## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



# Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011** 

### **School Results**

**School:** North Smitfield HS

**District:** North Smithfield

**Code:** 25-25108



## **Grade Level Summary Report**

School: North Smitfield HS
District: North Smithfield
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 25-25108

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Po	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	:		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		129			130			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	122	123	122	123	124	123	10,628	10,672	10,594	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	20	20	20	20	20	20	1,745	2,408	1,172	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	311	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students	20	20	20	21	21	21	1,627	1,625	1,612	16	16	16	17	17	17	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	20	20	20	20	20	20	820	841	747	100	100	100	95	95	95	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	7	6	7	7	6	7	536	492	570	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
State Approved	4	3	4	4	3	4	195	156	189	57	50	57	57	50	57	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	1	1	1	108	108	108	25	33	25	25	33	25	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	34	35	30	25	33	25	25	33	25	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	25	0	25	25	0	25	1	0	1
Special Consideration	1	1	1	1	1	1	12	13	9	25	33	25	25	33	25	6	8	5
Other	3	3	3	3	3	3	341	336	381	43	50	43	43	50	43	64	68	67

#### **NECAP RESULTS**

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	el 3	Le	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	129	4	3	122	45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150	123	37	47	11	6	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	129	3	3	123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	28	1136	124	0	39	33	28	1136	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	129	4	3	122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0	123	2	72	22	5	7.0	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## **Reading Results**

School: North Smitfield HSDistrict: North SmithfieldState: Rhode Island

**Code:** 25-25108

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	140	2	0	138	18	13	97	70	18	13	5	4	1146
2009-10	138	0	1	137	27	20	72	53	30	22	8	6	1147
2010-11	129	4	3	122	45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150
Cumulative													
Total	407	6	4	397	90	23	226	57	61	15	20	5	1148
DISTRICT													
2008-09	140	2	0	138	18	13	97	70	18	13	5	4	1146
2009-10	138	0	1	137	27	20	72	53	30	22	8	6	1147
2010-11	130	4	3	123	45	37	58	47	13	11	7	6	1150
Cumulative													
Total	408	6	4	398	90	23	227	57	61	15	20	5	1148
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative		! !											
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
Vord ID/Vocabulary	20								•	•				
pe of Text													•	Scho
Literary	42							_	2				<b>A</b>	Dist
Informational	42								2				_	Stat Star Erro
evel of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31								*	-				
Analysis & Interpretation	53								_					



## **Disaggregated Reading Results**

School: North Smitfield HSDistrict: North Smithfield

State: Rhode Island Code: 25-25108

REPORTING CATEGORIES  N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N							Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
Main	I .	Enrolled	1		Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Scaled	Tested	1			Level 1	Scaled	Tested	1			Level 1	Scaled
Gender  Male Frenue  71 3 2 66 31 47 28 47 7 11 0 0 1153 66 47 42 11 0 1153 65 34 46 14 6 1149  Not Reported  71 3 2 66 31 47 28 47 7 11 0 0 1153 66 47 42 11 0 1153 5,755 34 46 14 6 1149  Not Reported  8		N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
Male Famile 71 3 2 666 31 47 28 42 77 114 0 0 0 153 525 28 52 6 7 11 0 0 1153 666 47 25 53 11 1 2 1146 5364 22 50 18 10 1145 Famile Not Reported 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	All Students	129	4	3	122	45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150	123	37	47	11	6	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Fernale Frame Fram	Gender																									
Fernale Frame Fram	Male	58	1	1	56	14	25	29	52	6	11	7	13	1146	57	25	53	11	12	1146	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Recelethicity   Hispanic or Latino   Sample	Female	71	3	2	66	31	47	28	42	7	11	0	0	1153	66		42	11	0	1153	5,255		46	14	6	1149
Hispanic relation  American Indian or Alaskan Native  Anierican Indian or Alaskan Native  Anierican Indian or Alaskan Native  Anierican Indian or Alaskan Native  Alaskan I 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0											
Not Hispanic relatino																										
American Indianor AlaSkan Native Asian    1		3	0	0	3										3						1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Asian																										
Black or African American  8		1		_	1 1										1											
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander    Milite   123   3		1		· -											1										:	
White No are properties 123 3 3 177 45 38 53 45 13 117 6 5 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 123 134 49 12 5 1150 1130 1130 1130 1130 1130 1130 11			-	1 ~																						1
Two or more races	I .			· -																						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1		45	38	53	45	13	11	6	5	1150		38	46	11	5	1150					i	
LEP Status  Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0		_							1															1
Current LEP student — monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
Current LEP student — monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LED Status																									
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 0		٥ .	0										l 0						271	1	12	32	/18	1131
Former LEP Students monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1 -		_													İ									
All Other Students    129																									i	1
Students with an IEP All Other Students   107   3				_		45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150		37	47	11	6	1150						
Students with an IEP All Other Students   107   3	IFD.																									
All Other Students 107 3 2 102 45 44 53 52 3 3 1 1153 9,001 32 51 13 4 1149  SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students 109 3 2 104 42 40 48 46 10 10 10 4 1151 105 40 47 10 4 1151 6,866 36 48 11 5 1142  Migrant Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 10,628 28 48 16 8 1147  Title I  Students Receiving Title I Services 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 2,655 13 48 25 15 1142  Students with a 504 Plan Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 2,550 30 55 12 3 1149		22	1	1	20			1	20	10	F0	_	20	1122	21	_	24	40	20	1124	1 627	1	22	22	21	1125
SES								1 '					:						i			1 .			1	
Economically Disadvantaged Students 20 1 1 1 18 3 17 9 50 3 17 1144 18 17 50 17 17 1144 3,762 13 49 24 14 1142 All Other Students  Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	All Other Students	107	3	2	102	45	44	53	52	3	3	'	1	1153	102	44	52	3		1153	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
All Other Students 109 3 2 104 42 40 48 46 10 10 10 4 1151 105 40 47 10 4 1151 6,866 36 48 11 5 1150  Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 250 30 55 12 3 1149  504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 6 0																										
Migrant Students All Other Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 150 7,976 33 48 13 6 1149  504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 6 0																										
Migrant Students   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	All Other Students	109	3	2	104	42	40	48	46	10	10	4	4	1151	105	40	47	10	4	1151	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant Students   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Migrant																									
All Other Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 10,628 28 48 16 8 1147  Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 126 28 48 16 8 1147  Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 7,976 33 48 13 6 1149  Students with a 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 6 0 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		0	0	0	0				İ						0						0					
Title I         Students Receiving Title I Services         0						45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150		37	47	11	6	1150		28	48	16	8	1147
Students Receiving Title   Services 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 150 125 13 48 25 15 1142 149 149 150 149 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150																		İ			"					
All Other Students 129 4 3 122 45 37 57 47 13 11 7 6 1150 123 37 47 11 6 1150 7,976 33 48 13 6 1149  504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 6 0 0 6	Title I																								-	
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 6 0 0 6 6 250 30 55 12 3 1149	Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652				15	
Students with a 504 Plan         6         0         0         6         12         3         1149	All Other Students	129	4	3	122	45	37	57	47	13	11	7	6	1150	123	37	47	11	6	1150	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
Students with a 504 Plan         6         0         0         6         149	504 Plan																	į					į			
		6			6										<b> </b> 6				1		250	20	55	12	,	11/0
All Other students   123   4   3   110   44   36   32   43   13   11   7   0   1130   117   36   43   11   0   1130   10,376   26   46   10   6   1147						14	20	52	15	12	11	7	6	1150		20	15	11	6	1150						
	All Other Students	123	4	] 3	110	44	30	ا عد	40	13	''	′	U	1130	l '''	٥٥	40	11	U	1130	10,576	20	40	10	0	114/

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Mathematics Results**

School: North Smitfield HS
District: North Smithfield
State: Rhode Island

**Code**: 25-25108

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	140	2	0	138	1	1	47	34	57	41	33	24	1137
2009-10	138	0	1	137	0	0	54	39	43	31	40	29	1136
2010-11	129	3	3	123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	28	1136
Cumulative													
Total	407	5	4	398	1	<1	149	37	140	35	108	27	1136
DISTRICT													
2008-09	140	2	0	138	1	1	47	34	57	41	33	24	1137
2009-10	138	0	1	137	0	0	54	39	43	31	40	29	1136
2010-11	130	3	3	124	0	0	48	39	41	33	35	28	1136
Cumulative													
Total	408	5	4	399	1	<1	149	37	141	35	108	27	1136
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

School: North Smitfield HS

District: North Smithfield

**State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 25-25108

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	129	3	3	123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	28	1136	124	0	39	33	28	1136	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	58	1	1	56	0	0	21	38	18	32	17	30	1135	57	0	37	33	30	1135	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female Not Reported	71 0	2 0	2 0	67 0	0	0	27	40	22	33	18	27	1137	67 0	0	40	33	27	1137	5,277 9	2	29	30	39	1135
Race/Ethnicity																				4 007		43	25	60	4400
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										3						1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	1	0	0	1										1						293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										0						865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0			40		27	22	22	27	4427	0			22	27	4427	29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	123	3	3	117	0	0	48	41	37	32	32	27	1137	118	0	41	32	27	1137	7,342	3 1	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0 1						166 76	0	23 8	31 13	45 79	1135 1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	129	3	3	123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	28	1136	124	0	39	33	28	1136	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	22	1	1	20	0	0	0	0	5	25	15	75	1123	21	0	0	29	71	1124	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	107	2	2	103	0	0	48	47	35	34	20	19	1139	103	0	47	34	19	1139	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
SES				4.0					_	20	4.0								4420	2 704		4.5			
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	20 109	1 2	1 2	18 105	0	0	1 47	6 45	7 33	39 31	10 25	56 24	1130 1137	18 106	0	6 44	39 32	56 24	1130 1137	3,794 6,878	1 4	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137
		_	_																	,,,,,				-	
Migrant	0	0	0	0										0						0					
Migrant Students All Other Students	129	3	3	123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	28	1136	124	0	39	33	28	1136	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
	.25			1.23		`			"				50	'-'					50	. 5,572			-	50	
Title I				_										_						2.600		4.5			4422
Students Receiving Title I Services	129	0 3	0	0 123	0	0	48	39	40	33	35	20	1136	0 124	0	39	33	28	1136	2,680	1	15 35	25 30	60 31	1130 1137
All Other Students	129	5	3	123	"	U	48	39	40	33	33	28	1130	124	"	39	33	28	1130	7,992	5	50	30	31	113/
504 Plan																				250	,	22	27	27	1427
Students with a 504 Plan	123	0 3	0	6 117	0	0	47	40	38	32	32	27	1136	6 118	0	40	33	27	1136	250 10,422	4	32 30	37 29	27 39	1137 1135
All Other Students	1 123	ا د ا	ا ع	1 117	1 0	. U	4/	40	ەد ا	. 22	ے ر	- 41	1130	I 110	l o	40	در :	: 41	1130	10,422	د	. JU	. 29	. 22	1133

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Writing Results**

School: North Smitfield HS
District: North Smithfield
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 25-25108

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	140	2	1	137	7	5	57	42	67	49	6	4	6.5
2009-10	138	0	2	136	10	7	65	48	56	41	5	4	6.7
2010-11	129	4	3	122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0
Cumulative													
Total	407	6	6	395	19	5	210	53	149	38	17	4	6.7
DISTRICT													
2008-09	140	2	1	137	7	5	57	42	67	49	6	4	6.5
2009-10	138	0	2	136	10	7	65	48	56	41	5	4	6.7
2010-11	130	4	3	123	2	2	88	72	27	22	6	5	7.0
Cumulative													
Total	408	6	6	396	19	5	210	53	150	38	17	4	6.7
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



**Writing Results** 

School: North Smitfield HS
District: North Smithfield
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 25-25108

#### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

								- 7		9							
Type of Writing				Scł	hool				ı	Distri	ct				State	е	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score		0 7	1	2	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	122	7		-	<b>)</b>		123	7		•		10,594	6.4		•	-
	2009-10	17	6.6		-4	_		17	6.6		4		1,339	6.5			_
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	16	6.6		-	_		16	6.6		•		1,327	6.2		-	-
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	18	5.9			_		18	5.9				1,323	6.2			-
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	15	6.4		•	•		15	6.4		•		1,313	6.3		-	-
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	15	6.2			_		15	6.2				1,321	6.2			-
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	14	6.9		-	<b>)</b>		14	6.9		•		1,321	6.6		-	-
of a personal experience, benci, or facu.	2009-10	17	6.6		-4	_		17	6.6		4		1,339	6.5			-
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	16	6.9		-	<b>)</b>		16	6.9		•		1,328	6.6		-	-
and thoughts on a rocusca topic.	2009-10	16	7.8		-	<b>—</b>		16	7.8			•	1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	16	7.7			<b>)</b>		16	7.7		•		1,340	6.6		-	-
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	18	5.9			-		18	5.9				1,326	6.6			_

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The • shows this year's score and the black bar (———) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



## **Writing Results**

School: North Smitfield HS
District: North Smithfield
State: Rhode Island
Code: 25-25108

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	2	2	2	2	1
9	5	4	5	4	5	4	3
8	4	4	60	49	60	49	27
7	4	3	23	19	23	19	20
6	3	3	15	12	16	13	29
5	3	2	5	4	5	4	8
4	2	2	6	5	6	5	7
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	4	3	4	3	2
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout     details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## **Disaggregated Writing Results**

School: North Smitfield HS

District: North Smithfield

State: Rhode Island Code: 25-25108

		School													District						State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	
All Students	129	4	3	122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0	123	2	72	22	5	7.0	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4	
Gender Male Female Not Reported	58 71 0	1 3 0	1 2 0	56 66 0	2 0	4 0	38 50	68 76	11 15	20 23	5 1	9 2	6.8 7.2	57 66 0	4 0	67 76	21 23	9 2	6.8 7.2	5,339 5,246 9	1 1	43 56	49 39	6 3	6.2 6.7	
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										3						1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8	
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 1 0 0 123 0	0 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 3 0	1 1 0 0 117 0	2	2	84	72	25	21	6	5	7.0	1 1 0 0 118 0	2	71	22	5	7.0	64 288 852 30 7,342 166 68	2 2 1 0 1 1	42 54 34 17 55 41	45 41 56 70 41 55 47	11 3 10 13 3 3 35	6.0 6.6 5.7 5.2 6.7 6.3 4.4	
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 0 0 129	0 0 0 4	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0	0 0 0 0 123	2	72	22	5	7.0	261 26 33 10,274	0 0 0 1	11 27 24 51	50 65 70 44	39 8 6 4	3.7 5.7 5.7 6.5	
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	22 107	1 3	1 2	20 102	0 2	0 2	5 83	25 81	12 14	60 14	3	15 3	5.1 7.4	21 102	0 2	24 81	62 14	14 3	5.1 7.4	1,612 8,982	<1 1	18 55	64 41	18 3	4.9 6.7	
SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	20 109	1 3	1 2	18 104	1 1	6 1	10 78	56 75	5 21	28 20	2 4	11 4	6.6 7.1	18 105	6	56 74	28 21	11 4	6.6 7.1	3,740 6,854	<1 1	37 57	54 39	8	5.9 6.7	
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 129	0 4	0 3	0 122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0	0 123	2	72	22	5	7.0	0 10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4	
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 129	0 4	0 3	0 122	2	2	88	72	26	21	6	5	7.0	0 123	2	72	22	5	7.0	2,623 7,971	<1 1	37 54	52 42	10 3	5.8 6.6	
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	6 123	0 4	0 3	6 116	2	2	83	72	25	22	6	5	7.0	6 117	2	71	22	5	7.0	250 10,344	2	51 50	46 44	2 5	6.7 6.4	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient