## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



# Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011** 

### **School Results**

**School:** Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland

**Code:** 08-08114



## **Grade Level Summary Report**

**School:** Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 08-08114

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Po	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		376			381			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	362	362	359	364	364	361	10,628	10,672	10,594	96	96	95	96	96	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	10	10	9	12	12	11	1,745	2,408	1,172	3	3	3	3	3	3	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	271	311	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students	54	54	52	56	56	54	1,627	1,625	1,612	15	15	14	15	15	15	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	10	10	9	12	12	11	820	841	747	19	19	17	21	21	20	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	14	14	17	17	17	20	536	492	570	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5
State Approved	2	2	1	4	4	3	195	156	189	14	14	6	24	24	15	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	3	3	3	108	108	108	50	50	100	75	75	100	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	1	1	0	1	1	0	12	13	9	50	50	0	25	25	0	6	8	5
Other	12	12	16	13	13	17	341	336	381	86	86	94	76	76	85	64	68	67

#### NECAD RESULTS

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	376	2	12	362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	364	1	35	32	32	1136	10,672	3	30	29	38	113
WRITING	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

**Note:** Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## **Reading Results**

School: Cumberland High School

District: Cumberland
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 08-08114

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	368	5	11	352	82	23	193	55	55	16	22	6	1147
2009-10	387	0	14	373	83	22	225	60	45	12	20	5	1148
2010-11	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	7	37	1,087	272	25	610	56	145	13	60	6	1148
DISTRICT													
2008-09	368	5	11	352	82	23	193	55	55	16	22	6	1147
2009-10	392	2	15	375	83	22	226	60	46	12	20	5	1148
2010-11	381	4	13	364	107	29	192	53	45	12	20	5	1148
Cumulative													
Total	1,141	11	39	1,091	272	25	611	56	146	13	62	6	1148
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			ı	Percen	t of To	tal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	*				
Type of Text													•	Scho
Literary	42							- <u>4</u>	<b>-</b>				<b>A</b>	Dist
Informational	42							*		1				Star
Level of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31								*	1				
Analysis & Interpretation	53							*						



## **Disaggregated Reading Results**

**School:** Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 08-08114

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	199	1	6	192	41	21	111	58	26	14	14	7	1146	192	21	58	14	7	1146	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	176	1	6	169	66	39	81	48	18	11	4	2	1151	171	39	47	11	4	1151	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	1	0	0	1										1						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	4	14	5	36	6	43	3	21	0	0	1149	14	36	43	21	0	1149	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																								1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	3	0	0	3										3						288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	11	0	0	11	1	9	5	45	3	27	2	18	1140	11	9	45	27	18	1140	862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				1		1				0			1	1		30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	327	2	7	318	96	30	172	54	34	11	16	5	1149	320	30	54	11	6	1149	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	13	0	1	12	4	33	7	58	1	8	0	0	1151	12	33	58	8	0	1151	166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	4	0	0	4										4						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1						0				1		271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	58	2	2	54	2	4	19	35	18	33	15	28	1136	56	4	34	32	30	1135	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	318	0	10	308	105	34	173	56	27	9	3	1	1151	308	34	56	9	1	1151	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
All Other students	310	0	10	300	103	34	1/3	30	21	, ,	3	'	1131	300	34	30	, ,	'	1131	9,001	32	31	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	79	1	5	73	16	22	36	49	13	18	8	11	1145	73	22	49	18	11	1145	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	297	1	7	289	91	31	156	54	32	11	10	3	1150	291	31	54	11	4	1149	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																	į								
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	7,976	33	48	13	6	1142
All Other Students	3/0		12	302	107	٥٥	192	JO	45	12	10	5	1149	] 304	29	JO	12	5	1140	1,910	دد	40	13	U	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										0						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	376	2	12	362	107	30	192	53	45	12	18	5	1149	364	29	53	12	5	1148	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147
																						İ			

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### **Mathematics Results**

School: Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 08-08114

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	368	4	11	353	6	2	112	32	124	35	111	31	1136
2009-10	387	0	14	373	7	2	121	32	140	38	105	28	1136
2010-11	376	2	12	362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	6	37	1,088	16	1	359	33	382	35	331	30	1136
DISTRICT													
2008-09	368	4	11	353	6	2	112	32	124	35	111	31	1136
2009-10	392	2	15	375	7	2	121	32	140	37	107	29	1136
2010-11	381	4	13	364	3	1	126	35	118	32	117	32	1136
Cumulative													
Total	1,141	10	39	1,092	16	1	359	33	382	35	335	31	1136
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134

	Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 I		
Numbers & Operations	19				<b>*</b>								•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				<b>*</b>								<b>*</b>	State
Functions & Algebra	55						<b>◆</b>							Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				4	<b>→</b>								



## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

**School:** Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 08-08114

JESSMER				

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	376	2	12	362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	364	1	35	32	32	1136	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender Male Female Not Reported	199 176 1	1 1 0	6 6 0	192 169 1	3 0	2 0	65 60	34 36	60 58	31 34	64 51	33 30	1136 1137	192 171 1	2	34 35	31 34	33 31	1136 1136	5,386 5,277 9	3 2	31 29	28 30	38 39	1135 1135
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	18	0	4	14	0	0	2	14	6	43	6	43	1134	14	0	14	43	43	1134	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0 3 11 0 327 13 4	0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 7 1	0 3 11 0 318 12 4	0 3 0	0 1 0	0 115 6	0 36 50	4 104 3	36 33 25	7 96 3	64 30 25	1130 1137 1139	0 3 11 0 320 12 4	0 1 0	0 36 50	36 33 25	64 31 25	1130 1136 1139	64 293 865 29 7,342 166 76	2 4 <1 0 3 1	14 33 12 21 37 23 8	28 31 24 24 31 31 31	56 32 63 55 29 45 79	1132 1137 1129 1129 1137 1135 1124
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 0 0 376	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 12	0 0 0 362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	0 0 0 364	1	35	32	32	1136	311 26 33 10,302	0 0 0 3	3 8 12 31	9 15 24 30	89 77 64 37	1122 1130 1130 1135
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	58 318	2 0	2 10	54 308	0 3	0 1	2 124	4 40	9 109	17 35	43 72	80 23	1125 1138	56 308	0	4 40	16 35	80 23	1125 1138	1,625 9,047	0 3	6 35	13 32	81 31	1124 1137
SES  Economically Disadvantaged Students  All Other Students	79 297	1 1	5 7	73 289	0 3	0 1	16 110	22 38	23 95	32 33	34 81	47 28	1133 1137	73 291	0	22 38	32 33	47 29	1133 1137	3,794 6,878	1 4	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 376	0 2	0 12	0 362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	0 364	1	35	32	32	1136	0 10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 376	0 2	0 12	0 362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	0 364	1	35	32	32	1136	2,680 7,992	1 3	15 35	25 30	60 31	1130 1137
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	0 376	0 2	0 12	0 362	3	1	126	35	118	33	115	32	1136	0 364	1	35	32	32	1136	250 10,422	4 3	32 30	37 29	27 39	1137 1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Writing Results**

School: Cumberland High School

District: Cumberland
State: Rhode Island

Code: 08-08114

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	368	3	14	351	11	3	141	40	179	51	20	6	6.2
2009-10	387	0	19	368	21	6	186	51	147	40	14	4	6.9
2010-11	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	4	49	1,078	39	4	530	49	461	43	48	4	6.6
DISTRICT													
2008-09	368	3	14	351	11	3	141	40	179	51	20	6	6.2
2009-10	392	2	20	370	21	6	186	50	149	40	14	4	6.8
2010-11	381	3	17	361	7	2	203	56	136	38	15	4	6.8
Cumulative													
Total	1,141	8	51	1,082	39	4	530	49	464	43	49	5	6.6
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



## **Writing Results**

School: Cumberland High School

**District**: Cumberland State: Rhode Island Code: 08-08114

#### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

Torre of SAM interes			:	Scł	hool			ı	Distri	ct				State	<b>e</b>	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	(	0 7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	359	6.8		•		361	6.8		-		10,594	6.4		-	
	2009-10	51	6.5				52	6.5				1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	46	6.4		-		46	6.4		-		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	44	6.2		4		44	6.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	41	6.4		-		41	6.4		-		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	44	6.2				44	6.2				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	46	7.5		•	•	46	7.5		-		1,321	6.6		•	
of a personal experience, benci, of face.	2009-10	51	6.5				52	6.5				1,339	6.5			•
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	45	6.9		•		45	6.9		-		1,328	6.6		•	
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10	45	7.1		<b>—</b>	•	45	7.1				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	47	6.8		•		47	6.8		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	47	6.9				47	6.9				1,326	6.6			-

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



## **Writing Results**

**School:** Cumberland High School

**District:** Cumberland **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 08-08114

			Score Dis	stribution					
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	State			
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%		
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1		
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1		
10	5	5	7	2	7	2	1		
9	5	4	20	6	20	6	3		
8	4	4	117	33	117	32	27		
7	4	3	66	18	66	18	20		
6	3	3	96	27	96	27	29		
5	3	2	22	6	22	6	8		
4	2	2	17	5	18	5	7		
3	2	1	4	1	4	1	1		
2	1	1	9	3	10	3	2		
0	0	0	1	<1	1	<1	2		

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## **Disaggregated Writing Results**

**School:** Cumberland High School

District: Cumberland State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 08-08114

	School												District						State						
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested <b>N</b>	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
					N	%	N	%	N	%	% N %	1	N	% %	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%		
All Students	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	199	1	9	189	3	2	91	48	86	46	9	5	6.6	189	2	48	46	5	6.6	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	176	0	7	169	4	2	112	66	48	28	5	3	7.0	171	2	65	29	4	7.0	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	1	0	0	1										1						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	4	14	0	0	10	71	4	29	0	0	7.0	14	0	71	29	0	7.0	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino																				'		1			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	3	0	0	3										3						288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	11	0	0	11	0	0	4	36	7	64	0	0	5.5	11	0	36	64	0	5.5	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	327	1	10	316	6	2	181	57	117	37	12	4	6.8	318	2	57	37	4	6.8	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	13	0	1	12	1	8	7	58	4	33	0	0	7.2	12	8	58	33	0	7.2	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	4	0	1	3										3						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		İ								0						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	58	1	5	52	0	0	8	15	34	65	10	19	5.0	54	0	15	65	20	4.9	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	318	0	11	307	7	2	195	64	101	33	4	19	7.1	307	2	64	33	1	7.1	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
All Other Students	318	0	''	307	/	2	195	04	101	33	4	'	/.1	307	2	04	33		/.1	8,982	!	22	41	3	0.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	79	0	8	71	1	1	26	37	36	51	8	11	5.9	71	1	37	51	11	5.9	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	297	1	8	288	6	2	177	61	99	34	6	2	7.0	290	2	61	34	2	7.0	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
All Other Students	3/0	'	10	333	'		203	37	133	30	14	4	0.0	301	4	30	30	4	0.0	1,9/1	'	54	44	3	0.0
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										0						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	376	1	16	359	7	2	203	57	135	38	14	4	6.8	361	2	56	38	4	6.8	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
						1				1										1			1		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient