About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport

Code: 21-21111



Grade Level Summary Report

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

DADTICIDATION : NECAD					Numbei	r							P	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		124			130			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	121	123	120	127	129	126	10,628	10,672	10,594	98	99	97	98	99	97	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	10	9	0	11	10	1	1,745	2,408	1,172	8	7	0	9	8	1	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	2	2	2	2	2	2	271	311	261	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	15	15	15	20	20	20	1,627	1,625	1,612	12	12	13	16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	9	8	0	10	9	1	820	841	747	60	53	0	50	45	5	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	3	1	4	3	1	4	536	492	570	2	1	3	2	1	3	5	4	5
State Approved	2	0	3	2	0	3	195	156	189	67	0	75	67	0	75	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	108	108	0		0	0		0	55	69	57
First Year LEP	2	0	3	2	0	3	40	0	41	100		100	100		100	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30	0		0	0		0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		0	0		0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0		0	0		0	6	8	5
Other	1	1	1	1	1	1	341	336	381	33	100	25	33	100	25	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	124	2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146	127	19	56	18	7	1145	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132	129	0	19	33	49	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3	126	2	40	50	7	6.1	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	128	6	0	122	16	13	66	54	31	25	9	7	1143
2009-10	165	4	0	161	48	30	85	53	18	11	10	6	1149
2010-11	124	2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146
Cumulative													
Total	417	12	1	404	88	22	222	55	69	17	25	6	1146
DISTRICT													
2008-09	130	6	0	124	16	13	66	53	31	25	11	9	1143
2009-10	168	4	1	163	48	29	85	52	18	11	12	7	1149
2010-11	130	2	1	127	24	19	71	56	23	18	9	7	1145
Cumulative													
Total	428	12	2	414	88	21	222	54	72	17	32	8	1146
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	tal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50 ;	60	70 ;	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20							7	•			
ype of Text												
Literary	42						_	•				
Informational	42							•				
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31							*	- - •			
Analysis & Interpretation	53						*	_ - ◆				



Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport
State: Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	124	2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146	127	19	56	18	7	1145	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	53	0	0	53	6	11	31	58	11	21	5	9	1143	57	11	54	23	12	1142	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	71	2	1	68	18	26	40	59	9	13	1	1	1148	70	26	57	14	3	1147	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	27	1	1	25	5	20	16	64	2	8	2	8	1146	26	19	62	12	8	1145	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										2						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	3	0	0	3										3						288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	23	0	0	23	3	13	14	61	5	22	1	4	1143	24	13	58	25	4	1143	862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	68	1	0	67	14	21	38	57	12	18	3	4	1146	71	20	54	18	8	1145	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 0	0	0	1 0										1 0						166 73	28 5	47 19	20 38	5 37	1147
, ,														Ü						,,,					
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	1	2										2						271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0								-		0						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0							_	_		0				_	l	32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	121	2	0	119	24	20	69	58	20	17	6	5	1146	125	19	55	18	7	1145	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	15	0	0	15	1	7	7	47	2	13	5	33	1135	20	5	35	25	35	1134	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	109	2	1	106	23	22	64	60	18	17	1	1	1147	107	21	60	17	2	1147	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55	2	1	52	5	10	35	67	8	15	4	8	1144	53	9	66	17	8	1144	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	69	0	0	69	19	28	36	52	12	17	2	3	1147	74	26	49	19	7	1146	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant		_	0	0								İ		0						0					
Migrant Students All Other Students	0 124	0 2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146	0 127	19	56	18	7	1145	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
All Other Students	124		'	121	24	20	'	פנ	20	17	"	٦	1140	127	פו	טכ	10	'	1145	10,020	20	40	10	. °	114/
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	124	2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146	127	19	56	18	7	1145	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	1	0	0	1						İ		İ		1				i		250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	123	2	1	120	23	19	71	59	20	17	6	5	1145	126	18	56	18	7	1145	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	128	7	0	121	1	1	27	22	34	28	59	49	1132
2009-10	165	2	0	163	2	1	50	31	46	28	65	40	1135
2010-11	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132
Cumulative													
Total	417	9	1	407	3	1	101	25	122	30	181	44	1133
DISTRICT													
2008-09	130	7	0	123	1	1	27	22	34	28	61	50	1132
2009-10	168	3	1	164	2	1	50	30	46	28	66	40	1135
2010-11	130	0	1	129	0	0	24	19	42	33	63	49	1131
Cumulative													
Total	428	10	2	416	3	1	101	24	122	29	190	46	1133
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport**State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	te		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132	129	0	19	33	49	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	53	0	0	53	0	0	9	17	18	34	26	49	1131	57	0	16	32	53	1130	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	71	0	1	70	0	0	15	21	24	34	31	44	1133	72	0	21	33	46	1132	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	27	0	1	26	0	0	4	15	6	23	16	62	1131	27	0	15	22	63	1130	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2				į		İ		į		2		ĺ		İ		64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	3	0	0	3										3						293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	23	0	0	23	0	0	3	13	8	35	12	52	1131	24	0	13	33	54	1130	865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	68	0	0	68	0	0	17	25	26	38	25	37	1133	72	0	24	36	40	1132	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 0	0	0	1 0										1 0						166 76	1 0	23 8	31 13	45 79	1135 1124
No nace/Emiliary Reported														Ĭ						, ,			13	"	1121
LEP Status								İ		İ		İ				İ		İ							
Current LEP student	3	0	1	2										2						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	121	0	0	121	0	0	24	20	42	35	55	45	1132	127	0	19	33	48	1131	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	15	0	0	15	0	0	1	7	1	7	13	87	1117	20	0	5	5	90	1115	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	109	0	1	108	0	0	23	21	41	38	44	41	1134	109	0	21	38	41	1134	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
SES								4.5	4.5	20	24		4424			4.5	27		4424	2 70 4		16	27		1121
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55 69	0	0	54 69	0	0	8 16	15 23	15 27	28 39	31 26	57 38	1131 1133	55 74	0	15 22	27 36	58 42	1131 1132	3,794	1	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137
All Other Students	69	0	0	69	0	0	16	23	27	39	26	38	1133	/4	0	22	30	42	1132	6,878	4	38	30	28	113/
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132	129	0	19	33	49	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I										İ															
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132	129	0	19	33	49	1131	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
FOA Plan																									
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan	1	0	0	1										1						250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	123	0	1	122	0	0	23	19	42	34	57	47	1132	128	0	18	33	49	1131	10,422	3	30	29	39	1137
All Other Students	1 123	ı v	1 '	122	ı 0	, 0	23	1 13	1 44	,)4	J /	, +/	11174	120		, 10	, ,,	, 40	וכוון	10,422	ر	, JU	, 43	, 22	ردا ا

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	128	6	0	122	3	2	51	42	55	45	13	11	6.0
2009-10	165	4	0	161	12	7	82	51	62	39	5	3	6.9
2010-11	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3
Cumulative													
Total	417	13	1	403	18	4	184	46	178	44	23	6	6.4
DISTRICT													
2008-09	130	6	0	124	3	2	51	41	55	44	15	12	6.0
2009-10	168	4	1	163	12	7	82	50	64	39	5	3	6.9
2010-11	130	3	1	126	3	2	51	40	63	50	9	7	6.1
Cumulative													
Total	428	13	2	413	18	4	184	45	182	44	29	7	6.4
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: NewportState: Rhode IslandCode: 21-21111

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

						~ ,	.,,,,,		9							
Type of Writing				Scl	hool			D	istri	ict				State	<u>.</u>	
Type of writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score		0 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	120	6.3		-		126	6.1		-		10,594	6.4		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or raca.	2009-10	21	6.3		-4		21	6.3				1,339	6.5		4	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	17	6		•		17	6		•		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	22	6.2		4		22	6.2				1,323	6.2			ı
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	16	5.1		•		16	5.1		-		1,313	6.3		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	21	6.6				21	6.6				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	14	6.4		-		14	6.4		•		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or face.	2009-10	21	6.3		-4		21	6.3		4		1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	13	5.6		-		15	5.3		-		1,328	6.6		•	
and thoughts on a locasca topic.	2009-10	19	7.6		+		21	7.3			•	1,337	6.8		4	-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	16	6.4		•		17	6.1		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	20	6.4		-4		20	6.4				1,326	6.6			•

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport
State: Rhode Island
Code: 21-21111

			Score Dis	tribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	3	3	3	2	1
9	5	4	2	2	2	2	3
8	4	4	23	19	23	18	27
7	4	3	26	22	26	21	20
6	3	3	38	32	39	31	29
5	3	2	14	12	14	11	8
4	2	2	9	8	10	8	7
3	2	1	0	0	1	1	1
2	1	1	3	3	6	5	2
0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport
State: Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

REPORTING CATEGORIES						Scho	ol							District						State					
	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3	126	2	40	50	7	6.1	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	53	0	0	53	0	0	18	34	31	58	4	8	5.8	57	0	32	56	12	5.6	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	71	3	1	67	3	4	33	49	30	45	1	1	6.6	69	4	48	45	3	6.6	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	27	1	1	25	2	8	7	28	15	60	1	4	6.3	26	8	27	62	4	6.3	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino						İ						İ								'		į		İ	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										2						64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	3	0	0	3		İ								3						288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	23	1	0	22	1	5	6	27	13	59	2	9	5.8	23	4	26	61	9	5.7	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	68	1	0	67	0	0	33	49	33	49	1	1	6.4	71	0	46	46	7	6.2	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	1	0	0	1	*								"	1				1	0.2	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	Ö										Ö						68	Ö	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	1	2										2						261	0	11	50	39	3.7
	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		İ						İ		0						33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	121	3	0	118	3	3	51	43	59	50	5	4	6.3	124	2	41	49	7	6.2	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
	1.5			1.5	1 ,		-	22	,	47	,	20		1 20		25	4.5	1 20	4.0	1 (12		10		10	1 40
Students with an IEP	15	0	0	15	0	0	5	33	7	47	3	20	5.2	20	0	25	45	30	4.8	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	109	3	1	105	3	3	46	44	54	51	2	2	6.4	106	3	43	51	3	6.4	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55	2	1	52	2	4	18	35	29	56	3	6	6.0	53	4	34	57	6	6.0	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	69	1	0	68	1	1	33	49	32	47	2	3	6.5	73	1	45	45	8	6.2	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		i						į		0						0		İ		i	
All Other Students	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3	126	2	40	50	7	6.1	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I						İ											į							İ	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3	126	2	40	50	7	6.1	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	1	0	0	1				1						1						250	2	51	46	2	67
	122	3	1		3	3	50	42	61	51	5	4	6.3	125	,	40	50	7	6 1	250	2	50		5	6.7 6.4
All Other Students	123	ا ع		119	ا ع	; 3	l on	42	61	; DI	ا ا	; 4	6.3	125	2	40	; 50	; /	6.1	10,344		; 50	44	; 5	1 0.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient