About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston

Code: 07-07126



Grade Level Summary Report

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07126

DARTICIDATION :- NECAR					Numbei	r							Po	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		410			905			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	405	405	405	861	864	860	10,628	10,672	10,594	99	99	99	95	95	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	40	39	40	109	109	110	1,745	2,408	1,172	10	10	10	13	13	13	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	1	1	1	18	21	18	271	311	261	<1	<1	<1	2	2	2	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	54	54	54	148	150	150	1,627	1,625	1,612	13	13	13	17	17	17	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	40	39	40	106	107	107	820	841	747	74	72	74	72	71	71	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	5	5	5	44	41	45	536	492	570	1	1	1	5	5	5	5	4	5
State Approved	3	3	3	13	14	13	195	156	189	60	60	60	30	34	29	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	6	6	6	108	108	108	33	33	33	46	43	46	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	2	2	2	7	8	7	34	35	30	67	67	67	54	57	54	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	2	2	2	31	27	32	341	336	381	40	40	40	70	66	71	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	410	3	2	405	124	31	223	55	35	9	23	6	1149	861	23	56	16	5	1147	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	410	3	2	405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136	864	2	24	33	41	1134	10,672	3	30	29	38	113!
WRITING	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07126

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	423	3	22	398	63	16	229	58	76	19	30	8	1145
2009-10	419	4	2	413	93	23	239	58	55	13	26	6	1147
2010-11	410	3	2	405	124	31	223	55	35	9	23	6	1149
Cumulative													
Total	1,252	10	26	1,216	280	23	691	57	166	14	79	6	1147
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	49	825	103	12	459	56	171	21	92	11	1144
2009-10	823	13	6	804	146	18	459	57	142	18	57	7	1146
2010-11	905	13	31	861	201	23	479	56	135	16	46	5	1147
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	37	86	2,490	450	18	1,397	56	448	18	195	8	1146
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			F	ercen	t of To	tal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	•		
ype of Text												
Literary	42							*	-			
Informational	42							*				
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31							•	*			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							◆				



Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 07-07126

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	410	3	2	405	124	31	223	55	35	9	23	6	1149	861	23	56	16	5	1147	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender Male Female Not Reported	208 202 0	2 1 0	2 0 0	204 201 0	49 75	24 37	122 101	60 50	23 12	11 6	10 13	5 6	1148 1150	432 429 0	17 29	60 51	16 15	6 5	1146 1148	5,364 5,255 9	22 34	50 46	18 14	10 6	1145 1149
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	30	0	0	30	5	17	20	67	3	10	2	7	1147	128	9	63	22	6	1143	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 10 13 0 355 1	0 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 2 0	1 10 13 0 350 1	3 0 116	30 0 33	5 9 187	50 69 53	1 1 30	10 8	1 3	10 23 5	1146 1141 1150	3 58 53 0 613 6	14 15 28	59 51 54	26 21 13	2 13 5	1145 1143 1148	63 288 862 30 7,343 166 73	16 26 11 3 34 28 5	41 52 46 53 49 47 19	30 18 27 23 12 20 38	13 4 15 20 5 5 37	1142 1148 1141 1140 1150 1147 1134
LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	1 0 4 405	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 2	1 0 4 400	123	31	220	55	34	9	23	6	1149	18 3 20 820	6 5 24	33 60 56	56 30 14	6 5 5	1139 1142 1147	271 26 32 10,299	1 0 3 29	18 54 53 49	32 38 38 15	48 8 6 7	1131 1140 1141 1148
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	56 354	1 2	1 1	54 351	5 119	9 34	22 201	41 57	13 22	24 6	14 9	26 3	1138 1151	148 713	6 27	40 59	36 12	18 3	1138 1149	1,627 9,001	4 32	32 51	33 13	31 4	1135 1149
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	67 343	1 2	1 1	65 340	11 113	17 33	33 190	51 56	10 25	15 7	11 12	17 4	1144 1150	284 577	12 29	54 56	25 11	9	1143 1149	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24 11	14 5	1142 1150
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 410	0 3	0 2	0 405	124	31	223	55	35	9	23	6	1149	0 861	23	56	16	5	1147	0 10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 410	0 3	0 2	0 405	124	31	223	55	35	9	23	6	1149	140 721	19 24	56 55	21 15	3 6	1146 1147	2,652 7,976	13 33	48 48	25 13	15 6	1142 1149
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	6 404	0 3	0 2	6 399	124	31	218	55	34	9	23	6	1149	13 848	15 23	69 55	15 16	0 5	1146 1147	250 10,378	30 28	55 48	12 16	3 8	1149 1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07126

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	423	3	22	398	2	1	117	29	112	28	167	42	1135
2009-10	419	5	2	412	6	1	115	28	135	33	156	38	1136
2010-11	410	3	2	405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136
Cumulative													
Total	1,252	11	26	1,215	19	2	357	29	403	33	436	36	1136
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	50	824	4	<1	177	21	230	28	413	50	1133
2009-10	823	13	7	803	9	1	176	22	217	27	401	50	1134
2010-11	905	14	27	864	16	2	205	24	286	33	357	41	1134
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	38	84	2,491	29	1	558	22	733	29	1,171	47	1134
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134

	Total				Percei	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 I		
Numbers & Operations	19			4	•								•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				4	-							•	State
Functions & Algebra	55					•	•							Standa Error B
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				•	•								



Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School West

State

District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island
Code: 07-07126

(1) SESSMEN		9 9-											de. 0	7-07	120	
					Scho	ol						Dist	rict			
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level Level 2 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Leve

						SCIIU	101									טוטנ	HCt					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	410	3	2	405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136	864	2	24	33	41	1134	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender Male	200	2	2	204	9	4	65	22	76	37	F4	26	1127	436	2	26	33	20	1125	E 20C	,	21	20		1135
Female Not Reported	208 202 0	2 1 0	0 0	201	2	1	60	32 30	76 80	40	54 59	26 29	1137 1135	428 0	1	26 21	34	39 44	1135 1134	5,386 5,277 9	3 2	31 29	28 30	38 39	1135
Race/Ethnicity	20		0	20			4	12	17	-7		20	1124	124	0	7	24		1121	1 027	.1	12	25		1120
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	30	0	0	30	0	0	4	13	17	57	9	30	1134	131	0	/	34	60	1131	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American	1 10 13	0 0 0	0 0	1 10 13	1 0	10	2	20 8	4 2	40 15	3 10	30 77	1136 1130	3 59 52	2 0	24 12	39 21	36 67	1135 1131	64 293 865	2 4 <1	14 33 12	28 31 24	56 32 63	1132 1137 1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White	0 355	0 3	0 2	0 350	10	3	117	33	133	38	90	26	1137	0 613	2	28	33	36	1135	29 7,342	0	21 37	24 31	55 29	1129 1137
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	1 0										6 0						166 76	1 0	23 8	31 13	45 79	1135 1124
LEP Status Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										21	0	5	29	67	1127	311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 4 405	0 0 3	0 0 2	0 4 400	11	3	125	31	153	38	111	28	1136	3 21 819	0 2	14 25	29 33	57 40	1130 1135	26 33 10,302	0 0 3	8 12 31	15 24 30	77 64 37	1130 1130 1135
IEP	.55		_	100			123	.	133				1130	0.5					1133	.0,502		٥.	30		,
Students with an IEP All Other Students	56 354	1 2	1	54 351	0 11	0 3	6 119	11 34	7 149	13 42	41 72	76 21	1126 1138	150 714	0 2	7 27	11 38	81 33	1125 1136	1,625 9,047	0	6 35	13 32	81 31	1124 1137
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	67	1	1	65	0	0	11	17	25	38	29	45	1132	287	0	13	30	57	1131	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	343	2	1	340	11	3	114	34	131	39	84	25	1137	577	3	29	34	33	1136	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students All Other Students	0 410	0 3	0 2	0 405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136	0 864	2	24	33	41	1134	0 10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I		0	0	0										142	1	16	28	54	1122	2 600	1	15	25	60	1130
Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 410	3	2	405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136	142 722	2	16 25	28 34	39	1132 1135	2,680 7,992	3	35	30 30	31	1137
504 Plan														12		15	24	F.4	1134	250	,	22	27	27	1437
Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	6 404	0 3	0 2	6 399	11	3	125	31	154	39	109	27	1136	13 851	2	15 24	31 33	54 41	1134 1134	250 10,422	3	32 30	37 29	27 39	1137 1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 07-07126

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	423	3	22	398	9	2	153	38	218	55	18	5	6.2
2009-10	419	4	2	413	31	8	210	51	152	37	20	5	6.8
2010-11	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7
Cumulative													
Total	1,252	10	26	1,216	44	4	584	48	537	44	51	4	6.6
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	51	823	20	2	291	35	449	55	63	8	6.0
2009-10	823	12	7	804	57	7	369	46	340	42	38	5	6.6
2010-11	905	13	32	860	5	1	413	48	414	48	28	3	6.5
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	36	90	2,487	82	3	1,073	43	1,203	48	129	5	6.4
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07126

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

	Ι															
Type of Writing			,	Sch	ool			0	Distri	ct				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	405	6.7		•		860	6.5		•		10,594	6.4		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or laca.	2009-10	50	6.5				102	6.4		4		1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	53	6.4		•		110	6.4		•		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	50	6.5				98	6.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	50	6.5		•		110	6.2		•		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	52	6.2				98	6.2				1,321	6.2		_	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	51	7		•		107	6.6		-		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or fued.	2009-10	50	6.5				102	6.4		4		1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	52	7		•		112	6.7		•		1,328	6.6		•	
and moughts on a rocused topic.	2009-10	51	6.6				98	6.4				1,337	6.8			
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	51	6.8		•		105	6.7		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	51	6.8				102	6.6				1,326	6.6			ı

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The • shows this year's score and the black bar (———) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07126

	Score Distribution													
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	State								
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%							
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1							
11	6	5	1	<1	1	<1	<1							
10	5	5	3	1	4	<1	1							
9	5	4	9	2	14	2	3							
8	4	4	129	32	227	26	27							
7	4	3	83	20	172	20	20							
6	3	3	125	31	297	35	29							
5	3	2	22	5	60	7	8							
4	2	2	20	5	57	7	7							
3	2	1	6	1	8	1	1							
2	1	1	6	1	14	2	2							
0	0	0	1	<1	6	1	2							

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island

Code: 07-07126

	School														rict	State									
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
	N				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	208	2	2	204	2	1	102	50	95	47	5	2	6.6	432	1	44	52	3	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	202	1	0	201	2	1	119	59	72	36	8	4	6.8	428	<1	52	44	3	6.6	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	-				'-	50			0.0	0	,,,	32			0.0	9	·	50	33	Ĵ	0.7
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	30	0	0	30	0	0	15	50	14	47	1	3	6.5	129	0	37	59	4	6.2	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino	50				*	"		"					0.5	"2"					0.2	.,,,,,,,		, ,	32		5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		İ								3			į			64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	10	0	0	10	1 1	10	3	30	6	60	0	0	6.4	58	2	45	50	3	6.3	288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	13	0	0	13	0	0	6	46	5	38	2	15	5.7	52	0	40	56	4	6.1	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	1 0	0	1 "		"	1 40	'	. 50		15] 5.7	0	"	70	. 50	7	0.1	30	Ö	17	70	13	5.2
White	355	3	2	350	3	1	196	56	141	40	10	3	6.7	612	1	51	45	3	6.6	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1		_		3	'	190	20	141	40	10	3	0.7		'	21	45	3	0.0		l '				
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 0	0	0	1 0										6						166 68	1 0	41 18	55 47	3 35	6.3 4.4
I ED Co																									
LEP Status				l .		į										4-				204					
Current LEP student	1 1	0	0	1										18	0	17	67	17	5.0	261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1				1		3				1		26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	4	0	0	4										21	0	19	76	5	5.6	33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	405	3	2	400	4	1	220	55	163	41	13	3	6.7	818	1	50	47	3	6.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	56	1	1	54	0	0	14	26	31	57	9	17	5.3	150	0	21	67	12	5.3	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	354	2	1	351	4	1	207	59	136	39	4	1	6.9	710	1	54	44	1	6.7	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	67	1	1 1	65	0	0	25	38	35	54	5	8	6.0	284	0	35	60	5	5.9	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	343	2	1	340	4	1	196	58	132	39	8	2	6.8	576	1	55	42	2	6.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I						1		-				1		120		4.7				2.622	١.,			4.0	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										139	0	47	50	2	6.4	2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7	721	1	48	48	3	6.5	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	0	6										13	0	38	62	0	6.6	250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	404	3	2	399	4	1	220	55	162	41	13	3	6.7	847	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
					1	1	1	1		:	1	1	1	I	1	:	!	1	1	1	l	:			1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient