# About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to  $\square$ students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### **Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **School Results**

School:	East Greenwich High
District:	East Greenwich
Code:	09-09106



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

					Numbei	•							Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		201			201			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	199	199	198	199	199	198	10,628	10,672	10,594	99	99	99	99	99	99	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	45	81	23	45	81	23	1,745	2,408	1,172	23	41	12	23	41	12	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	1	1	1	1	1	1	271	311	261	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	1	1	1	1	1	1	36	50	28	100	100	100	100	100	100	13	16	11
IEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,627	1,625	1,612	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	820	841	747							50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	2	2	3	2	2	3	536	492	570	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	4	5
State Approved	1	1	1	1	1	1	195	156	189	50	50	33	50	50	33	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	1	1	1	108	108	108	100	100	100	100	100	100	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	1	1	2	1	1	2	341	336	381	50	50	67	50	50	67	64	68	67

#### **NECAP RESULTS**

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	
READING	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154	199	51	41	6	2	1154	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
MATH	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143	199	14	54	21	12	1143	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	198	3	77	20	0	7.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	196	3	3	190	69	36	102	54	14	7	5	3	1152
2009-10	187	3	0	184	85	46	86	47	9	5	4	2	1154
2010-11	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154
Cumulative													
Total	584	7	4	573	256	45	270	47	34	6	13	2	1153
DISTRICT													
2008-09	196	3	3	190	69	36	102	54	14	7	5	3	1152
2009-10	188	3	0	185	85	46	86	46	9	5	5	3	1153
2010-11	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154
Cumulative													
Total	585	7	4	574	256	45	270	47	34	6	14	2	1153
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			I	Percei	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	-	•			
Type of Text													•	School
Literary	42							٠	-					District State
Informational	42							٠						Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31								•					
Analysis & Interpretation	53							•	•					



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154	199	51	41	6	2	1154	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	89	1	1	87	32	37	46	53	5	6	4	5	1151	87	37	53	6	5	1151	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female Not Reported	112 0	0 0	0 0	112 0	70	63	36	32	6	5	0	0	1157	112 0	63	32	5	0	1157	5,255 9	34	46	14	6	1149
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										3						1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	13	0	0	13	8	62	3	23	1	8	1	8	1155	13	62	23	8	8	1155	288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										1						862 30	11 3	46 53	27 23	15 20	1141 1140
White	180	1	0	179	93	52	75	42	8	4	3	2	1154	179	52	42	4	2	1154	7,343	34	53 49	12	20 5	1140
Two or more races	4	0	1	3					-		-	_		3				_		166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										1						271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						26 32	0	54 53	38 38	8 6	1140 1141
All Other Students	200	1	1	198	102	52	81	41	11	6	4	2	1154	198	52	41	6	2	1154	10,299	29	49	15	7	1141
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	0	0	0	0										0						1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154	199	51	41	6	2	1154	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	11 190	0	1	10 189	1 101	10 53	5 77	50 41	3	30 4	1	10 2	1139 1155	10 189	10 53	50 41	30 4	10 2	1139 1155	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24	14 5	1142 1150
	150		Ŭ	105						, i	5	-			55		Ċ	-		0,000		10			
Migrant Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154	199	51	41	6	2	1154	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	102	51	82	41	11	6	4	2	1154	199	51	41	6	2	1154	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	29	0	0	29	14	48	13	45	1	3	1	3	1152	29	48	45	3	3	1152	250	30	55	12	3	1149
	172			170	88	52	69	41	10	6	3	2	1155	170	52	41	6	2	1155	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	196	3	3	190	20	11	101	53	36	19	33	17	1142
2009-10	187	3	0	184	18	10	113	61	25	14	28	15	1143
2010-11	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143
Cumulative													
Total	584	7	4	573	65	11	322	56	102	18	84	15	1143
DISTRICT													
2008-09	196	3	3	190	20	11	101	53	36	19	33	17	1142
2009-10	188	3	0	185	18	10	113	61	25	14	29	16	1143
2010-11	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143
Cumulative													
Total	585	7	4	574	65	11	322	56	102	18	85	15	1143
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative						-							
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134

	Total			I	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	5			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19				•	-							<ul><li>School</li><li>District</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	41				•		*						<ul> <li>State</li> <li>Standard</li> </ul>
Functions & Algebra	55					•							Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•	-	•					



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143	199	14	54	21	12	1143	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	89	1	1	87	13	15	51	59	11	13	12	14	1144	87	15	59	13	14	1144	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female Not Reported	112 0	0	0	112 0	14	13	57	51	30	27	11	10	1143	112 0	13	51	27	10	1143	5,277 9	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported		0	0	0																5					
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										3						1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	13	0	0	13	4	31	6	46	2	15	1	8	1147	13	31	46	15	8	1147	293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										0						865 29	<1 0	12 21	24 24	63 55	1129 1129
White	180	1	0	179	23	13	100	56	36	20	20	11	1143	179	13	56	20	11	1143	7,342	3	37	31	29	1123
Two or more races	4	0	1	3										3						166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										1						311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 200	0	0 1	0 198	27	14	107	54	41	21	23	12	1143	0 198	14	54	21	12	1143	33 10,302	0	12 31	24 30	64 37	1130 1135
					-																-				
IEP Students with an IEP	0	0	0	0										0						1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143	199	14	54	21	12	1143	9,047	3	35	32	31	1124
					-															-,	-				
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	0	1	10	0	0	2	20	3	30	5	50	1131	10	0	20	30	50	1131	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	190	1	0	189	27	14	106	56	38	20	18	10	1144	189	14	56	20	10	1144	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143	199	14	54	21	12	1143	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	201	1	1	199	27	14	108	54	41	21	23	12	1143	199	14	54	21	12	1143	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
F04 Blan																									
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan	29	0	0	29	4	14	13	45	8	28	4	14	1142	29	14	45	28	14	1142	250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	172	1	1	170	23	14	95	56	33	19	19	11	1144	170	14	56	19	11	1144	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	196	2	3	191	18	9	129	68	37	19	7	4	7.5
2009-10	187	3	0	184	39	21	113	61	31	17	1	1	8.1
2010-11	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5
Cumulative													
Total	584	6	5	573	63	11	394	69	108	19	8	1	7.7
DISTRICT													
2008-09	196	2	3	191	18	9	129	68	37	19	7	4	7.5
2009-10	188	3	0	185	39	21	113	61	32	17	1	1	8.0
2010-11	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5
Cumulative													
Total	585	6	5	574	63	11	394	69	109	19	8	1	7.7
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results** 

School: East Greenwich High **District:** East Greenwich State: Rhode Island Code: 09-09106

	A	/erage	Scor	e C	ompa	risor	ו by	Туре с	of Writ	ting§							
Turne of Multipline				Sch	ool					Distric	t				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	)	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	198	7.5			•		198	7.5		•		10,594	6.4			
or a personal experience, benet, or face.	2009-10	24	6.9		_	-		24	6.9		-	•	1,339	6.5			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	26	7.2			•		26	7.2		•		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	23	7.7		-		•	24	7.4			-	1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	22	7.5			•		22	7.5		•		1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	24	7		_	-		24	7			-	1,321	6.2			
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	28	7.9			•		28	7.9		•		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, sener, or raca.	2009-10	24	6.9		_	-		24	6.9		-	•	1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	23	8.1					23	8.1		-•	-	1,328	6.6			
	2009-10	23	8.9			-	-	23	8.9		-4	F	1,337	6.8		-	
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	26	8.2			•		26	8.2		-•	•	1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	24	8				•	24	8			-	1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The  $\bigcirc$  shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The  $\blacktriangle$  shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

Score Distribution										Scoring Rubric							
Total	Score Score		Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State			purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writir     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports							
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	6	purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1			purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout							
11	6	5	1	1	1	1	<1	5	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
10	5	5	5	3	5	3	1										
9	5	4	24	12	24	12	3	Z	4	• purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     • details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     • may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
8	4	4	79	40	79	40	27			writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	49	25	49	25	20	3	3	<ul> <li>some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>							
6	3	3	38	19	38	19	29			attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	0	0	0	0	8	2	2	• generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	2	1	2	1	7			lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2		0								
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	(	J	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:East Greenwich HighDistrict:East GreenwichState:Rhode IslandCode:09-09106

	School												District							State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%	-	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	198	3	77	20	0	7.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	89	1	2	86	2	2	59	69	25	29	0	0	7.2	86	2	69	29	0	7.2	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	112	0	0	112	4	4	93	83	15	13	0	0	7.7	112	4	83	13	0	7.7	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										3						1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0			10		2	22		0		0			22		7.4	64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian Black or African American	13	0	0	13	0	0	10	77	3	23	0	0	7.4	13	0	77	23	0	7.4	288 852	2	54 34	41 56	3 10	6.6 5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	10	5.2
White	180	1	1	178	6	3	140	79	32	18	0	0	7.6	178	3	79	18	0	7.6	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	4	0	1	3	0		140	75	52	10		0	/.0	3	5	/5	10		7.0	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status	1		_	1										1						261		11	F.0	20	27
Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						261 26	0	11 27	50 65	39 8	3.7 5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	200	1	2	197	6	3	151	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	197	3	77	20	0	7.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
150																									
IEP Students with an IED	0	0	0	0										0						1 6 1 2	-1	18	64	18	4.9
Students with an IEP All Other Students	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	198	3	77	20	0	7.5	1,612 8,982	<1	55	41	3	6.7
	201		2	190	0	5	152	//	40	20	0	0	1.5	190	2	//	20	0	7.5	0,902		55	41	2	0.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	0	2	9										9						3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	190	1	0	189	6	3	147	78	36	19	0	0	7.5	189	3	78	19	0	7.5	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	198	3	77	20	0	7.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	201	1	2	198	6	3	152	77	40	20	0	0	7.5	198	3	77	20	0	7.5	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 54																									
504 Plan	20	0	0	20	1	3	22	70	5	17		0	7 5	20	3	70	17	0	7 5	250	2	E 1	16	2	67
Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	29 172	1	2	29 169	5	3	23 129	79 76	35	21	0	0 0	7.5	29 169	3	79 76	17 21	0 0	7.5	250 10,344	2	51 50	46 44	2 5	6.7 6.4
All Other students	1/2		4	105		5	1 125	/0	22	21	U U	v	1.7	103	5	, /0	i 21	i	1 '	10,544	1 '	50	1 44	, ,	0.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient