# About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



# Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011** 

### **School Results**

School: Woonsocket High School

**District:** Woonsocket

**Code:** 39-39123



### **Grade Level Summary Report**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

**District:** Woonsocket **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 39-39123

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Po	ercentag	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		433			442			11,164			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	407	409	409	414	416	415	10,628	10,672	10,594	94	94	94	94	94	94	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation	96	160	52	100	164	55	1,745	2,408	1,172	24	39	13	24	39	13	16	23	11
Current LEP Students	10	13	9	10	13	9	271	311	261	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation	4	3	0	4	3	0	36	50	28	40	23	0	40	23	0	13	16	11
IEP Students	75	75	75	82	82	81	1,627	1,625	1,612	18	18	18	20	20	20	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation	54	58	51	58	62	54	820	841	747	72	77	68	71	76	67	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP	26	24	24	28	26	27	536	492	570	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	4	5
State Approved	19	16	16	19	16	16	195	156	189	73	67	67	68	62	59	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment	9	9	9	9	9	9	108	108	108	47	56	56	47	56	56	55	69	57
First Year LEP	3	0	3	3	0	3	40	0	41	16	0	19	16	0	19	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1	7	7	4	7	7	4	34	35	30	37	44	25	37	44	25	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	5
Other	7	8	8	9	10	11	341	336	381	27	33	33	32	38	41	64	68	67

#### **NECAP RESUITS**

						School										Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
Ī	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	433	19	7	407	30	7	194	48	117	29	66	16	1140	414	7	47	28	17	1140	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
МАТН	433	16	8	409	1	<1	60	15	123	30	225	55	1131	416	<1	14	30	56	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
WRITING	433	16	8	409	0	0	96	23	285	70	28	7	5.6	415	0	23	69	8	5.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

**Note:** Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### **Reading Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

District: Woonsocket State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 39-39123

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	398	16	0	382	32	8	158	41	122	32	70	18	1140
2009-10	379	15	1	363	38	10	184	51	92	25	49	13	1142
2010-11	433	19	7	407	30	7	194	48	117	29	66	16	1140
Cumulative													
Total	1,210	50	8	1,152	100	9	536	47	331	29	185	16	1141
DISTRICT													
2008-09	400	17	0	383	32	8	158	41	122	32	71	19	1140
2009-10	390	15	5	370	38	10	187	51	93	25	52	14	1142
2010-11	442	19	9	414	30	7	195	47	117	28	72	17	1140
Cumulative													
Total	1,232	51	14	1,167	100	9	540	46	332	28	195	17	1141
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	179	340	10,684	1,909	18	5,498	51	2,125	20	1,152	11	1145
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146





## **Disaggregated Reading Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

District: Woonsocket State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 39-39123

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	433	19	7	407	30	7	194	48	117	29	66	16	1140	414	7	47	28	17	1140	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Gender																									
Male	239	10	3	226	8	4	107	47	70	31	41	18	1138	229	3	47	31	19	1138	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145
Female	194	9	4	181	22	12	87	48	47	26	25	14	1142	185	12	48	25	15	1142	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	99	8	4	87	2	2	32	37	30	34	23	26	1136	90	2	36	33	29	1135	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						63	16	41	30	13	1142
Asian	0	0	0	0										0			į			288	26	52	18	4	1148
Black or African American	40	0	0	40	5	13	13	33	16	40	6	15	1139	40	13	33	40	15	1139	862	11	46	27	15	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	22	0	1	21	0	0	12	57	6	29	3	14	1140	22	0	55	27	18	1139	30	3	53	23	20	1140
White	258	11	2	245	20	8	130	53	62	25	33	13	1141	248	8	53	25	14	1141	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150
Two or more races	13	0	0	13	3	23	7	54	2	15	1	8	1145	13	23	54	15	8	1145	166	28	47	20	5	1147
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						73	5	19	38	37	1134
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	14	3	1	10	0	0	1	10	2	20	7	70	1128	10	0	10	20	70	1128	271	1	18	32	48	1131
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1	"	ľ		"	-		′	,,,	1120	1 1		10	1 20	1 /	1120	26	0	54	38	8	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	0	1										Ιi						32	3	53	38	6	1141
All Other Students	417	16	6	395	30	8	192	49	115	29	58	15	1140	402	7	48	29	16	1140	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	86	10	1	75	2	3	15	20	24	32	34	45	1131	82	2	20	29	49	1130	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135
All Other Students	347	9	6	332	28	8	179	54	93	28	32	10	1142	332	8	54	28	10	1142	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149
All Other Students	347			332	20	Ü	173	34		20	32	10	1172	332		34	20	"	1172	3,001	32	"	13	7	1143
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	204	12	4	188	9	5	79	42	62	33	38	20	1138	188	5	42	33	20	1138	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142
All Other Students	229	7	3	219	21	10	115	53	55	25	28	13	1142	226	9	51	24	15	1141	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	433	19	7	407	30	7	194	48	117	29	66	16	1140	414	7	47	28	17	1140	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,652	13	48	25	15	1142
All Other Students	433	19	7	407	30	7	194	48	117	29	66	16	1140	414	7	47	28	17	1140	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149
/ iii other students	.55	'	′	,	30	, '	1,54	1	'''	-5			''	''	'	"	- 20	''	170	,,,,,,		1	.,		1173
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										4						250	30	55	12	3	1149
All Other Students	429	19	7	403	30	7	191	47	116	29	66	16	1140	410	7	47	28	18	1140	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### **Mathematics Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

District: Woonsocket
State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 39-39123

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	398	14	0	384	4	1	56	15	92	24	232	60	1131
2009-10	379	21	3	355	0	0	43	12	94	26	218	61	1130
2010-11	433	16	8	409	1	<1	60	15	123	30	225	55	1131
Cumulative													
Total	1,210	51	11	1,148	5	<1	159	14	309	27	675	59	1131
DISTRICT													
2008-09	400	15	0	385	4	1	56	15	92	24	233	61	1131
2009-10	390	21	7	362	0	0	44	12	95	26	223	62	1130
2010-11	442	16	10	416	1	<1	60	14	123	30	232	56	1131
Cumulative													
Total	1,232	52	17	1,163	5	<1	160	14	310	27	688	59	1131
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134





## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

District: Woonsocket State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 39-39123

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	433	16	8	409	1	<1	60	15	123	30	225	55	1131	416	<1	14	30	56	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Gender																									
Male	239	8	4	227	0	0	36	16	66	29	125	55	1132	230	0	16	29	56	1132	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135
Female	194	8	4	182	1	1	24	13	57	31	100	55	1131	186	1	13	31	56	1130	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						9					
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	99	5	4	90	0	0	6	7	22	24	62	69	1127	93	0	6	24	70	1126	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1						64	2	14	28	56	1132
Asian	0	0	0	0										0				ĺ		293	4	33	31	32	1137
Black or African American	40	0	0	40	0	0	7	18	10	25	23	58	1132	40	0	18	25	58	1132	865	<1	12	24	63	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	22	0	2	20	0	0	4	20	5	25	11	55	1131	21	0	19	24	57	1129	29	0	21	24	55	1129
White	258	11	2	245	1	<1	41	17	83	34	120	49	1133	248	<1	17	33	50	1133	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137
Two or more races	13	0	0	13	0	0	2	15	3	23	8	62	1134	13	0	15	23	62	1134	166	1	23	31	45	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0	-		_				_			0						76	0	8	13	79	1124
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	14	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	100	1118	13	0	0	0	100	1118	311	0	3	9	89	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1	"	*	"	"	"		13		1110	1			ľ	100	''''	26	Ö	8	15	77	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	0	1										1						33	Ö	12	24	64	1130
All Other Students	417	16	7	394	1	<1	60	15	122	31	211	54	1132	401	<1	15	30	54	1131	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	86	10	1	75	0	0	3	4	8	11	64	85	1124	82	0	4	10	87	1123	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124
All Other Students	347	6	7	334	1	<1	57	17	115	34	161	48	1133	334	<1	17	34	48	1133	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137
All Other Students	347	0	/	334	'	<1	5/	17	1115	34	101	48	1133	334	<1	17	54	48	1133	9,047	3	30	32	31	1137
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	204	10	5	189	0	0	20	11	55	29	114	60	1130	189	0	11	29	60	1130	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131
All Other Students	229	6	3	220	1	<1	40	18	68	31	111	50	1133	227	<1	18	30	52	1132	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				i						0				i		0			1		
All Other Students	433	16	8	409	1	<1	60	15	123	30	225	55	1131	416	<1	14	30	56	1131	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680	1	15	25	60	1130
All Other Students	433	16	8	409	1	<1	60	15	123	30	225	55	1131	416	<1	14	30	56	1131	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										4						250	4	32	37	27	1137
	429	16	8	405	1	<1	59	15	121	30	224	55	1131	412	<1	14	29	56	1131	10,422	3	30	29	39	1137
All Other Students																									

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### **Writing Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

**District:** Woonsocket **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 39-39123

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL													
2008-09	398	16	1	381	13	3	102	27	217	57	49	13	5.6
2009-10	379	15	2	362	8	2	137	38	193	53	24	7	6.1
2010-11	433	16	8	409	0	0	96	23	285	70	28	7	5.6
Cumulative													
Total	1,210	47	11	1,152	21	2	335	29	695	60	101	9	5.8
DISTRICT													
2008-09	400	17	1	382	13	3	102	27	217	57	50	13	5.6
2009-10	390	15	6	369	8	2	138	37	196	53	27	7	6.0
2010-11	442	16	11	415	0	0	97	23	285	69	33	8	5.6
Cumulative													
Total	1,232	48	18	1,166	21	2	337	29	698	60	110	9	5.7
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	156	378	10,669	403	4	4,023	38	5,322	50	921	9	6.1
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
Cumulative													
Total	33,556	566	1,048	31,942	1,264	4	14,416	45	14,255	45	2,007	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



### **Writing Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

**District**: Woonsocket State: Rhode Island Code: 39-39123

### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

	1	Ι					Ι .									
Type of Writing				Scho	ool			[	Distri	ict				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	409	5.6		-		415	5.6		-		10,594	6.4		•	
or a personal experience, sense, or recal	2009-10	45	6.4				46	6.4				1,339	6.5		4	'
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	54	5.4		•		54	5.4		•		1,327	6.2		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	48	6.3		4		48	6.3				1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11	46	5.4		-		46	5.4		-		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10	45	6.3				46	6.2				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11	50	5.6		•		50	5.6		-		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or luca.	2009-10	45	6.4		4		46	6.4				1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	53	5.8		-		55	5.7		-		1,328	6.6		•	
and thoughts on a locused topic.	2009-10	40	5.7				40	5.7				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11	51	6		•		51	6		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10	44	5.4				45	5.3				1,326	6.6			•

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

The shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



### **Writing Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

**District:** Woonsocket **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 39-39123

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	1
9	5	4	0	0	0	0	3
8	4	4	46	11	46	11	27
7	4	3	50	12	51	12	20
6	3	3	163	40	163	39	29
5	3	2	57	14	57	14	8
4	2	2	65	16	65	16	7
3	2	1	9	2	10	2	1
2	1	1	11	3	13	3	2
0	0	0	8	2	10	2	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports     purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of     grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# **Disaggregated Writing Results**

**School:** Woonsocket High School

**District:** Woonsocket **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 39-39123

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ite		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	433	16	8	409	0	0	96	23	285	70	28	7	5.6	415	0	23	69	8	5.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Gender																									
Male	239	8	3	228	0	0	43	19	162	71	23	10	5.3	230	0	19	70	11	5.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2
Female	194	8	5	181	0	Ö	53	29	123	68	5	3	5.9	185	0	29	66	4	5.9	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		Ů		23	123				3.3	0					3.5	9		30			0.7
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	99	7	Δ	88	0	0	14	16	64	73	10	11	5.3	90	0	16	71	13	5.2	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8
Not Hispanic or Latino	] 33	· /	4	00	"		14	10	04	13	10	'''	).5	] 50	0	10	/ /	15	J.2	1,704	`'	1 3/	1 32	''	] 5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1				1						l ,			-	1		64	٠,	42	4.5	11	60
		-	1 "					1									-	1			2		45	11	6.0
Asian	0	0	0	0			4.0					_		0				_		288	2	54	41	3	6.6
Black or African American	40	0	0	40	0	0	12	30	26	65	2	5	5.7	40	0	30	65	5	5.7	852	1	34	56	10	5.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	22	0	1	21	0	0	3	14	15	71	3	14	5.0	22	0	14	68	18	5.0	30	0	17	70	13	5.2
White	258	9	3	246	0	0	62	25	172	70	12	5	5.7	249	0	25	69	6	5.7	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7
Two or more races	13	0	0	13	0	0	5	38	7	54	1	8	5.8	13	0	38	54	8	5.8	166	1	41	55	3	6.3
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						68	0	18	47	35	4.4
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	14	3	2	9										9			-	1		261	0	11	50	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						26	0	27	65	8	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	0	1										l i			1			33	0	24	70	6	5.7
All Other Students	417	13	6	398	0	0	95	24	281	71	22	6	5.7	404	0	24	70	7	5.6	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5
IEP																									
	0.0		,	7.5	1 ,		_	,		7.1	17	22	1 4 5	0.1		7		27	4.5	1 (1)		10		10	1 40
Students with an IEP	86	9	2	75	0	0	5	7	53	71	17	23	4.5	81	0		65	27	4.3	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9
All Other Students	347	7	6	334	0	0	91	27	232	69	11	3	5.9	334	0	27	69	3	5.9	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	204	10	4	190	0	0	36	19	139	73	15	8	5.4	190	0	19	73	8	5.4	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9
All Other Students	229	6	4	219	0	0	60	27	146	67	13	6	5.8	225	0	27	65	8	5.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	433	16	8	409	0	0	96	23	285	70	28	7	5.6	415	0	23	69	8	5.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8
All Other Students	433	16	8	409	0	0	96	23	285	70	28	7	5.6	415	0	23	69	8	5.6	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	4	0	0	4										4						250	2	51	46	2	6.7
	429	16	8	405	0	0	94	22	283	70	20	7	[ [	411		23	69				1	50		5	
All Other Students	1 429	01	ŏ	400	l U	. 0	94	23	203	70	28	/	5.6	411	0	2.5	: 09	8	5.5	10,344		; 20	44	; >	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient