About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2009 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to \square students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment ASSESSMEN program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

RA

(⁵

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2009 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010

School Results

School:	Westerly High School
District:	Westerly
Code:	36-36104



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 Grade Level Summary Report

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

Schools and districts administered all NECAP tests to every enrolled student with the following exceptions: students who participated in the alternate assessment for the 2008-09 school year, first year LEP students, students who withdrew from the school after October 1, 2009, students who enrolled

in the school after October 1, 2009, students for whom a special consideration was granted through the state Department of Education, and other students for reasons not approved. On this page, and throughout this report, results are only reported for groups of students that are larger than nine (9).

					Number	r							P	ercentag	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		272			274			11,189			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	264	263	263	266	265	265	10,742	10,716	10,679	97	97	97	97	97	97	96	96	95
Students not tested in NECAP																		
State Approved	5	5	5	5	5	5	223	195	221	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Alternate Assessment	4	4	4	4	4	4	114	114	114	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Withdrew After October 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	41	46	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	33	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	3	4	4	3	4	4	224	278	289	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3

NECAP RESULTS

						School										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
READING	272	5	3	264	77	29	138	52	29	11	20	8	1148	266	29	52	11	8	1148	10,742	23	50	17	9	1146
MATH	272	5	4	263	6	2	93	35	87	33	77	29	1137	265	2	35	33	30	1137	10,716	2	26	28	45	1134
WRITING	272	5	4	263	25	10	132	50	96	37	10	4	7.0	265	9	50	37	4	7.0	10,679	7	48	40	5	6.7

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 **Reading Results**

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2007-08	278	7	2	269	70	26	123	46	50	19	26	10	1146
2008-09	245	4	0	241	48	20	139	58	37	15	17	7	1147
2009-2010	272	5	3	264	77	29	138	52	29	11	20	8	1148
Cumulative	795	16	5	774	195	25	400	52	116	15	63	8	1147
Total													
DISTRICT													
2007-08	279	8	2	269	70	26	123	46	50	19	26	10	1146
2008-09	249	4	0	245	48	20	140	57	39	16	18	7	1146
2009-2010	274	5	3	266	77	29	139	52	29	11	21	8	1148
Cumulative	802	17	5	780	195	25	402	52	118	15	65	8	1147
Total													
STATE													
2007-08	11,661	192	324	11,145	1,827	16	5,056	45	2,726	24	1,536	14	1143
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-2010	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
Cumulative	34,053	594	888	32,571	6,202	19	15,970	49	6,726	21	3,673	11	1145
Total													

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								◆ ◆	-		
Type of Text												
Literary	42							*				
Informational	42							- - →				
Level of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31								+	•		
Analysis & Interpretation	53						T T V	•				



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	Ν	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	272	5	3	264	77	29	138	52	29	11	20	8	1148	266	29	52	11	8	1148	10,742	23	50	17	9	1146
Gender																									
Male	142	5	2	135	32	24	67	50	21	16	15	11	1146	136	24	50	15	11	1146	5,292	15	51	21	13	1144
Female	130	0	1	129	45	35	71	55	8	6	5	4	1151	130	35	55	6	5	1151	5,450	30	50	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0				-		0					
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6										6						77	17	44	22	17	1141
Asian	9	0	0	9										9						339	29	45	18	8	1147
Black or African American	9	2	0	7										8						985	12	48	22	18	1142
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	Õ	7		1		1		1				7						1,811	12	47	25	15	1142
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0 V	0	Ő	0										0						0					
White (non-Hispanic)	241	3	3	235	73	31	124	53	23	10	15	6	1149	236	31	53	10	6	1149	7,530	27	52	15	6	1148
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	51	124	55	25	10	15	0		0		55	10	Ū		0	27	52	15		
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3										3						183	0	11	40	49	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	ů 0	0										0						72	Ő	47	35	18	1139
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	ő	ů 0	0 0										Ő						45	4	53	33	9	1141
All Other Students	269	5	3	261	77	30	136	52	28	11	20	8	1148	263	29	52	11	8	1148	10,442	24	51	17	8	1147
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	45	4	3	38	1	3	13	34	12	32	12	32	1134	40	3	35	30	33	1135	1,674	2	30	33	35	1134
All Other Students	227	1	0	226	76	34	125	55	17	8	8	4	1151	226	34	55	8	4	1151	9,068	27	54	15	4	1149
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	64	4	3	57	3	5	30	53	14	25	10	18	1140	59	5	53	24	19	1139	3,744	13	48	24	15	1142
All Other Students	208	1	0	207	74	36	108	52	15	7	10	5	1151	207	36	52	7	5	1151	6,998	29	52	14	6	1149
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0									1	0						0					
All Other Students	272	5	3	264	77	29	138	52	29	11	20	8	1148	266	29	52	11	8	1148	10,742	23	50	17	9	1146
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1										1						2,616	14	49	23	14	1143
All Other Students	271	5	3	263	77	29	137	52	29	11	20	8	1148	265	29	52	11	8	1148	8,126	26	51	16	7	1147
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8		1		1		1			1	8		1				230	18	60	17	6	1147
All Other Students	264	5	3	256	76	30	133	52	29	11	18	7	1149	258	29	52	11	7	1148	10,512	23	50	17	9	1146

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 Mathematics Results

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

Γ	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2007-08	278	7	2	269	2	1	72	27	84	31	111	41	1134
2008-09	245	3	0	242	2	1	78	32	83	34	79	33	1136
2009-2010	272	5	4	263	6	2	93	35	87	33	77	29	1137
Cumulative	795	15	6	774	10	1	243	31	254	33	267	34	1136
Total													
DISTRICT													
2007-08	279	8	2	269	2	1	72	27	84	31	111	41	1134
2008-09	249	3	0	246	2	1	78	32	83	34	83	34	1135
2009-2010	274	5	4	265	6	2	93	35	87	33	79	30	1137
Cumulative	802	16	6	780	10	1	243	31	254	33	273	35	1135
Total													
STATE													
2007-08	11,661	147	340	11,174	123	1	2,340	21	3,032	27	5,679	51	1132
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-2010	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
Cumulative	34,053	503	961	32,589	459	1	7,827	24	8,997	28	15,306	47	1133
Total													

	Total				Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Numbers & Operations	19				•								•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				•	-							•	State
Functions & Algebra	55					•	•							Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				- -	•								



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	vel 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	272	5	4	263	6	2	93	35	87	33	77	29	1137	265	2	35	33	30	1137	10,716	2	26	28	45	1134
Gender																									
Male	142	5	2	135	4	3	47	35	43	32	41	30	1137	136	3	35	32	31	1137	5,272	2	28	26	44	1134
Female	130	0	2	128	2	2	46	36	44	34	36	28	1137	129	2	36	34	29	1136	5,444	1	24	29	46	1134
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						0					
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6										6						75	0	16	17	67	1129
Asian	9	0	0	9										9						336	4	30	26	40	1136
Black or African American	9	2	0	7										8						981	0	7	22	71	1128
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	0	7										7						1,821	<1	9	20	70	1128
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						0					
White (non-Hispanic)	241	3	4	234	6	3	88	38	76	32	64	27	1137	235	3	37	32	28	1137	7,503	2	32	30	36	1136
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						0					
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3										3						219	0	<1	5	94	1121
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						72	0	1	18	81	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						45	0	2	24	73	1127
All Other Students	269	5	4	260	6	2	93	36	86	33	75	29	1137	262	2	35	33	29	1137	10,380	2	26	28	44	1134
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	45	4	3	38	0	0	3	8	2	5	33	87	1125	40	0	8	5	88	1125	1,652	0	4	10	86	1124
All Other Students	227	1	1	225	6	3	90	40	85	38	44	20	1139	225	3	40	38	20	1139	9,064	2	30	31	38	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	64	4	3	57	0	0	7	12	17	30	33	58	1130	59	0	12	29	59	1130	3,742	<1	12	23	65	1130
All Other Students	208	1	1	206	6	3	86	42	70	34	44	21	1139	206	3	42	34	21	1139	6,974	2	33	30	34	1136
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	272	5	4	263	6	2	93	35	87	33	77	29	1137	265	2	35	33	30	1137	10,716	2	26	28	45	1134
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1										1						2,625	<1	12	22	66	1129
All Other Students	271	5	4	262	6	2	92	35	87	33	77	29	1137	264	2	35	33	30	1137	8,091	2	30	29	38	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8										8						228	3	29	32	36	1136
All Other Students	264	5	4	255	6	2	92	36	83	33	74	29	1137	257	2	36	32	30	1137	10,488	2	26	27	45	1134

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 Writing Results

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

Γ	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2007-08	278	5	2	271	21	8	116	43	105	39	29	11	6.5
2008-09	245	4	0	241	13	5	116	48	103	43	9	4	6.6
2009-2010	272	5	4	263	25	10	132	50	96	37	10	4	7.0
Cumulative	795	14	6	775	59	8	364	47	304	39	48	6	7.0
Total													
DISTRICT													
2007-08	279	6	2	271	21	8	116	43	105	39	29	11	6.5
2008-09	249	5	0	244	13	5	116	48	105	43	10	4	6.6
2009-2010	274	5	4	265	25	9	132	50	97	37	11	4	7.0
Cumulative	802	16	6	780	59	8	364	47	307	39	50	6	7.0
Total													
STATE													
2007-08	11,661	165	365	11,131	357	3	3,744	34	5,495	49	1,535	14	5.7
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-2010	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
Cumulative	34,053	542	1,032	32,479	1,502	5	12,891	40	15,070	46	3,016	9	6.0
Total													

Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			Number	I	Distri			Scor romp		nts	
Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			0	1	2	3	4	5	6
														%	%	%	%	%	%	%
12												2	School District State	2 2 1	8 10 8	16 16 20	32 32 31	29 29 27	10 10 10	3 3 1
18						•	◆ ◆					3	School District State	1 1 1	3 3 4	11 12 14	33 33 35	34 34 33	16 16 12	2 2 1
6						-	•	_				1	School District State	3 3 2	0 0 4	22 22 15	25 25 36	25 25 32	16 16 10	9 9 1
	12 18	ossible 0 Points 0 12 1 18 1	ossible Points 0 10 12 1 1 18 1 1	Iotal 0 10 20 Points 0 10 20 12 12 12 13	Iotal 0 10 20 30 Points 0 10 20 30 12 12 12 13 14	Iotal 0 10 20 30 40 Points 0 10 20 30 40 12 12 12 12 12 13 14	Iotal 0 10 20 30 40 50 Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 18 1	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 12 12 12 14 </td <td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 12 12 - <</td> <td>ossible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 12 12 </td> <td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 12 12 </td> <td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 </td> <td>Iteration Number of Prompts 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 12 12 14<!--</td--><td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts $\overline{0}$ 1 2 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 8 20 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 12 6 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 4 14</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Interposition Number of Points Number of 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 90 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 29 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 16 32 29 21 1 16 32 29 31 27 18 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 3 34 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 3 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></td>	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 12 12 - <	ossible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 12 12	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 12 12	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12	Iteration Number of Prompts 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 12 12 14 </td <td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts $\overline{0}$ 1 2 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 8 20 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 12 6 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 4 14</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Interposition Number of Points Number of 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 90 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 29 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 16 32 29 21 1 16 32 29 31 27 18 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 3 34 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 3 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td>	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Initial possible points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts $\overline{0}$ 1 2 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School 2 8 16 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 8 20 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 12 6 1 1 1 1 3 12 1 4 14	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Interposition Number of Points Number of 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 90 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 29 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 16 32 29 21 1 16 32 29 31 27 18 1 1 1 1 3 11 3 3 34 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 34 33 3 0	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $



Fall 2009 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2009-2010 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Westerly High SchoolDistrict: WesterlyState: Rhode IslandCode: 36-36104

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	vel 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	272	5	4	263	25	10	132	50	96	37	10	4	7.0	265	9	50	37	4	7.0	10,679	7	48	40	5	6.7
Gender																									
Male	142	5	3	134	12	9	57	43	58	43	7	5	6.7	135	9	42	44	5	6.7	5,248	5	43	45	7	6.4
Female	130	0	1	129	13	10	75	58	38	29	3	2	7.3	130	10	58	29	3	7.3	5,431	9	53	35	3	7.0
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	50			5	-	1.5	0		50	2.5		1.5	0	5	55			1.0
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6										6						76	7	34	49	11	6.2
Asian	9	0	0	9										9						340	9	54	35	3	7.0
Black or African American	9	2	0	7										8						972	3	38	49	10	6.0
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	Õ	7										7		1				1,790	4	38	50	9	6.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	Ó	Ő	Ő	0										0						0			1		
White (non-Hispanic)	241	3	4	234	24	10	124	53	79	34	7	3	7.2	235	10	53	34	3	7.1	7,501	8	52	36	4	7.0
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0	24	10	124	55		54	,	5	1.2	0		55	54	5	/	0	0	52	50	-	1.0
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3										3						181	0	10	57	32	4.2
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	ů 0	0										0						72	Ő	26	68	6	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	Ő	ő	0 0	Ő										Ő						43	2	33	58	7	5.9
All Other Students	269	5	4	260	25	10	131	50	94	36	10	4	7.0	262	10	50	36	4	7.0	10,383	7	49	39	5	6.8
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	45	4	3	38	0	0	6	16	29	76	3	8	5.1	40	0	15	75	10	5.1	1,637	<1	20	61	19	5.0
All Other Students	227	1	1	225	25	11	126	56	67	30	7	3	7.3	225	11	56	30	3	7.3	9,042	8	53	36	3	7.0
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	64	4	3	57	0	0	14	25	36	63	7	12	5.4	59	0	24	63	14	5.4	3,710	4	39	49	8	6.2
All Other Students	208	1	1	206	25	12	118	57	60	29	3	1	7.4	206	12	57	29	1	7.4	6,969	9	53	35	4	7.0
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	272	5	4	263	25	10	132	50	96	37	10	4	7.0	265	9	50	37	4	7.0	10,679	7	48	40	5	6.7
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1									1	1						2,588	4	40	47	9	6.2
All Other Students	271	5	4	262	25	10	132	50	95	36	10	4	7.0	264	9	50	36	4	7.0	8,091	8	51	37	4	6.9
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8										8						227	4	47	47	3	6.6
All Other Students	264	5	4	255	24	9	129	51	92	36	10	4	7.0	257	9	50	36	4	7.0	10,452	7	48	40	5	6.7

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient