


# About The New England Common Assessment Program



This report highlights results from the Fall 2012 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



## Fall 2012 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

## School Results

**School:** Chariho High School

**District:** Chariho

**Code:** 98-98101



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

# Grade Level Summary Report

<b>School:</b>	Chariho High School
<b>District:</b>	Chariho
<b>State:</b>	Rhode Island
<b>Code:</b>	98-98101

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
<b>Students enrolled on or after October 1</b>	<b>290</b>			<b>299</b>			<b>11,043</b>			<b>100</b>			<b>100</b>			<b>100</b>		
<b>Students tested</b>	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	284	283	284	291	290	291	10,390	10,438	10,366	98	98	98	97	97	97	94	95	94
With an approved accommodation	56	91	41	61	96	46	1,967	2,729	1,275	20	32	14	21	33	16	19	26	12
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	365	416	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	131	88							27	31	24
IEP Students	27	27	27	30	30	30	1,476	1,464	1,463	10	10	10	10	10	10	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	26	27	25	28	29	27	860	885	848	96	100	93	93	97	90	58	60	58
<b>Students not tested in NECAP</b>	6	7	6	8	9	8	653	605	677	2	2	2	3	3	3	6	5	6
State Approved	6	6	6	7	7	7	225	181	216	100	86	100	88	78	88	34	30	32
Alternate Assessment	3	3	3	4	4	4	125	125	125	50	50	50	57	57	57	56	69	58
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24
Withdrew After October 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	31	36	28	33	33	33	29	29	29	14	20	13
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	1	1	1	1	1	1	14	18	10	17	17	17	14	14	14	6	10	5
Other	0	1	0	1	2	1	428	424	461	0	14	0	13	22	13	66	70	68

### NECAP RESULTS

	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
<b>READING</b>	290	6	0	284	118	42	139	49	23	8	4	1	1153	291	41	48	9	2	1152	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
<b>MATH</b>	290	6	1	283	9	3	127	45	80	28	67	24	1139	290	3	44	29	24	1139	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
<b>WRITING</b>	290	6	0	284	14	5	168	59	99	35	3	1	7.1	291	5	58	35	1	7.1	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4

**Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient**

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.





# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

# Disaggregated Reading Results

**School:** Chariho High School  
**District:** Chariho  
**State:** Rhode Island  
**Code:** 98-98101

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
<b>All Students</b>	290	6	0	284	118	42	139	49	23	8	4	1	1153	291	41	48	9	2	1152	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
<b>Gender</b>																									
Male	145	4	0	141	46	33	78	55	14	10	3	2	1151	142	32	56	10	2	1151	5,251	27	48	16	10	1147
Female	145	2	0	143	72	50	61	43	9	6	1	1	1155	149	49	42	8	1	1154	5,136	39	44	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						3					
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										6						2,042	16	43	23	18	1142
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						42	31	50	19	0	1149
Asian	2	1	0	1										1						279	37	37	16	10	1148
Black or African American	3	0	0	3										4						852	16	44	24	16	1142
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						32	19	53	22	6	1146
White	275	5	0	270	111	41	135	50	20	7	4	1	1153	275	41	50	8	1	1152	6,930	40	47	10	4	1151
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										2						187	28	50	17	6	1148
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						26	8	15	15	62	1128
<b>LEP Status</b>																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						365	1	8	24	67	1126
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						46	0	48	41	11	1138
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						17	0	41	53	6	1139
All Other Students	289	6	0	283	118	42	138	49	23	8	4	1	1153	290	41	48	9	2	1152	9,962	34	47	13	5	1149
<b>IEP</b>																									
Students with an IEP	30	3	0	27	0	0	12	44	13	48	2	7	1140	30	0	40	53	7	1139	1,476	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	260	3	0	257	118	46	127	49	10	4	2	1	1154	261	46	49	4	1	1154	8,914	38	48	11	4	1151
<b>SES</b>																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	68	2	0	66	18	27	34	52	11	17	3	5	1149	71	27	48	20	6	1148	3,967	18	47	22	12	1144
All Other Students	222	4	0	218	100	46	105	48	12	6	1	<1	1154	220	45	49	5	<1	1154	6,423	42	45	8	5	1151
<b>Migrant</b>																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	290	6	0	284	118	42	139	49	23	8	4	1	1153	291	41	48	9	2	1152	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
<b>Title I</b>																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,305	19	43	22	16	1143
All Other Students	290	6	0	284	118	42	139	49	23	8	4	1	1153	291	41	48	9	2	1152	8,085	37	46	11	5	1150
<b>504 Plan</b>																									
Students with a 504 Plan	15	0	0	15	1	7	12	80	1	7	1	7	1143	16	6	75	13	6	1143	245	30	58	10	2	1150
All Other Students	275	6	0	269	117	43	127	47	22	8	3	1	1153	275	43	47	9	1	1153	10,145	33	45	14	8	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

### Mathematics Results

School: Chariho High School  
 District: Chariho  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 98-98101

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

(Scaled Score 1140–1151)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.


(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>School</b>													
2010-11	296	3	3	290	11	4	120	41	98	34	61	21	1139
2011-12	291	1	5	285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1139</b>
Cumulative Total	877	10	9	858	29	3	389	45	258	30	182	21	1139
<b>District</b>													
2010-11	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139
2011-12	300	1	5	294	9	3	143	49	82	28	60	20	1140
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1139</b>
Cumulative Total	898	11	10	877	29	3	390	44	266	30	192	22	1139
<b>State</b>													
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>11,043</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>10,438</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3,298</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2,731</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>4,159</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1135</b>
Cumulative Total	33,326	456	1,182	31,688	748	2	9,463	30	8,580	27	12,897	41	1135





# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

### Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Chariho High School  
 District: Chariho  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 98-98101

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
<b>All Students</b>	290	6	1	283	9	3	127	45	80	28	67	24	1139	290	3	44	29	24	1139	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
<b>Gender</b>																									
Male	145	4	0	141	6	4	69	49	30	21	36	26	1140	142	4	49	22	25	1140	5,271	3	33	24	39	1136
Female	145	2	1	142	3	2	58	41	50	35	31	22	1139	148	2	39	36	23	1139	5,162	2	30	28	40	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						5					
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										6						2,082	<1	13	23	64	1130
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						42	2	26	26	45	1136
Asian	2	1	0	1										1						286	6	34	27	34	1137
Black or African American	3	0	0	3										4						858	0	14	21	64	1130
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						31	0	19	35	45	1136
White	275	5	1	269	8	3	123	46	76	28	62	23	1140	274	3	45	29	23	1139	6,926	3	40	28	29	1138
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										2						187	4	24	20	52	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						26	0	0	15	85	1123
<b>LEP Status</b>																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						416	<1	3	6	90	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						47	0	9	23	68	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						17	0	6	24	71	1129
All Other Students	289	6	1	282	9	3	127	45	79	28	67	24	1139	289	3	44	29	24	1139	9,958	3	33	27	38	1136
<b>IEP</b>																									
Students with an IEP	30	3	0	27	0	0	0	0	7	26	20	74	1130	30	0	0	30	70	1130	1,464	<1	5	12	83	1125
All Other Students	260	3	1	256	9	4	127	50	73	29	47	18	1140	260	3	49	29	19	1140	8,974	3	36	28	33	1137
<b>SES</b>																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	68	2	1	65	1	2	24	37	18	28	22	34	1137	70	1	34	30	34	1137	4,001	<1	17	24	58	1131
All Other Students	222	4	0	218	8	4	103	47	62	28	45	21	1140	220	4	47	29	21	1140	6,437	4	41	27	28	1138
<b>Migrant</b>																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	290	6	1	283	9	3	127	45	80	28	67	24	1139	290	3	44	29	24	1139	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
<b>Title I</b>																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,348	1	17	22	61	1131
All Other Students	290	6	1	283	9	3	127	45	80	28	67	24	1139	290	3	44	29	24	1139	8,090	3	36	27	34	1137
<b>504 Plan</b>																									
Students with a 504 Plan	15	0	1	14	0	0	5	36	3	21	6	43	1137	15	0	33	27	40	1137	245	3	28	33	36	1137
All Other Students	275	6	0	269	9	3	122	45	77	29	61	23	1140	275	3	44	29	23	1139	10,193	2	32	26	40	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

# Writing Results

<b>School:</b>	Chariho High School
<b>District:</b>	Chariho
<b>State:</b>	Rhode Island
<b>Code:</b>	98-98101

**Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**  
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.  
**(Raw Score 10–12)**

**Proficient (Level 3)**  
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.  
**(Raw Score 7–9)**

**Partially Proficient (Level 2)**  
 Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.  
**(Raw Score 4–6)**

**Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**  
 Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.  
**(Raw Score 2–3)**

	Enrolled		NT Approved		NT Other		Tested		Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N		N		N		N		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>School</b>																	
2010-11	296		3		3		290		4	1	117	40	169	58	0	0	6.4
2011-12	291		1		7		283		28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>290</b>		<b>6</b>		<b>0</b>		<b>284</b>		<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1</b>
Cumulative Total	877		10		10		857		46	5	452	53	353	41	6	1	7.0
<b>District</b>																	
2010-11	299		3		3		293		4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4
2011-12	300		1		7		292		28	10	168	58	93	32	3	1	7.3
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>299</b>		<b>7</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>291</b>		<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1</b>
Cumulative Total	898		11		11		876		46	5	455	52	368	42	7	1	6.9
<b>State</b>																	
2010-11	11,164		189		381		10,594		119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119		116		495		10,508		478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
<b>2012-13</b>	<b>11,043</b>		<b>216</b>		<b>461</b>		<b>10,366</b>		<b>363</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4,794</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6.4</b>
Cumulative Total	33,326		521		1,337		31,468		960	3	14,893	47	13,780	44	1,835	6	6.4

Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above	
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

### Writing Results

School: Chariho High School  
 District: Chariho  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 98-98101

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing<sup>§</sup>

Type of Writing	Tested	School					District					State				
		Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13 (C)	284	7.1		●		291	7.1		●		10,366	6.4		●	
	2011-12	36	7.2		▲		37	7.2		▲		1,304	6.4		▲	
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13	48	7.2		●		48	7.2		●		1,705	6.4		●	
	2011-12	35	7.3		▲		36	7.2		▲		1,330	6.0		▲	
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2012-13	45	7.4		●		47	7.3		●		1,732	7.1		●	
	2011-12	35	7.5		▲		37	7.5		▲		1,317	6.7		▲	
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2012-13	24	6.9		●		25	6.8		●		881	6.8		●	
	2011-12	35	7.8		▲		36	7.8		▲		1,317	6.7		▲	
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2012-13	50	7.3		●		51	7.2		●		1,726	6.7		●	
	2011-12 (C)	283	7.4		▲		292	7.3		▲		10,508	6.4		▲	
<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2012-13	46	7.2		●		48	7.2		●		1,700	6.7		●	
	2011-12	38	7.9		▲		39	7.9		▲		1,300	6.6		▲	

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.





# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

# Writing Results

<b>School:</b>	Chariho High School
<b>District:</b>	Chariho
<b>State:</b>	Rhode Island
<b>Code:</b>	98-98101

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2	School		District		State
			N	%	N	%	
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	1	<1	1	<1	<1
10	5	5	13	5	13	4	3
9	5	4	37	13	37	13	7
8	4	4	65	23	66	23	21
7	4	3	66	23	67	23	18
6	3	3	62	22	65	22	25
5	3	2	29	10	29	10	10
4	2	2	8	3	9	3	10
3	2	1	3	1	4	1	2
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing</li> <li>• intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose</li> <li>• distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout</li> <li>• details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent</li> <li>• details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well</li> <li>• may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence</li> <li>• some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence</li> <li>• generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting</li> </ul>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout</li> </ul>
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

**Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.**



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

# Disaggregated Writing Results

**School:** Chariho High School  
**District:** Chariho  
**State:** Rhode Island  
**Code:** 98-98101

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
<b>All Students</b>	290	6	0	284	14	5	168	59	99	35	3	1	7.1	291	5	58	35	1	7.1	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4
<b>Gender</b>																									
Male	145	4	0	141	4	3	67	48	67	48	3	2	6.7	142	3	47	48	2	6.7	5,232	3	39	49	8	6.1
Female	145	2	0	143	10	7	101	71	32	22	0	0	7.5	149	7	69	23	1	7.4	5,131	4	53	40	3	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						3					
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										6						2,036	1	33	54	12	5.7
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						42	2	43	52	2	6.5
Asian	2	1	0	1										1						281	5	47	42	6	6.6
Black or African American	3	0	0	3										4						848	1	33	56	10	5.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						31	3	35	58	3	6.4
White	275	5	0	270	11	4	163	60	93	34	3	1	7.1	275	4	60	35	1	7.1	6,918	4	52	40	4	6.7
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										2						187	4	43	49	4	6.4
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						23	0	13	17	70	3.3
<b>LEP Status</b>																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						361	0	7	52	41	3.8
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						47	0	23	68	9	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						17	0	24	71	6	5.6
All Other Students	289	6	0	283	14	5	167	59	99	35	3	1	7.1	290	5	58	36	1	7.1	9,941	4	48	44	5	6.5
<b>IEP</b>																									
Students with an IEP	30	3	0	27	0	0	7	26	19	70	1	4	6.0	30	0	27	67	7	5.9	1,463	<1	14	64	22	4.8
All Other Students	260	3	0	257	14	5	161	63	80	31	2	1	7.2	261	5	62	32	1	7.2	8,903	4	51	41	3	6.7
<b>SES</b>																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	68	2	0	66	1	2	34	52	31	47	0	0	6.7	71	1	49	48	1	6.6	3,961	1	35	55	8	5.9
All Other Students	222	4	0	218	13	6	134	61	68	31	3	1	7.2	220	6	61	31	1	7.2	6,405	5	53	38	4	6.8
<b>Migrant</b>																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	290	6	0	284	14	5	168	59	99	35	3	1	7.1	291	5	58	35	1	7.1	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4
<b>Title I</b>																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,297	2	37	51	10	5.9
All Other Students	290	6	0	284	14	5	168	59	99	35	3	1	7.1	291	5	58	35	1	7.1	8,069	4	49	43	5	6.6
<b>504 Plan</b>																									
Students with a 504 Plan	15	0	0	15	0	0	6	40	8	53	1	7	5.9	16	0	44	50	6	5.9	245	2	46	48	4	6.4
All Other Students	275	6	0	269	14	5	162	60	91	34	2	1	7.2	275	5	59	35	1	7.1	10,121	4	46	44	6	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.