# About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



# Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011** 

### **District Results**

**District**: Bristol Warren

**Code:** 96



**Grade Level Summary Report** 

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

DARTICIDATION :- NECAR					Numbe	r							Po	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					293			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				283	283	283	10,628	10,672	10,594				97	97	97	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				25	90	21	1,745	2,408	1,172				9	32	7	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				3	3	3	271	311	261				1	1	1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				1	2	0	36	50	28				33	67	0	13	16	11
IEP Students				31	31	30	1,627	1,625	1,612				11	11	11	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				15	15	13	820	841	747				48	48	43	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				10	10	10	536	492	570				3	3	3	5	4	5
State Approved				1	1	0	195	156	189				10	10	0	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				0	0	0	108	108	108				0	0		55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0		21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				1	1	0	34	35	30				100	100		17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0		1	0	1
Special Consideration				0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0		6	8	5
Other				9	9	10	341	336	381				90	90	100	64	68	67

#### **NECAP RESULTS**

					ı	District	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Le	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	293	1	9	283	125	44	118	42	28	10	12	4	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
МАТН	293	1	9	283	10	4	99	35	81	29	93	33	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	293	0	10	283	3	1	206	73	65	23	9	3	7.0	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

**Note:** Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## **Reading Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	236 277 <b>293</b> 806	3 3 <b>1</b>	9 1 <b>9</b>	224 273 <b>283</b> 780	82 115 <b>125</b> 322	37 42 <b>44</b> 41	113 116 <b>118</b> 347	50 42 <b>42</b> 44	17 25 <b>28</b> 70	8 9 <b>10</b> 9	12 17 <b>12</b> 41	5 6 <b>4</b> 5	1151 1151 <b>1152</b> 1151
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	179 223 <b>195</b> 597	340 224 <b>341</b> 905	10,684 10,742 <b>10,628</b> 32,054	1,909 2,466 <b>2,964</b> 7,339	18 23 <b>28</b>	5,498 5,416 <b>5,126</b> 16,040	51 50 <b>48</b> 50	2,125 1,875 <b>1,688</b> 5,688	20 17 <b>16</b>	1,152 985 <b>850</b> 2,987	11 9 <b>8</b> 9	1145 1146 <b>1147</b> 1146





# **Disaggregated Reading Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	293	1	9	283	125	44	118	42	28	10	12	4	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	149	1	2	146	52	36	72	49	16	11	6	4	1150	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	144	0	7	137	73	53	46	34	12	9	6	4	1154	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino		_																							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1 1	0	0	1										63	16	41	30	13	1142						
Asian	2	0	0	2										288	26	52	18	4	1148						
Black or African American	5	0	0	5										862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	269	1	2	266	118	44	111	42	27	10	10	4	1152	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	3 7	0	0 7	3										166 73	28 5	47 19	20 38	5 37	1147 1134						
No Nace/Edillicity Reported	,		′											/5		13	30	57	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3				1						271	1	18	32	48	1131			1			
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	2	0	0	2						İ				32	3	53	38	6	1141						
All Other Students	288	1	9	278	125	45	113	41	28	10	12	4	1152	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	33	0	2	31	1	3	13	42	8	26	9	29	1137	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	260	1	7	252	124	49	105	42	20	8	3	1	1154	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
crc																									
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	93	0	4	89	31	35	42	47	11	12	5	6	1149	2762	12	40	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	200	1	5	194	94	48	42 76	39	17	12	7	4	1153	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	11	14 5	1150						
All Other Students	200	'	,	134	34	40	/0	39	''	, ,	_ ′	4	1133	0,800	30	40	''	ر	1130						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0						1				0											
All Other Students	293	1	9	283	125	44	118	42	28	10	12	4	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,652	13	48	25	15	1142	l					1
All Other Students	293	1	9	283	125	44	118	42	28	10	12	4	1152	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
FOA Plan																									
<b>504 Plan</b> Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	1	6										250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	286	1	8	277	123	44	114	41	28	10	12	4	1152	10,378	28	48	16	8	1149						
All Other Students	200	'	0	2//	123	44	114	41	20	10	12	4	11172	10,570	20	40	10	٥	1 114/	I	1	1	İ		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Mathematics Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	236 277 <b>293</b> 806	3 3 <b>1</b> 7	9 4 <b>9</b>	224 270 <b>283</b>	7 4 <b>10</b>	3 1 <b>4</b>	82 84 <b>99</b> 265	37 31 <b>35</b>	54 83 <b>81</b> 218	24 31 <b>29</b>	81 99 <b>93</b> 273	36 37 <b>33</b>	1136 1136 <b>1137</b>
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	161 195 <b>156</b> 512	343 278 <b>336</b> 957	10,699 10,716 <b>10,672</b> 32,087	155 181 <b>272</b> 608	1 2 <b>3</b>	2,739 2,748 <b>3,224</b> 8,711	26 26 <b>30</b> 27	3,007 2,958 <b>3,094</b> 9,059	28 28 <b>29</b>	4,798 4,829 <b>4,082</b> 13,709	45 45 <b>38</b> 43	1134 1134 <b>1135</b>

	Total				Perce	nt of T	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Numbers & Operations	19				<b></b>								•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41					_							•	State
Functions & Algebra	55					-	<b>A</b>							Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					<b>*</b>								



# Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Mathematics Possilts

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

Disaggregated Mathematics Result
----------------------------------

						Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	293	1	9	283	10	4	99	35	81	29	93	33	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	149	1	2	146	7	5	49	34	47	32	43	29	1137	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	144	0	7	137	3	2	50	36	34	25	50	36	1136	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	-	_								9	_										
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian	2	0	0	2										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	5	0	0	5				1						865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1				1		1		29	0	21	24	55	1129				1	1	
White	269	1	2	266	9	3	95	36	79	30	83	31	1137	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	7	0	7	0										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3				1						311	0	3	9	89	1122					1	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	o o	0						1				26	0	8	15	77	1130				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	2	0	0	2										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	288	1	9	278	10	4	99	36	80	29	89	32	1137	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	33	0	2	31	0	0	1	3	4	13	26	84	1123	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
						1																			
All Other Students	260	1	7	252	10	4	98	39	77	31	67	27	1138	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	93	0	4	89	3	3	19	21	30	34	37	42	1134	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	200	1	5	194	7	4	80	41	51	26	56	29	1138	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	293	1	9	283	10	4	99	35	81	29	93	33	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0				İ						2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
	293	1	9	283	10	4	99	35	81	29	93	33	1137		3	35	30	31	1137			1	1	1	
All Other Students	293	'	9	283	10	4	99	35	Ø1	. 29	93	55	113/	7,992	3	35	30	31	113/						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	1	6										250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	286	1	8	277	10	4	98	35	79	29	90	32	1137	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135			1	1	1	
						1								I			1	1		I			1	1	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Writing Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	236 277 <b>293</b>	2 3 <b>0</b>	9 3 <b>10</b>	225 271 <b>283</b> 779	9 42 <b>3</b> 54	4 15 <b>1</b>	102 170 <b>206</b> 478	45 63 <b>73</b>	101 50 <b>65</b> 216	45 18 <b>23</b>	13 9 <b>9</b> 31	6 3 <b>3</b>	6.5 7.6 <b>7.0</b>
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	156 221 <b>189</b> 566	378 289 <b>381</b> 1,048	10,669 10,679 <b>10,594</b> 31,942	403 742 <b>119</b> 1,264	4 7 <b>1</b>	4,023 5,124 <b>5,269</b> 14,416	38 48 <b>50</b>	5,322 4,253 <b>4,680</b> 14,255	50 40 <b>44</b> 45	921 560 <b>526</b> 2,007	9 5 <b>5</b>	6.1 6.7 <b>6.4</b>

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



## **Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren State: Rhode Island

Code: 96

### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

Time of Muiting			,	Sch	nool				- 1	Distri	ct				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	C	)	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)							283	7		•		10,594	6.4		-	
	2009-10							29	6.8				1,339	6.5			•
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							36	6.9		•		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							34	6.8				1,323	6.2			ı
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							40	6.6		-		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							34	6.6				1,321	6.2		_	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							32	7.6		•		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or foca.	2009-10							29	6.8				1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							32	7.5		•		1,328	6.6		•	
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10							35	7.4		_		1,337	6.8		_	-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							35	7.8		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							37	6.9				1,326	6.6		4	-

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



**Writing Results** 

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

			Score Dis	stribution	1		
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			0	0	<1
11	6	5			0	0	<1
10	5	5			3	1	1
9	5	4			14	5	3
8	4	4			113	40	27
7	4	3			79	28	20
6	3	3			50	18	29
5	3	2			8	3	8
4	2	2			7	2	7
3	2	1			2	1	1
2	1	1			4	1	2
0	0	0			3	1	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# **Disaggregated Writing Results**

**District:** Bristol Warren **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 96

		District													State										
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scor
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	293	0	10	283	3	1	206	73	65	23	9	3	7.0	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	149	0	3	146	0	0	103	71	40	27	3	2	7.0	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	144	Ö	7	137	3	2	103	75	25	18	6	4	7.1	5,246	Ιi	56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		-	103	,,,	23			,	'''	9		30	33	3	0.7						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	6	0	0	6										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	2	0	0	2										288	2	54	41	3	6.6						
Black or African American	5	0	0	5										852	1 1	34	56	10	5.7				1		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	Ö	17	70	13	5.2						
White	269	0	3	266	3	1	197	74	59	22	7	3	7.1	7,342	1 1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races	3	0	0	3	_		137	1 ''			<b>'</b>		/	166		41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	7	0	7	0										68	Ö	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3		İ				İ				261	0	11	50	39	3.7				İ		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	Ö	0	0										26	l ő	27	65	8	5.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	2	0	0	2		İ				İ				33	0	24	70	6	5.7				İ		
All Other Students	288	0	10	278	3	1	204	73	62	22	9	3	7.1	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP								-																	
Students with an IEP	33	0	3	30	0	0	4	13	19	63	7	23	4.5	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	260	0	7	253	3	1	202	80	46	18	2	1	7.3	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	93	0	4	89	0	0	59	66	26	29	4	4	6.7	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9						
All Other Students	200	0	6	194	3	2	147	76	39	20	5	3	7.2	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	293	0	10	283	3	1	206	73	65	23	9	3	7.0	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1		1		1				2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	293	0	10	283	3	1	206	73	65	23	9	3	7.0	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	1	6										250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	286	0	9	277	3	1	202	73	63	23	9	3	7.1	10,344	1 1	50	40	5	6.4						
All Other Students	1 200	"	1		1	1 1	1 202	1 '3	05	1 23	1	۱ ,	1 '	10,574	' '	. 50			J 0	l	1	:	1		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient