# About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to С MMO students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's 1 statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### **Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **District Results**

**District**: Narragansett

Code: 20



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

					Numbe	·							Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					129			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				127	127	127	10,628	10,672	10,594				98	98	98	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				4	4	4	1,745	2,408	1,172				3	3	3	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				0	0	0	271	311	261				0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students				27	27	27	1,627	1,625	1,612				21	21	21	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				3	3	3	820	841	747				11	11	11	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				2	2	2	536	492	570				2	2	2	5	4	5
State Approved				2	2	2	195	156	189				100	100	100	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				0	0	0	108	108	108				0	0	0	55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				1	1	1	34	35	30				50	50	50	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				1	1	1	12	13	9				50	50	50	6	8	5
Other				0	0	0	341	336	381				0	0	0	64	68	67

#### NECAP RESULTS

					I	District	:									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	129	2	0	127	54	43	59	46	10	8	4	3	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
МАТН	129	2	0	127	9	7	61	48	34	27	23	18	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	129	2	0	127	3	2	77	61	42	33	5	4	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Scaled Score
<b>SCHOOL</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total													
<b>DISTRICT</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	113 116 <b>129</b> 358	0 1 <b>2</b> 3	0 1 <b>0</b> 1	113 114 <b>127</b> 354	30 37 <b>54</b> 121	27 32 <b>43</b> 34	65 69 <b>59</b> 193	58 61 <b>46</b> 55	14 4 <b>10</b> 28	12 4 <b>8</b> 8	4 4 <b>4</b> 12	4 4 <b>3</b> 3	1149 1151 <b>1152</b> 1151
<b>STATE</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	179 223 <b>195</b> 597	340 224 <b>341</b> 905	10,684 10,742 <b>10,628</b> 32,054	1,909 2,466 <b>2,964</b> 7,339	18 23 <b>28</b> 23	5,498 5,416 <b>5,126</b> 16,040	51 50 <b>48</b> 50	2,125 1,875 <b>1,688</b> 5,688	20 17 <b>16</b> 18	1,152 985 <b>850</b> 2,987	11 9 <b>8</b> 9	1145 1146 <b>1147</b> 1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40 	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•		-		
Type of Text													School
Literary	42							•	<b>A</b>				<ul> <li>District</li> <li>State</li> </ul>
Informational	42							•	<b></b>				- Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	31								• -4	-			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							•	-				



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

					I	Distri	ict									Sta	ite								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	129	2	0	127	54	43	59	46	10	8	4	3	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	63	1	0	62	22	35	32	52	5	8	3	5	1150	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female Not Reported	66 0	1 0	0 0	65 0	32	49	27	42	5	8	1	2	1154	5,255 9	34	46	14	6	1149						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										63	16	41	30	13	1142						
Asian	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő										288	26	52	18	4	1148						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	120	1	0	119	54	45	54	45	8	7	3	3	1153	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										166	28	47	20	5	1147						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										73	5	19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										271	1	18	32	48	1131						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										32	3	53	38	6	1141						
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	54	43	59	46	10	8	4	3	1152	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	29	2	0	27	1	4	16	59	6	22	4	15	1141	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	100	0	0	100	53	53	43	43	4	4	0	0	1155	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	0	0	13	2	15	7	54	1	8	3	23	1143	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	116	2	0	114	52	46	52	46	9	8	1	1	1153	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	54	43	59	46	10	8	4	3	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,652	13	48	25	15	1142			1		1	
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	54	43	59	46	10	8	4	3	1152	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										250	30	55	12	3	1149				1	1	
All Other Students	125	2	Ő	123	51	41	58	47	10	8	4	3	1152	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						
	1					i		i	1									1	1	1	1	i	i	i	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	113 116 <b>129</b> 358	0 2 <b>2</b> 4	0 1 <b>0</b> 1	113 113 <b>127</b> 353	3 4 <b>9</b> 16	3 4 <b>7</b> 5	47 57 <b>61</b> 165	42 50 <b>48</b> 47	33 40 <b>34</b> 107	29 35 <b>27</b> 30	30 12 <b>23</b> 65	27 11 <b>18</b> 18	1139 1140 <b>1140</b> 1140
<b>STATE</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	161 195 <b>156</b> 512	343 278 <b>336</b> 957	10,699 10,716 <b>10,672</b> 32,087	155 181 <b>272</b> 608	1 2 <b>3</b> 2	2,739 2,748 <b>3,224</b> 8,711	26 26 <b>30</b> 27	3,007 2,958 <b>3,094</b> 9,059	28 28 <b>29</b> 28	4,798 4,829 <b>4,082</b> 13,709	45 45 <b>38</b> 43	1134 1134 <b>1135</b> 1134

	Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Numbers & Operations	19				•	-							<ul><li>School</li><li>District</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	41				•	-							♦ State
Functions & Algebra	55					•	-	<u> </u>					Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					• -							



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

**Disaggregated Mathematics Results** 

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	1	evel 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	129	2	0	127	9	7	61	48	34	27	23	18	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135	5					
Gender																									
Male	63	1	0	62	5	8	33	53	12	19	12	19	1140	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135	;					
Female	66	1	0	65	4	6	28	43	22	34	11	17	1140	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129	)					
Not Hispanic or Latino														,											
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian	0	0	0	0										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										865	<1	12	24	63	1129	)					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										29	0	21	24	55	1129	)					
White	120	1	0	119	9	8	59	50	33	28	18	15	1141	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	0	0	0	0		-								166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										311	0	3	9	89	1122	,					
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	9	7	61	48	34	27	23	18	1140	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	29	2	0	27	0	0	6	22	7	26	14	52	1132	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	100	0	0	100	9	9	55	55	27	20	9	9	1142	9,047	3	35	32	31	1124						
All Other students	100	0	0	100	9	9	55	55	21	27	9	9	1142	9,047	2	50	52	21	1157						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	13 116	0	0	13 114	0	0 8	5 56	38 49	4 30	31 26	4 19	31 17	1136	3,794 6,878	1 4	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137						
Air other students	110	2				Ŭ	50	15	50	20	15			0,070		50	50	1 20	1157						
Migrant						1				1						1		1							
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	9	7	61	48	34	27	23	18	1140	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135	5					
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0						1				2,680	1	15	25	60	1130	)					
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	9	7	61	48	34	27	23	18	1140	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137	'					
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										250	4	32	37	27	1137	,					
All Other Students	125	2	0 0	123	8	7	59	48	34	28	22	18	1140	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135						
	125	<u>_</u>		125		<i>'</i>			<sup>,,</sup>	20	L 22	10		10,722	5	50	25		''''						
		L			1	!	1	1	1	!	L	:		I		!	:	!					!		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
<b>SCHOOL</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	113 116 <b>129</b> 358	0 1 <b>2</b> 3	1 1 0 2	112 114 <b>127</b> 353	11 9 <b>3</b> 23	10 8 <b>2</b> 7	57 62 <b>77</b> 196	51 54 <b>61</b> 56	41 42 <b>42</b> 125	37 37 <b>33</b> 35	3 1 <b>5</b> 9	3 1 <b>4</b> 3	7.0 7.2 <b>6.9</b> 7.0
<b>STATE</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	156 221 <b>189</b> 566	378 289 <b>381</b> 1,048	10,669 10,679 <b>10,594</b> 31,942	403 742 <b>119</b> 1,264	4 7 <b>1</b> 4	4,023 5,124 <b>5,269</b> 14,416	38 48 <b>50</b> 45	5,322 4,253 <b>4,680</b> 14,255	50 40 <b>44</b> 45	921 560 <b>526</b> 2,007	9 5 <b>5</b> 6	6.1 6.7 <b>6.4</b> 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results** 

**District:** Narragansett Rhode Island State: Code: 20

	A	/erage	Score	e C	ompa	arisor	ו by	Туре с	of Writ	ting⁵							
Turne of Multipline				Sch	nool					Distric	t				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	)	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)							127	6.9		-		10,594	6.4			
or a personal experience, bene, or laca.	2009-10							13	7.5		-		1,339	6.5			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							16	7		-		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							13	7.5		-	-	1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							16	5.6				1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							13	6.8		-		1,321	6.2			
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							16	6.3				1,321	6.6		-	
or a personal experience, bene, or laca.	2009-10							13	7.5		-		1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							15	6.9		•		1,328	6.6		-	
	2009-10							16	7.1		-		1,337	6.8		-	
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							15	5.9				1,340	6.6		-	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							14	7.3		-		1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The  $\bigcirc$  shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The  $\blacktriangle$  shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

			Score Dis	tribution					Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	<ul> <li>Internionally organized for effect • fully developed details, not ana/or insignitut etablication supports</li> <li>purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of</li> <li>grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
12	6	6			0	0	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5			0	0	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated      strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning      consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
10	5	5			3	2	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4			10	8	3	4	<ul> <li>bubbse is evident, locus/controlling idea may not be maintained signification organized and content of edetails are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well</li> <li>may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
8	4	4			48	38	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3			19	15	20	3	some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may have appear in concrete or sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
6	3	3			29	23	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2			7	6	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2			6	5	7		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1			2	2	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1			3	2	2	0	Deserves is totally incoment or implement
0	0	0			0	0	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

District:NarragansettState:Rhode IslandCode:20

						Distr	ict							State											
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	129	2	0	127	3	2	77	61	42	33	5	4	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	63	1	0	62	0	0	34	55	23	37	5	8	6.6	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	66	1	Ő	65	3	5	43	66	19	29	Ő	0	7.3	5,246		56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0				00			Ū	Ŭ	1.5	9		50	55	5							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
Not Hispanic or Latino			Ŭ											1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		57	52		5.0						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	0	0	0	0										288	2	54	41	3	6.6						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										852	1	34	56	10	5.7						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	0	17	70	13	5.2						
White	120	1	Ő	119	3	3	76	64	36	30	4	3	7.0	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races	0	0	Ő	0			,.				· ·		1	166		41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										261	0	11	50	39	3.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	27	65	8	5.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7						
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	3	2	77	61	42	33	5	4	6.9	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	29	2	0	27	0	0	6	22	16	59	5	19	5.3	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	100	0	0	100	3	3	71	71	26	26	0	0	7.4	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
All other students	100	0	0	100				//	20	20	0	0	/.4	0,902		, , , ,	41		0.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	0	0	13	0	0	7	54	4	31	2	15	5.9	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9			1	1		
All Other Students	116	2	0	114	3	3	70	61	38	33	3	3	7.1	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	3	2	77	61	42	33	5	4	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	129	2	0	127	3	2	77	61	42	33	5	4	6.9	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	125	2	0	123	3	2	74	60	41	33	5	4	6.9	10,344	1	50	40	5	6.4						
Lovel 4 Drefisiont with Distinction				-						-		-	1			i	i	i	1			i			1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient