About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston

Code: 07-07113



Grade Level Summary Report

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07113

DARTICIDATION :- NECAR					Numbei	r							Po	ercentag	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		404			905			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	391	395	390	861	864	860	10,628	10,672	10,594	97	98	97	95	95	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	54	55	54	109	109	110	1,745	2,408	1,172	14	14	14	13	13	13	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	17	20	17	18	21	18	271	311	261	4	5	4	2	2	2	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	72	74	73	148	150	150	1,627	1,625	1,612	18	19	19	17	17	17	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	51	53	51	106	107	107	820	841	747	71	72	70	72	71	71	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	13	9	14	44	41	45	536	492	570	3	2	3	5	5	5	5	4	5
State Approved	8	8	8	13	14	13	195	156	189	62	89	57	30	34	29	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	4	4	4	6	6	6	108	108	108	50	50	50	46	43	46	55	69	57
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	4	4	4	7	8	7	34	35	30	50	50	50	54	57	54	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	5	1	6	31	27	32	341	336	381	38	11	43	70	66	71	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
READING	404	8	5	391	75	19	223	57	80	20	13	3	1146	861	23	56	16	5	1147	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	404	8	1	395	5	1	78	20	117	30	195	49	1133	864	2	24	33	41	1134	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	404	8	6	390	1	<1	187	48	190	49	12	3	6.4	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07113

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	384	2	8	374	40	11	210	56	77	21	47	13	1143
2009-10	343	6	0	337	53	16	194	58	65	19	25	7	1145
2010-11	404	8	5	391	75	19	223	57	80	20	13	3	1146
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	16	13	1,102	168	15	627	57	222	20	85	8	1145
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	49	825	103	12	459	56	171	21	92	11	1144
2009-10	823	13	6	804	146	18	459	57	142	18	57	7	1146
2010-11	905	13	31	861	201	23	479	56	135	16	46	5	1147
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	37	86	2,490	450	18	1,397	56	448	18	195	8	1146
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146





Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston State: Rhode Island

Jisayyi	egateu	Readiling	NESUILS	Code:	07-07113

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	404	8	5	391	75	19	223	57	80	20	13	3	1146	861	23	56	16	5	1147	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	203	6	4	193	26	13	121	63	37	19	9	5	1145	432	17	60	16	6	1146	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	201	2	1 1	198	49	25	102	52	43	22	4	2	1148	429	29	51	15	5	1148	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	15		102	52				-	1110	0	23	31	13		1110	9	31				1115
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	93	3	3	87	6	7	55	63	24	28	2	2	1143	128	9	63	22	6	1143	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino				"	"	· 1		"			_	_	5	'					5	1,000			-		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2				i						3						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	47	1	1 1	45	5	11	27	60	13	29	0	0	1145	58	14	59	26	2	1145	288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	34	0	0	34	8	24	16	47	8	24	2	6	1145	53	15	51	21	13	1143	862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	1 0	0	"	24	10	1 7/	"	24		"	1143	0	13		21	! '13	1143	30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	222	3	0	218	54	25	121	56	34	16	9	4	1148	613	28	54	13	5	1148	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
	6	1	0	5	34	25	121	30	34	10	9	4	1140	6	20	54	13	3	1140	166	28	49	20	5	1147
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	20	0	3	17	0	0	6	35	10	59	1	6	1138	18	6	33	56	6	1139	271	1	18	32	48	1131
	3	0	0	3	"	U	"	33	10	39	' '		1130	3	0	33	50		1139	26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1			1 1		1			60	,	22	_		11/12		-	60	20	-	1112					i	1
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	15 366	7	1	13 358	1 74	8 21	9 206	69 58	3 66	23 18	0 12	0	1143 1147	20 820	5 24	60 56	30 14	5	1142 1147	32 10,299	3 29	53 49	38 15	6 7	1141 1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	80	6	2	72	4	6	29	40	32	44	7	10	1140	148	6	40	36	18	1138	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	324	2	3	319	71	22	194	61	48	15	6	2	1148	713	27	59	12	3	1149	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
All Other Students	324	2	3	319	/ '	22	194	01	48	15	0	2	1146	/13	21	59	12	3	1149	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	196	5	4	187	21	11	104	56	52	28	10	5	1143	284	12	54	25	9	1143	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	208	3	1	204	54	26	119	58	28	14	3	1	1149	577	29	56	11	3	1149	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	404	8	5	391	75	19	223	57	80	20	13	3	1146	861	23	56	16	5	1147	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	142	2	2	138	27	20	78	57	29	21	4	3	1146	140	19	56	21	3	1146	2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	262	6	3	253	48	19	145	57	51	20	9	4	1147	721	24	55	15	6	1147	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5										13	15	69	15	0	1146	250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	399	8	5	386	74	19	220	57	79	20	13	3	1146	848	23	55	16	5	1147	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147
, Strict Students	333		1		1 ''		-20	! "	1 .		١ ' ١		1	l Š'Š		1		~	1	1 .5,5,5	-0				1,

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island

Code: 07-07113

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	384	1	9	374	2	1	58	16	108	29	206	55	1131
2009-10	343	5	1	337	3	1	60	18	73	22	201	60	1132
2010-11	404	8	1	395	5	1	78	20	117	30	195	49	1133
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	14	11	1,106	10	1	196	18	298	27	602	54	1132
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	50	824	4	<1	177	21	230	28	413	50	1133
2009-10	823	13	7	803	9	1	176	22	217	27	401	50	1134
2010-11	905	14	27	864	16	2	205	24	286	33	357	41	1134
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	38	84	2,491	29	1	558	22	733	29	1,171	47	1134
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island

Code: 07-07113

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	te		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	404	8	1	395	5	1	78	20	117	30	195	49	1133	864	2	24	33	41	1134	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	203	6	0	197	2	1	46	23	59	30	90	46	1134	436	3	26	33	39	1135	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	201	2	1	198	3	2	32	16	58	29	105	53	1133	428	1	21	34	44	1134	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	93	3	0	90	0	0	5	6	25	28	60	67	1131	131	0	7	34	60	1131	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino												1						1		'					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										3						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	47	1	0	46	0	0	12	26	17	37	17	37	1135	59	2	24	39	36	1135	293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	34	0	0	34	0	0	5	15	9	26	20	59	1132	52	0	12	21	67	1131	865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	222	3	1	218	5	2	55	25	63	29	95	44	1134	613	2	28	33	36	1135	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	6	1	0	5								1		6				1		166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	20	0	0	20	0	0	1	5	6	30	13	65	1127	21	0	5	29	67	1127	311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	3	0	0	3										3						26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	15	1	0	14	0	0	3	21	3	21	8	57	1132	21	0	14	29	57	1130	33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	366	7	1	358	5	1	74	21	108	30	171	48	1134	819	2	25	33	40	1135	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	80	6	0	74	0	0	4	5	9	12	61	82	1125	150	0	7	11	81	1125	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	324	2	1 1	321	5	2	74	23	108	34	134	42	1135	714	2	27	38	33	1136	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
7 III Other Stadents	321	_		321		-	, ,	23	100		131	12	1133	'''		-/	30	33	1130	3,017		33	32		1137
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	196	5	0	191	0	0	24	13	54	28	113	59	1131	287	0	13	30	57	1131	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	208	3	1	204	5	2	54	26	63	31	82	40	1136	577	3	29	34	33	1136	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	404	8	1	395	5	1	78	20	117	30	195	49	1133	864	2	24	33	41	1134	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Tiale I																									
Title I	142	2	0	140	2	1	22	16	39	20	76	54	1132	142	1	16	20	54	1132	2,680	1	1 E	25	60	1130
Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	262	6	1 1	255	3	1 1	23 55	22	78	28 31	119	47	1134	722	2	16 25	28 34	39	1132	7,992	3	15 35	25 30	31	1130
All Other Students	202	0	'	255	3	'	25		/ 0	31	119	4/	1134	1 /22	4	20	54	ود	1133	1,332	٥	20	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5				1				İ		13	0	15	31	54	1134	250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	399	8	1	390	5	1	76	19	116	30	193	49	1133	851	2	24	33	41	1134	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135
						1		1		1		1		I	l	1		1	1	1	l	1	1	1	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 07-07113

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	384	2	11	371	11	3	132	36	190	51	38	10	5.9
2009-10	343	5	1	337	26	8	137	41	158	47	16	5	6.6
2010-11	404	8	6	390	1	<1	187	48	190	49	12	3	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	1,131	15	18	1,098	38	3	456	42	538	49	66	6	6.3
DISTRICT													
2008-09	885	11	51	823	20	2	291	35	449	55	63	8	6.0
2009-10	823	12	7	804	57	7	369	46	340	42	38	5	6.6
2010-11	905	13	32	860	5	1	413	48	414	48	28	3	6.5
Cumulative													
Total	2,613	36	90	2,487	82	3	1,073	43	1,203	48	129	5	6.4
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07113

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

T (144 '4'				Scho	ool			[Distr	ict				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	390	6.4		•		860	6.5		-		10,594	6.4		•	
of a personal experience, belief, of facu.	2009-10	44	6.3		4		102	6.4				1,339	6.5			ı
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	50	6.7		•		110	6.4		-		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	40	6.1				98	6.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	49	6.3		•		110	6.2		-		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	40	6.5		_		98	6.2				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	50	6.4		-		107	6.6		-		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or luca.	2009-10	44	6.3				102	6.4				1,339	6.5			ı
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	51	6.7		•		112	6.7		-		1,328	6.6		•	
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10	42	6.4				98	6.4				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	45	6.7		•		105	6.7		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	43	6.7		4		102	6.6				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Writing Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 07-07113

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	1	<1	<1
10	5	5	1	<1	4	<1	1
9	5	4	5	1	14	2	3
8	4	4	96	25	227	26	27
7	4	3	86	22	172	20	20
6	3	3	138	35	297	35	29
5	3	2	30	8	60	7	8
4	2	2	22	6	57	7	7
3	2	1	2	1	8	1	1
2	1	1	5	1	14	2	2
0	0	0	5	1	6	1	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Cranston High School East

District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island

Code: 07-07113

REPORTING CATEGORIES						Scho	ol							District						State					
	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	404	8	6	390	1	<1	187	48	190	49	12	3	6.4	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	203	6	4	193	1 1	1	85	44	100	52	7	4	6.3	432	1	44	52	3	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	201	2	2	197	0	0	102	52	90	46	5	3	6.6	428	<1	52	44	3	6.6	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		-						_		0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	93	3	2	88	0	0	33	38	51	58	4	5	6.2	129	0	37	59	4	6.2	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino																				'					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										3			1			64	2	42	45	11	6.0
Asian	47	1	1	45	0	0	23	51	20	44	2	4	6.4	58	2	45	50	3	6.3	288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	34	0	0	34	0	0	15	44	19	56	0	0	6.4	52	0	40	56	4	6.1	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	*		'5		'			Ŭ		0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	222	3	3	216	1	<1	113	52	96	44	6	3	6.5	612	1	51	45	3	6.6	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	6	1	0	5	'		113	1 32] 30		0	,	0.5	6	'	31	1 43	'	0.0	166		41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	20	0	3	17	0	0	3	18	11	65	3	18	4.9	18	0	17	67	17	5.0	261	0	11	50	39	3.7
	3	0	0	3	"	U	٦	10	''	0.5	ر ا	10	4.3	3	0	17	07	17	3.0	26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	15	1	0	14	0	0	3	21	10	71	1	7	5.8	21	0	19	76	5	5.6	33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	366	7	3	356	1	<1	181	51	166	47	8	2	6.5	818	1	50	47	3	6.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	80	6	1	73	0	0	16	22	51	70	6	8	5.4	150	0	21	67	12	5.3	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	324	2	5	317	1	<1	171	54	139	44	6	2	6.7	710	1	54	44	1	6.7	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
All Other Students	324	2)	31/	'	<1	1/1	54	139	44	0	2	0.7	/10	'	54	44	'	0.7	8,982	'	22	41	3	0.7
SES	405	_	2	400		_	74	20	407		4.0	_		204		25		_		2.740		27	E 4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	196 208	5 3	3	188 202	0	0 <1	71	38 57	107 83	57 41	10 2	5 1	6.0	284 576	0	35 55	60 42	5	5.9 6.7	3,740 6,854	<1 1	37 57	54 39	8	5.9
	200			202	'		110	3,	05	71		'	0.0] 370	'	33	72		0.7	0,054	'	37	33	,	0.7
Migrant																	į								
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0			1			0					
All Other Students	404	8	6	390	1	<1	187	48	190	49	12	3	6.4	860	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	142	2	3	137	0	0	66	48	68	50	3	2	6.4	139	0	47	50	2	6.4	2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	262	6	3	253	1	<1	121	48	122	48	9	4	6.5	721	1	48	48	3	6.5	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5										13	0	38	62	0	6.6	250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	399	8	6	385	1	<1	184	48	188	49	12	3	6.4	847	1	48	48	3	6.5	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
/ iii Other Students	1 333	1	I	1 303	1 '	1 `'	1 '0'	1 .	1 '00	1 15	'-	١ -	1 5.1	I "''	' '	'0		1	1 0.5	10,517	Ι '	1 50		!	1 0.7

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient