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0						1				14	21	29	21	29	1140	0 63		16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	2	0	2	0										99	15	55	22	8	1144	4 28	3	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	23	0	3	20	3	15	12	60	4	20	1	5	1144	344	10	43	28	18	1140	0 86	2	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										1						30		3	53	23	20	1140
White	2	0	0	2						1				130	28	42	16	14	1146	6 7,34	3	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										27	30	41	22	7	1147	7 16	5	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4						73		5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																										
Current LEP student	15	0	5	10	0	0	2	20	1	10	7	70	1129	126	0	7	21	71	1126	6 27		1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										11	0	36	45	18	1137	7 26		0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						32		3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	69	0	5	64	4	6	34	53	18	28	8	13	1141	1,339	14	47	24	14	1142	2 10,2	99	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																										
Students with an IEP	11	0	3	8										236	1	18	28	53	1129	9 1,62	7	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	73	0	7	66	4	6	34	52	17	26	11	17	1140	1,240	15	48	24	13	1143			32	51	13	4	1149
SES																										
Economically Disadvantaged Students	72	0	7	65	3	5	31	48	17	26	14	22	1139	1,131	11	45	25	19	1140	0 3,76	2	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	12	0	3	9										345	20	37	23	20	1142			36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																										
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0						1				0						0						
All Other Students	84	0	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139	1,476	13	43	24	19	1141		28	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																										
Students Receiving Title I Services	84	0	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139	1,459	13	44	25	19	1141	1 2,65	2	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	29	6	65	1127		6	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																										
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0		1		1		1				9				1		25		30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	84	Ő	10	74	4	5	36	49	19	26	15	20	1139	1,467	13	43	25	19	1141			28	48	16	8	1147
																							-			
aval 4 Draficiant with Distinction						:	L	: <u> </u>				:		1			:	:	1					:		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	92	1	11	80	0	0	5	6	16	20	59	74	1128
2009-10	85	0	5	80	0	0	1	1	7	9	72	90	1124
2010-11	84	0	10	74	0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126
Cumulative													
Total	261	1	26	234	0	0	8	3	37	16	189	81	1126
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	29	75	1,517	8	1	206	14	280	18	1,023	67	1128
2009-10	1,702	22	81	1,599	6	<1	170	11	289	18	1,134	71	1128
2010-11	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128
Cumulative													
Total	4,911	68	221	4,622	20	<1	546	12	893	19	3,163	68	1128
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134
				•]

	Total			I	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Numbers & Operations	19		-		•								SchoolDistrict
Geometry & Measurement	41			•	•								 State Standard
Functions & Algebra	55			-•		•							Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21		-	•		•							



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

Series Note Specific Note Specific							Scho	ol									Dist	rict						Sta	ate		
N N		Enrolled			Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Scaled	Tested	1			Level 1	Scaled	caled	Tested				Level 1	Scaled
Series Made frame 45 0 8 377 0		N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	Score –	N	%	%	%	%	Score
Mode Next Reparted 45 9 0 8 37 9 0 0 2 5 6 12 9 78 12 78 12 22 64 112 52 </th <th>All Students</th> <th>84</th> <th>0</th> <th>10</th> <th>74</th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>14</th> <th>19</th> <th>58</th> <th>78</th> <th>1126</th> <th>1,506</th> <th><1</th> <th>11</th> <th>22</th> <th>67</th> <th>1128</th> <th>128</th> <th>10,672</th> <th>3</th> <th>30</th> <th>29</th> <th>38</th> <th>1135</th>	All Students	84	0	10	74	0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126	1,506	<1	11	22	67	1128	128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
email 30 0 2 37 0 </td <td>Gender</td> <td></td>	Gender																										
Mote Reporded 0 <			-																								
Are fund						0	0	0	0	8	22	29	78	1125		<1	10	21	69	1127	1127		2	29	30	39	1135
Hispanic ratino 57 0 5 52 0 0 1 2 9 17 42 83 125 83 0 9 21 70 127 137 c1 13 25 62 112 American indian or Alakan Native 2 0	Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0							9					
Not inspand or Lation O	Race/Ethnicity																										
Amountability of Adsian Native 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 1130 64 2 64		57	0	5	52	0	0	1	2	9	17	42	81	1125	883	0	9	21	70	1127	1127	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Asian 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 5 5 2 1 7 10 7 20 7 20 4 33 31 32 1137 25 1137 25 1137 10 7 20 7 20 7 20 61 120 74 63 1317 25 131 293 4.1 33 13 120 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>~ .</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																						~ .					
Black or Arrikan American 23 0 3 20 0 1 5 5 55 14 70 130 47 71 71 72 73 122 65 71 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 24 655 712 742 31 745 31 745 31 745 74 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-	-																							
Notice Handeling or Pacific Islander 0						0	0	1	E	E	25	1.1	70	1120		1											
White more races. No Race/Ethnicity Reported. 2 0 0 2 0 0 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td> '</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>23</td><td>14</td><td>70</td><td>1150</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td>/5</td><td>112/</td><td>1127</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						0	0	'	5		23	14	70	1150	1			20	/5	112/	1127						
Wo accord mode races No Reactifyhicly Reported 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>i v</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>130</td><td>1</td><td>27</td><td>21</td><td>18</td><td>1132</td><td>1132</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				i v											130	1	27	21	18	1132	1132						
No Rearefithinicly Reported 0		-	-	-																							
Current LFP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 5 10 0 0 0 10 110 111 15 0 0 3 9 9 9 112 33 0 3 9 9 9 112 111 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0</th0<>																	15	55	52	1152	1152						
Current LFP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 5 10 0 0 0 10 110 111 15 0 0 3 9 9 9 112 33 0 3 9 9 9 112 111 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0</th0<>																											
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 91 1127 26 0 88 15 77 1130 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 0 9 91 1127 26 0 88 15 77 1130 EP Students with an IEP 11 0 3 3 66 0 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 1127 13 24 63 129 1300 3 131 31																											
formatify prime 0			-			0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100	1117													
All Other Students 69 0 5 64 0 0 2 3 14 22 48 75 1127 1,340 <1 13 24 63 1129 10,302 3 31 30 37 1135 EP Students with an IEP 11 0 3 86 0 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 1127 1,340 <1 13 24 63 1129 10,302 3 31 30 37 1135 EP Students with an IEP 11 0 37 66 0 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 1127 1,340 <1 13 24 63 118 1,625 0 65 133 81 1124 All Other Students 72 0 73 65 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 125 1,53 21 13 23 66 113 23 6,67 14 16 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>9</td><td>91</td><td>112/</td><td>1127</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td></th<>																0	0	9	91	112/	1127					1	
EP Students with an IEP 11 0 3 86 0 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 127 127 13 3 96 1118 1,625 0 53 81 1127 SES Score 11 72 0 73 65 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 1127 1127 13 23 66 1118 1,625 0 65 13 81 1127 SES Commically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 73 65 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 125 51 98 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 131 13 15 11 16 27 56 1131 131 13 16 18 16 27 56 1131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131 131<			-	-		0	0	2	2	14	22	10	75	1127	1 · · ·	-1	12	24	62	1120	1120						
Students with an IEP 11 0 3 7 8 66 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 127 128 13 3 96 118 1,625 0 65 13 81 1127 SES Conomically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 7 65 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 125 51 13 32 66 118 1,625 0 63 32 81 1127 SES Conomically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 7 65 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 125 51 13 32 66 118 1,625 0 63 52 56 113 Students 72 0 7 65 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 126 15 11 12 68 113 13 23 61 113 23 61 13 23 24 <th< td=""><td>All Other students</td><td>09</td><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>04</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>14</td><td>22</td><td>40</td><td>75</td><td>112/</td><td>1,540</td><td></td><td>15</td><td>24</td><td>05</td><td>1129</td><td>1129</td><td>10,502</td><td>З</td><td>21</td><td>50</td><td>57</td><td>1155</td></th<>	All Other students	09	0	5	04	0	0	2	2	14	22	40	75	112/	1,540		15	24	05	1129	1129	10,502	З	21	50	57	1155
All Other Students 73 0 7 66 0 0 2 3 14 21 50 76 1127 1,270 <1 13 25 61 1130 9,047 3 35 32 31 1137 FES Conomically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 7 65 0 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 125 1,152 1 9 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Students 0 0 0 74 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 152 11 9 128 62 1128 63 14 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 126 131 11 22 67 118 0 13 135 135 135 135 135 13	IEP																										
SES Constrained T2 0 7 65 0 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 112 1,152 51 9 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 112 1,152 51 11 9 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 11 12 68 1128 68 12 14 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 11 12 26 66 1128 0,0 13 15 25 60 1130 135<	Students with an IEP	11	0	3	8											0	1	3	96	1118	1118	1,625		6	13	81	1124
Economically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 7 65 0 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 1125 1,152 354 1 9 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,152 354 1 18 62 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 126 0 11 22 67 1128 0,672 3 30 29 38 1131 Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 2 67 1128 2,680 1 15 2,5 60 1131 137 Students with a 504 Plan 0	All Other Students	73	0	7	66	0	0	2	3	14	21	50	76	1127	1,270	<1	13	25	61	1130	1130	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
Economically Disadvantaged Students 72 0 7 65 0 0 2 3 12 18 51 78 1125 1,152 21 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 126 1126 11 22 68 1128 3,794 1 16 27 56 1131 137 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 126 0 11 22 67 1128 0,672 3 30 29 38 1131 Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 2 67 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 131 137 Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0<	SES																										
All Other Students 12 0 3 9 12 0 3 9 12 0 3 9 12 0 3 9 12 1 18 18 62 1129 6,878 4 38 30 28 1137 Wigrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 11 18 18 62 1129 6,878 4 38 30 28 1137 Wigrant All Other Students 0 0 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,150 <11 12 67 1128 0 0 0 29 38 1135 Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 135 25 60 1130 135 25 60 1130 135 250 4 32 37 27 1137<		72	0	7	65	0	0	2	3	12	18	51	78	1125	1.152	<1	9	22	68	1128	1128	3.794	1	16	27	56	1131
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 19 58 78 1126 0 11 22 67 1128 0 10 29 38 1135 ritle I Students Receiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1			0	3													18						4				
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 19 58 78 1126 0 11 22 67 1128 0 10 29 38 1135 ritle I Students Receiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1	Minuout																										
All Other Students 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,506 <1 11 22 67 1128 10,672 3 30 29 38 1135 Iftle I Students Receiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1 11 22 67 1128 10,672 3 30 29 38 1135 Students Seceiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1 11 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 1137 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 15 25 30 31 1137 Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	0										0							0					
Fitte I Students Receiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1 11 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 23 14 19 58 78 126 1,489 <1 10 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 1137 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1137 25 26 41 15 25 60 1130 1137 1111 111 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>14</td> <td>19</td> <td>58</td> <td>78</td> <td>1126</td> <td></td> <td><1</td> <td>11</td> <td>22</td> <td>67</td> <td>1128</td> <td>1128</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>30</td> <td>29</td> <td>38</td> <td>1135</td>						0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126		<1	11	22	67	1128	1128		3	30	29	38	1135
Students Receiving Title I Services 84 0 10 74 0 0 2 3 14 19 58 78 1126 1,489 <1 11 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 131 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 111 22 66 1128 2,680 1 15 25 60 1130 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 111 22 66 1130 35 30 31 1137 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 111 22 66 1130 1130			-						-						.,,							.,	-				
All Other Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 17 0 0 115 7,992 3 35 30 31 1137 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 115 7,992 3 35 30 31 1137	Title I																										
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 1137	5			1		0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126	1 '											1	
Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 1137	All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	0	0	100	1115	1115	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 1137	504 Plan																										
		0	0	0	0										9							250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	All Other Students	84	0	10	74	0	0	2	3	14	19	58	78	1126	1,497	<1	11	21	67	1128	1128	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
-	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	92	3	11	78	1	1	12	15	54	69	11	14	5.0
2009-10	85	0	6	79	1	1	15	19	49	62	14	18	4.9
2010-11	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9
Cumulative	264		20	220				24	1.12	60	20	40	5.2
Total	261	3	29	229	2	1	55	24	142	62	30	13	5.2
DISTRICT													
2008-09	1,621	35	79	1,507	23	2	409	27	849	56	226	15	5.4
2009-10	1,702	53	92	1,557	82	5	591	38	713	46	171	11	6.1
2010-11	1,588	45	89	1,454	9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7
Cumulative													
Total	4,911	133	260	4,518	114	3	1,561	35	2,259	50	584	13	5.7
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results**

School: William B. Cooley/Health **District:** Providence State: Rhode Island Code: 28-28189

	A	/erage	Scor	e C	ompari	son by	Туре о	of Writ	∶ing§							
Turne of Multine				Sch	lool			I	Distri	ct				State	•	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0) 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	72	5.9		-•	•	1,454	5.7				10,594	6.4			
	2009-10	7					198	6.1				1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	10	5.6		-•	•	182	5.6				1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	7					191	5.7		-		1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	9					191	6				1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	6					195	5.9				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	8		_			174	6.2				1,321	6.6		-	
	2009-10	7					198	6.1				1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	10	5.4		-•	-	183	6				1,328	6.6		-	
	2009-10	13	4.8				196	6.1				1,337	6.8			I
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	12	5.9		-•	•	191	6				1,340	6.6		-	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	12	4.8		_		187	5.9				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The \bigcirc shows this year's score and the black bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The \blacktriangle shows last year's score and the gray bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

			Score Dis	stribution				Scoring Rubric								
Total	Score	Score	Sch	lool	Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writin the strongly stated purpose focuses the writin							
Score	1	1 2 N % N % %	6	intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics												
12	6	6	0	0	1	<1	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • well •							
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
10	5	5	0	0	8	1	1									
9	5	4	0	0	18	1	3	4	 purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics 							
8	4	4	14	19	261	18	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	14	19	282	19	20	3	 some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics 							
6	3	3	19	26	373	26	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	12	17	160	11	8	2	• generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	8	11	164	11	7		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	2	3	36	2	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	0	0	54	4	2									
0	0	0	3	4	97	7	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:William B. Cooley/HealthDistrict:ProvidenceState:Rhode IslandCode:28-28189

	School													District							State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1	
All Students	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4	
Gender																										
Male	45	0	9	36	0	0	11	31	22	61	3	8	5.6	697	<1	35	49	16	5.4	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2	
Female	39	0	3	36	0	0	17	47	17	47	2	6	6.1	757	1	42	47	10	5.9	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7	
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9						
Race/Ethnicity																										
Hispanic or Latino	57	0	7	50	0	0	20	40	26	52	4	8	5.9	838	<1	37	48	15	5.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8	
Not Hispanic or Latino				1	ľ	Ŭ					· ·	2								.,, .		5.				
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										15	7	40	33	20	5.7	64	2	42	45	11	6.0	
Asian	2	0	2	0										99	1	48	46	4	6.3	288	2	54	41	3	6.6	
Black or African American	23	0	3	20	0	0	8	40	11	55	1	5	5.9	340	<1	34	54	12	5.5	852	1	34	56	10	5.7	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1								1				1		30	0	17	70	13	5.2	
White	2	0	0	2										130	2	52	36	11	6.2	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7	
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										27	0	48	44	7	6.0	166	1	41	55	3	6.3	
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4	Ū				0.0	68	0	18	47	35	4.4	
LEP Status																										
Current LEP student	15	0	6	9										117	0	3	41	56	2.7	261	0	11	50	39	3.7	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	Ő		1				1				11	0	27	64	9	5.5	26	Ö	27	65	8	5.7	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	Ő	0	0	Ő										0	Ū	27			5.5	33	0	24	70	6	5.7	
All Other Students	69	0	6	63	0	0	28	44	33	52	2	3	6.1	1,326	1	42	48	9	5.9	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5	
IEP																										
Students with an IEP	11	0	3	8										232	0	12	54	34	3.9	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9	
All Other Students	73	0	9	64	0	0	24	38	36	56	4	6	5.8	1,222	1	44	47	9	6.0	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7	
All Other Students	//	0		04		0	24	50	50	50	4	0	5.0	1,222	1	44	47	5	0.0	0,902		55	41		0.7	
SES																										
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	72	0	8	64 8	0	0	24	38	36	56	4	6	5.9	1,117 337	1	37 43	50 41	12 15	5.6 5.7	3,740 6,854	<1	37 57	54 39	8 3	5.9 6.7	
																				-,				-		
Migrant																										
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0							_	_		0						0				_		
All Other Students	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9	1,454	1	39	48	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4	
Title I																										
Students Receiving Title I Services	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9	1,437	1	39	48	12	5.7	2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8	
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										17	0	18	35	47	3.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6	
504 Plan																										
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										9						250	2	51	46	2	6.7	
All Other Students	84	0	12	72	0	0	28	39	39	54	5	7	5.9	1,445	1	38	48	13	5.6	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4	
1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1	1	1	1		1	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient