About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Warwick

Code: 35



Grade Level Summary Report

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

DADTICIDATION : NECAD					Numbei								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					823			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				780	786	781	10,628	10,672	10,594				95	96	95	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				279	342	175	1,745	2,408	1,172				36	44	22	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				1	1	1	271	311	261				<1	<1	<1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				1	1	0	36	50	28				100	100	0	13	16	11
IEP Students				125	125	125	1,627	1,625	1,612				16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				85	85	78	820	841	747				68	68	62	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				43	37	42	536	492	570				5	4	5	5	4	5
State Approved				14	14	13	195	156	189				33	38	31	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				11	11	11	108	108	108				79	79	85	55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				2	2	2	34	35	30				14	14	15	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				1	1	0	12	13	9				7	7	0	6	8	5
Other				29	23	29	341	336	381				67	62	69	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

					I	District	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	823	14	29	780	234	30	411	53	99	13	36	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
МАТН	823	14	23	786	9	1	237	30	248	32	292	37	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	823	13	29	781	5	1	411	53	346	44	19	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	863 797 823 2,483	9 13 14 36	31 17 29 77	823 767 780 2,370	131 118 234 483	16 15 30	432 412 411 1,255	52 54 53	180 157 99 436	22 20 13	80 80 36 196	10 10 5	1144 1144 1149 1146
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	179 223 195 597	340 224 341 905	10,684 10,742 10,628 32,054	1,909 2,466 2,964 7,339	18 23 28	5,498 5,416 5,126	51 50 48	2,125 1,875 1,688 5,688	20 17 16	1,152 985 850 2,987	11 9 8 9	1145 1146 1147 1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	tal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	A		
Type of Text												
Literary	42							*				
Informational	42							•				
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31							•	A			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							*				



Disaggregated Reading Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

All Students	N 823	NT Approved N 14	NT Other N	Tested N	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lov						Laural						Level	Level	Level	Laural	
				N					Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	4	3	2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	823	14		ı	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
Condon			29	780	234	30	411	53	99	13	36	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	400	8	18	374	81	22	203	54	71	19	19	5	1147	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female Not Reported	423	6	11	406	153	38	208	51	28	7	17	4	1151	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	42	0	2	40	4	10	23	58	11	28	2	5	1145	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino	0	_	0	_										62	1.0	4.1	20	13	1142						
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian	0 18	0	0	0 17	6	35	10	59	1	6	0	0	1152	63 288	16 26	41 52	30 18	13 4	1142 1148			1			
Black or African American	20	0	0	20	6	30	10	50	3	15	1	5	1148	862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	0	0	4	"	30	10	30		13	ļ .		1110	30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	728	14	23	691	214	31	363	53	81	12	33	5	1149	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	7	0	0	7								-		166	28	47	20	5	1147						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	4	0	3	1										73	5	19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										271	1	18	32	48	1131						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	Ó		İ								26	0	54	38	8	1140			İ			
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										32	3	53	38	6	1141						
All Other Students	822	14	29	779	234	30	410	53	99	13	36	5	1149	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IED.																									
Students with an IEP	146	13	8	125	10	8	45	36	44	35	26	21	1138	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	677	1 1	8 21	655	224	34	366	56	55	8	10	2	1151	9,001	32	52 51	33 13	4	1149						
All Other Students	0//	'	21	033	224	. 34	300	30	33	0	10		1131	9,001	32	31	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	235	3	16	216	37	17	118	55	43	20	18	8	1145	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	588	11	13	564	197	35	293	52	56	10	18	3	1151	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0										-	
All Other Students	823	14	29	780	234	30	411	53	99	13	36	5	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Tiale I																									
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,652	13	48	25	15	1142			1			
All Other Students	823	14	29	780	234	30	411	53	99	13	36	5	1149	7,976	33	48	13	6	1142						
All Other Students	023	17	23	/00	234	. 50	411	رر	33	ر ا	30	,	1149	1,510	رر	40	ر ا		1143						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	20	0	0	20	3	15	16	80	1	5	0	0	1148	250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	803	14	29	760	231	30	395	52	98	13	36	5	1149	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147					į	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	863 797 823 2,483	9 14 14 37	33 20 23 76	821 763 786 2,370	5 1 9 15	1 <1 1	180 153 237 570	22 20 30 24	260 270 248 778	32 35 32 33	376 339 292 1,007	46 44 37 42	1134 1133 1135
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	161 195 156 512	343 278 336 957	10,699 10,716 10,672 32,087	155 181 272 608	1 2 3	2,739 2,748 3,224 8,711	26 26 30 27	3,007 2,958 3,094 9,059	28 28 29	4,798 4,829 4,082 13,709	45 45 38 43	1134 1134 1135





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

						Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	823	14	23	786	9	1	237	30	248	32	292	37	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	400	8	14	378	3	1	117	31	119	31	139	37	1135	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	423	6	9	408	6	1	120	29	129	32	153	38	1135	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	42	0	2	40	0	0	8	20	10	25	22	55	1134	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian Asian Asian Native	18	0	1 1	17	0	0	7	41	4	24	6	35	1139	293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	20	0	0	20	0	0	6	30	5	25	9	45	1133	865	<1	12	24	63	1129				İ		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	0	0	4	"		"	. 30	'	23	'	43	1133	29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	728	14	17	697	9	1	213	31	225	32	250	36	1135	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137				İ		
Two or more races	7	0	0	7		1 '	213	"	223	. 32	250	30	1133	166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	4	0	3	1										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	822	14	23	785	9	1	237	30	248	32	291	37	1135	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	146	13	8	125	0	0	7	6	21	17	97	78	1126	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	677	1	15	661	9	1	230	35	227	34	195	30	1137	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
SES																!									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	235	3	12	220	1	<1	39	18	59	27	121	55	1132	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	588	11	11	566	8	1	198	35	189	33	171	30	1137	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	823	14	23	786	9	1	237	30	248	32	292	37	1135	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1								2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
All Other Students	823	14	23	786	9	1	237	30	248	32	292	37	1135	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	20	0	0	20	0	0	5	25	9	45	6	30	1135	250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	803	14	23	766	9	1	232	30	239	31	286	37	1135	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135						
			1	1	1	1	1	į.		į.	1			l '	I	į.		1		I	I		į.		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	863 797 823 2,483	5 13 13 31	33 23 29 85	825 761 781 2,367	25 40 5 70	3 5 1	308 366 411 1,085	37 48 53	433 321 346 1,100	52 42 44 46	59 34 19	7 4 2 5	6.1 6.7 6.6
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	156 221 189 566	378 289 381 1,048	10,669 10,679 10,594 31,942	403 742 119 1,264	4 7 1	4,023 5,124 5,269 14,416	38 48 50	5,322 4,253 4,680	50 40 44 45	921 560 526 2,007	9 5 5	6.1 6.7 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Warwick State: Rhode Island

Code: 35

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

								71									
Tune of Writing				Sch	ool				0	Distri	ict				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0)	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)							781	6.6		•		10,594	6.4		-	
	2009-10							98	6.4				1,339	6.5		-	-
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							93	6.5		•		1,327	6.2		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							92	5.7				1,323	6.2			-
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							94	6.5		-		1,313	6.3		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							97	5.8				1,321	6.2			•
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							106	6.9		•		1,321	6.6		•	1
or a personal experience, belief, or face.	2009-10							98	6.4				1,339	6.5		_	-
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							97	6.6		•		1,328	6.6		-	
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10							99	6.8				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							97	6.7		•		1,340	6.6		•	1
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							91	6.6				1,326	6.6		_	-

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

			Score Dis	stribution	1		
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			0	0	<1
11	6	5			1	<1	<1
10	5	5			4	1	1
9	5	4			17	2	3
8	4	4			206	26	27
7	4	3			188	24	20
6	3	3			246	31	29
5	3	2			56	7	8
4	2	2			44	6	7
3	2	1			6	1	1
2	1	1			9	1	2
0	0	0			4	1	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

District: Warwick **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 35

REPORTING CATEGORIES					I	Distr	ict								State										
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scor
	N				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	823	13	29	781	5	1	411	53	346	44	19	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	400	8	18	374	2	1	159	43	197	53	16	4	6.3	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	423	5	11	407	3	1	252	62	149	37	3	1	6.9	5,246	l i	56	39	3	6.7				İ		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9				_							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	42	0	2	40	0	0	18	45	22	55	0	0	6.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
American Indian or Alaskan Native		_	0	_		İ		İ						C 4	٦	42	45	11	6.0				İ		
Asian	0 18	0	1	0 17	1	6	11	C.E.	l .	20	_	0	7.2	64 288	2	42 54	45 41	11	6.0 6.6						
Black or African American	20	0	1 1	17	1 1	5	12	65 63	5	29 26	0	5	7.2 7.1	852	2	34 34	56	10	5.7						
					')	12	03))	20		ס	/.1									İ	İ		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4 720	0	0	4	١ ,		205		207	4.4	10	,		30	0	17	70	13	5.2			1	1		
White	728	13	22	693	3	<1	365	53	307	44	18	3	6.6	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	7 4	0	0 3	7 1										166 68	1 0	41 18	55 47	3 35	6.3 4.4						
LED Status																									
LEP Status Current LEP student	1	0	0	1				-						261	0	11	50	20	3.7			1			
	0	0	0	0										26	0	27	65	39 8	5.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		İ		į						33	0		70	i	5.7			İ	İ		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	822	13	29	780	5	1	411	53	345	44	19	2	6.6	10,274	1	24 51	44	6 4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	146	12	9	125	0	0	29	23	81	65	15	12	F 2	1,612		18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	677	1 1	20	656	5	1	382	58	265	40	4	1 1	5.3 6.8	8,982	<1 1	55	41	3	6.7						
All Other Students	0//	'	20	000)	'	362	58	200	40	4	'	0.8	8,982	'	22	41	3	0.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	235	3	17	215	1	<1	89	41	119	55	6	3	6.3	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9						
All Other Students	588	10	12	566	4	1	322	57	227	40	13	2	6.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	1	1								0				1					1		
All Other Students	823	13	29	781	5	1	411	53	346	44	19	2	6.6	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	823	13	29	781	5	1	411	53	346	44	19	2	6.6	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	20	0	0	20	0	0	11	55	9	45	0	0	6.7	250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	803	13	29	761	5	1	400	53	337	44	19	2	6.6	10,344	1 1	50	44	5	6.4				1		
Derer bedderto	1 303	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1 -5.	1 11	1 .	-	1	1,5		:	1 11	1	1	1	I	i	i .		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient