About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

School Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls

Code: 04-04108



Grade Level Summary Report

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 04-04108

DARTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Po	ercenta	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		197			204			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	177	176	175	181	180	179	10,628	10,672	10,594	90	89	89	89	88	88	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	0	1	0	4	5	4	1,745	2,408	1,172	0	1	0	2	3	2	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	27	27	27	27	27	27	271	311	261	15	15	15	15	15	15	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	50	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	41	39	39	45	43	43	1,627	1,625	1,612	23	22	22	25	24	24	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	4	4	4	820	841	747	0	0	0	9	9	9	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	20	21	22	23	24	25	536	492	570	10	11	11	11	12	12	5	4	5
State Approved	3	2	3	4	3	4	195	156	189	15	10	14	17	13	16	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	2	2	2	3	3	3	108	108	108	67	100	67	75	100	75	55	69	57
First Year LEP	1	0	1	1	0	1	40	0	41	33	0	33	25	0	25	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	35	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	17	19	19	19	21	21	341	336	381	85	90	86	83	88	84	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Le	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	197	3	17	177	11	6	67	38	63	36	36	20	1139	181	6	38	35	21	1138	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	197	2	19	176	0	0	14	8	33	19	129	73	1128	180	0	8	18	74	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	197	3	19	175	0	0	41	23	109	62	25	14	5.3	179	0	23	61	16	5.3	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 04-04108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	193	3	6	184	9	5	73	40	53	29	49	27	1137
2009-10	208	4	2	202	17	8	95	47	59	29	31	15	1140
2010-11	197	3	17	177	11	6	67	38	63	36	36	20	1139
Cumulative													
Total	598	10	25	563	37	7	235	42	175	31	116	21	1139
DISTRICT													
2008-09	203	3	15	185	9	5	73	39	53	29	50	27	1137
2009-10	210	4	2	204	17	8	95	47	59	29	33	16	1140
2010-11	204	4	19	181	11	6	68	38	64	35	38	21	1138
Cumulative													
Total	617	11	36	570	37	6	236	41	176	31	121	21	1138
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			ı	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20							*	•			
ype of Text												
Literary	42					-	•	•				
Informational	42					7	2	•				
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31							-	•			
Analysis & Interpretation	53					1	-	•				



Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls
State: Rhode Island

Code: 04-04108

REPORTING CATEGORIES All Students Gender	N 197	NT Approved N	NT Other N	Tested N		el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2								Laurel			Level	Level	Level	Level	
Gender				N						CIZ	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	4	3	2	1	Mean Scaled
Gender	197	3			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
		1	17	177	11	6	67	38	63	36	36	20	1139	181	6	38	35	21	1138	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Male	103	0	14	89	3	3	32	36	31	35	23	26	1137	93	3	35	34	27	1136	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female Not Reported	92 2	3 0	3	86 2	7	8	34	40	32	37	13	15	1140	86 2	8	40	37	15	1140	5,255 9	34	46	14	6	1149
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	140	2	12	126	9	7	50	40	44	35	23	18	1139	130	7	39	35	19	1139	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	0	0	0	0										0						288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										0						862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0							_			0	_					30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	46	1	3	42	1	2	16	38	16	38	9	21	1137	42	2	38	38	21	1137	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2 8	0	2 0	0 8										0 8						166 73	28 5	47 19	20 38	5 37	1147 1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	28	0	1	27	0	0	3	11	11	41	13	48	1131	27	0	11	41	48	1131	271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 169	0 3	0 16	0 150	11	7	64	43	52	35	23	15	1140	0 154	7	42	34	16	1140	32 10,299	3 29	53 49	38 15	6 7	1141 1148
EP																									
Students with an IEP	52	2	9	41	0	0	5	12	16	39	20	49	1130	45	0	13	38	49	1130	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	145	1	8	136	11	8	62	46	47	35	16	12	1141	136	8	46	35	12	1141	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	117 80	3 0	7 10	107 70	7 4	7 6	41 26	38 37	36 27	34 39	23 13	21 19	1139 1138	110 71	6 6	37 38	34 38	23 18	1138 1138	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24 11	14 5	1142 1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	197	3	17	177	11	6	67	38	63	36	36	20	1139	181	6	38	35	21	1138	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I	46.	_												,	_	2-	-				4-				
Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	194 3	3	17 0	174 3	10	6	66	38	62	36	36	21	1138	178 3	6	38	35	21	1138	2,652 7,976	13 33	48 48	25 13	15 6	1142 1149
																				.,,,,,	-5				
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2										2						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	195	3	17	175	11	6	67	38	63	36	34	19	1139	179	6	38	36	20	1138	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 04-04108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	193	2	6	185	0	0	7	4	42	23	136	74	1126
2009-10	208	4	3	201	0	0	15	7	33	16	153	76	1128
2010-11	197	2	19	176	0	0	14	8	33	19	129	73	1128
Cumulative													
Total	598	8	28	562	0	0	36	6	108	19	418	74	1127
DISTRICT													
2008-09	203	2	16	185	0	0	7	4	42	23	136	74	1126
2009-10	210	4	3	203	0	0	15	7	33	16	155	76	1127
2010-11	204	3	21	180	0	0	14	8	33	18	133	74	1128
Cumulative													
Total	617	9	40	568	0	0	36	6	108	19	424	75	1127
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 04-04108

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	te		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	197	2	19	176	0	0	14	8	33	19	129	73	1128	180	0	8	18	74	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	103	0	15	88	0	0	9	10	15	17	64	73	1129	92	0	10	16	74	1128	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	92	2	4	86	0	0	4	5	18	21	64	74	1128	86	0	5	21	74	1128	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	2	0	0	2										2						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	140	1	13	126	0	0	9	7	27	21	90	71	1129	130	0	7	21	72	1128	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1				į		İ				1			İ			64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	0	0	0	0										0						293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										0						865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0					_					0						29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	46	1	4	41	0	0	4	10	6	15	31	76	1128	41	0	10	15	76	1128	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	2	0	2	0										0						166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	8	0	0	8										8						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	28	0	1	27	0	0	0	0	1	4	26	96	1123	27	0	0	4	96	1123	311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				į		İ				0			İ			26	0	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	169	2	18	149	0	0	14	9	32	21	103	69	1130	153	0	9	21	70	1129	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	52	2	11	39	0	0	0	0	1	3	38	97	1122	43	0	0	2	98	1121	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	145	0	8	137	0	0	14	10	32	23	91	66	1130	137	0	10	23	66	1130	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
		-			-										-					.,	_				
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	117	2	8	107	0	0	8	7	23	21	76	71	1129	110	0	7	21	72	1128	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	80	0	11	69	0	0	6	9	10	14	53	77	1128	70	0	9	14	77	1128	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	197	2	19	176	0	0	14	8	33	19	129	73	1128	180	0	8	18	74	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Tial- I																									
Title I	194	2	10	173	0	0	12	8	33	10	127	73	1128	177	0	7	10	74	1128	2 600	1	1 E	25	60	1120
Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	3	0	19	3	"		13	٥	33	19	12/	/3	1128	177 3	0	/	19	/4	11128	2,680 7,992	1 3	15 35	25 30	60 31	1130
All Other Students)	"		٥] 3						1,332	٥	20	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2										2						250	4	32	37	27	1137
All Other Students	195	2	19	174	0	0	14	8	33	19	127	73	1129	178	0	8	19	74	1128	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135
														l			į								

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 04-04108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	193	2	10	181	2	1	50	28	98	54	31	17	5.3
2009-10	208	4	2	202	5	2	62	31	114	56	21	10	5.8
2010-11	197	3	19	175	0	0	41	23	109	62	25	14	5.3
Cumulative													
Total	598	9	31	558	7	1	153	27	321	58	77	14	5.5
DISTRICT													
2008-09	203	2	19	182	2	1	50	27	98	54	32	18	5.3
2009-10	210	4	2	204	5	2	62	30	115	56	22	11	5.8
2010-11	204	4	21	179	0	0	41	23	110	61	28	16	5.3
Cumulative													
Total	617	10	42	565	7	1	153	27	323	57	82	15	5.5
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Writing Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls State: Rhode Island Code: 04-04108

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

																
Type of Writing				Sch	ool			[Distr	ict				State		
Type of writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	175	5.3		•		179	5.3		-		10,594	6.4		•	
or a personal experience, being a risea.	2009-10	26	5.4				26	5.4		-		1,339	6.5			I
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	21	5.1		-		22	5.1		-		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	24	5.2				24	5.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	26	5		-		26	5		-		1,313	6.3		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	26	5				27	4.9				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	21	5.2		-		21	5.2		-		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or luca.	2009-10	26	5.4				26	5.4				1,339	6.5			I.
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	23	4.8		-		23	4.8		-		1,328	6.6		-	
and thoughts on a locused topic.	2009-10	27	5		_		28	4.9				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	21	5.1		-		22	5		-		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	25	5.1				25	5.1				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Writing Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 04-04108

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	1
9	5	4	1	1	1	1	3
8	4	4	21	12	21	12	27
7	4	3	19	11	19	11	20
6	3	3	59	34	59	33	29
5	3	2	25	14	26	15	8
4	2	2	25	14	25	14	7
3	2	1	7	4	8	4	1
2	1	1	10	6	11	6	2
0	0	0	8	5	9	5	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Central Falls Sr High

District: Central Falls
State: Rhode Island

Code: 04-04108

REPORTING CATEGORIES						Scho	ol							District						State					
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	197	3	19	175	0	0	41	23	109	62	25	14	5.3	179	0	23	61	16	5.3	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	103	0	16	87	0	0	20	23	51	59	16	18	5.2	91	0	22	57	21	5.1	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	92	3	3	86	0	0	20	23	57	66	9	10	5.4	86	0	23	66	10	5.4	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	2	0	0	2										2						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	140	2	12	126	0	0	36	29	75	60	15	12	5.5	130	0	28	58	14	5.4	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1 ,						64	2	42	45	11	
Asian Asian	0	0	0	0										0						288	2 2	42 54	45 41	11 3	6.0 6.6
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										0				İ		852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	46	1	4	41	0	0	4	10	31	76	6	15	4.9	41	0	10	76	15	4.9	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	2	0	2	0	"		"	"	"	1 '0	"	13	7.5	0	"	10	, ,	'	7.5	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	8	0	1	7										7						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
I ED CO.																									
LEP Status	20		1	27	0	0	4	1.5	1.4		9	22	4.0	27		1.5			1,0	261	_	11		20	2.7
Current LEP student	28	0	0	27 0	0	1 0	4	15	14	52	9	33	4.0	27 0	0	15	52	33	4.0	261 26	0	11 27	50 65	39 8	3.7 5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0		İ				33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	169	3	18	148	0	0	37	25	95	64	16	11	5.5	152	0	24	63	13	5.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP				20					2.0	67	4.2	24		42			63	3.5	2.0	4.643		40		40	1.0
Students with an IEP	52	2	11	39	0	0	1 1	3	26	67	12	31	4.1	43	0	2	63	35	3.9	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	145		8	136	0	0	40	29	83	61	13	10	5.7	136	0	29	61	10	5.7	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	117	3	8	106	0	0	26	25	63	59	17	16	5.2	109	0	24	58	18	5.1	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	80	0	11	69	0	0	15	22	46	67	8	12	5.4	70	0	21	67	11	5.4	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	197	3	19	175	0	0	41	23	109	62	25	14	5.3	179	0	23	61	16	5.3	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Tial.																	-							İ	
Title I	104	,	10	173	_		40	22	107		25	15	[3	170	_	22	C 1	10	[]	2 (22	.4	27		10	
Students Receiving Title I Services	194 3	3 0	19	172	0	0	40	23	107	62	25	15	5.3	176	0	23	61	16	5.2	2,623	<1 1	37 54	52 42	10 3	5.8 6.6
All Other Students	3		0	3										3						7,971	'	54	42	. 3	0.0
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2										2						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
All Other Students	195	3	19	173	0	0	41	24	108	62	24	14	5.3	177	0	23	62	15	5.3	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4
(1	1	1	1	1				1		!	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient