About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to С MMO students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart Ź or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

 \mathbb{C}

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Smithfield

Code: 31



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

				ſ	Numbei	r							Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					198			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				195	195	195	10,628	10,672	10,594				98	98	98	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				34	62	7	1,745	2,408	1,172				17	32	4	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				1	1	1	271	311	261				1	1	1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				1	1	1	36	50	28				100	100	100	13	16	11
IEP Students				9	9	9	1,627	1,625	1,612				5	5	5	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation		i		5	5	4	820	841	747				56	56	44	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				3	3	3	536	492	570				2	2	2	5	4	5
State Approved		1		1	1	1	195	156	189				33	33	33	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment		1		0	0	0	108	108	108				0	0	0	55	69	57
First Year LEP		į		0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1		1		1	1	1	34	35	30				100	100	100	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1		1		0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration		1		0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0	0	6	8	5
Other		1		2	2	2	341	336	381				67	67	67	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

					I	Distric	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	198	1	2	195	72	37	99	51	15	8	9	5	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
MATH	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	198	1	2	195	0	0	120	62	72	37	3	2	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	211 194 198 603	3 0 1 4	3 0 2 5	205 194 195 594	44 78 72 194	21 40 37 33	134 93 99 326	65 48 51 55	20 18 15 53	10 9 8 9	7 5 9 21	3 3 5 4	1148 1151 1150 1150
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	179 223 195 597	340 224 341 905	10,684 10,742 10,628 32,054	1,909 2,466 2,964 7,339	18 23 28 23	5,498 5,416 5,126 16,040	51 50 48 50	2,125 1,875 1,688 5,688	20 17 16 18	1,152 985 850 2,987	11 9 8 9	1145 1146 1147 1146

	Total			F	Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30 	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	-				
Type of Text													• Sc	hool
Literary	42							•	▲				🔺 Di	istrict ate
Informational	42							•	•				St	andard ror Bar
Level of Comprehension														
Initial Understanding	31								• -4	F				
Analysis & Interpretation	53							•	-					



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

					I	Distri	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	198	1	2	195	72	37	99	51	15	8	9	5	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	98	0	0	98	24	24	59	60	7	7	8	8	1148	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	100	1	2	97	48	49	40	41	8	8	1	1	1152	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			-10			0			1152	9			17	0							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino	4		U	4										1,003		40	24	17	1141						
	0	0	0											6	10	41	20	12	1142			1	1	1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0 0	0										63	16	41 50	30	13	1142						
Asian Black as African American		-												288 862	26	52	18 27	4							
Black or African American	4	0	0	4											11	46		15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	186	0	2	184	66	36	96	52	14	8	8	4	1150	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										166	28	47	20	5	1147				1		
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										73	5	19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										271	1	18	32	48	1131				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	ő	Ő	0										32	3	53	38	6	1141						
All Other Students	197	1	2	194	72	37	99	51	15	8	8	4	1150	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP			0											4 6 2 7		22	22		4405			1	1		
Students with an IEP	9	0	0	9						_	-			1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	189	1	2	186	72	39	96	52	13	7	5	3	1151	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	0	1	18	3	17	9	50	2	11	4	22	1143	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	179	1	1	177	69	39	90	51	13	7	5	3	1151	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0								1	1	1	
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	72	37	99	51	15	8	9	5	1150	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147			1		1	
	150		2	155	[/]	1				U	5	J	1150	10,020	20	40	10	0	''+/						
Title I			0													10	25	45	1445						
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0								_		2,652	13	48	25	15	1142						
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	72	37	99	51	15	8	9	5	1150	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	72	37	99	51	15	8	9	5	1150	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						
, a call students	1		-	1	1 1 -				1												1	1			1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT													
2008-09	211	3	3	205	2	1	60	29	70	34	73	36	1136
2009-10	194	0	0	194	6	3	63	32	60	31	65	34	1137
2010-11	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139
Cumulative													
Total	603	4	5	594	18	3	197	33	198	33	181	30	1137
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134
I I		· ·			1				1		. i		

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Numbers & Operations	19				◆	-							SchoolDistrict
Geometry & Measurement	41				•	-							 State Standard
Functions & Algebra	55					•	-						Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					• -	▲						



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

					l	Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	98	0	0	98	6	6	34	35	35	36	23	23	1138	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	100	1	2	97	4	4	40	41	33	34	20	21	1139	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	4	0	0	4										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian	0	0	0	0										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	186	0	2	184	10	5	73	40	63	34	38	21	1139	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	197	1	2	194	10	5	74	38	68	35	42	22	1139	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	9	0	0	9										1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	189	1	2	186	10	5	73	39	67	36	36	19	1139	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
			_	100			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	55			50			5,617			52								
SES											_														
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	19 179	0	1	18 177	0	0 6	3	17 40	8 60	44 34	7 36	39 20	1132 1139	3,794 6,878	1	16 38	27 30	56 28	1131 1137						
All Other students	1/9			1//	10	0	/1	40	00	54	50	20	1129	0,070	4	20	50	20	1157						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,680	1	15	25	60	1130				1		
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139	7,992	3	35	30	31	1130						
														.,											
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	10	5	74	38	68	35	43	22	1139	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	211 194 198 603	2 0 1 3	3 0 2 5	206 194 195 595	10 20 0 30	5 10 0 5	79 105 120 304	38 54 62 51	109 62 72 243	53 32 37 41	8 7 3 18	4 4 2 3	6.4 7.1 6.8 6.8
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	156 221 189 566	378 289 381 1,048	10,669 10,679 10,594 31,942	403 742 119 1,264	4 7 1 4	4,023 5,124 5,269 14,416	38 48 50 45	5,322 4,253 4,680 14,255	50 40 44 45	921 560 526 2,007	9 5 5 6	6.1 6.7 6.4 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results**

District: Smithfield State: Rhode Island Code: 31

	A	/erage	Scor	e Cor	npari	son b	y T	ype o	of Writ	ing§							
Turne of Multing				Schoo	bl				[Distric	t				Stat	e	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	1	2	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)							195	6.8		•		10,594	6.4			
of a personal experience, benci, of faca.	2009-10							26	6.7				1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							22	6.6		•		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							24	6.3				1,323	6.2		-	
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							24	6.8		•		1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							25	6.9				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							26	7		-		1,321	6.6			
or a personal experience, benci, or need.	2009-10							26	6.7		-		1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							25	7		•		1,328	6.6			
	2009-10							25	6.8		-		1,337	6.8			
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							25	6.9		•		1,340	6.6			
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							23	7.9		-	•	1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The \bigcirc shows this year's score and the black bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The \blacktriangle shows last year's score and the gray bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

			Score Dis	stribution					Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally arganized for affect a fully developed details; rich and/or inside fully developed to a feature.
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	 intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
12	6	6			0	0	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5			0	0	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
10	5	5			0	0	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4			10	5	3	4	 details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
8	4	4			60	31	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3			50	26	20	3	 some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
6	3	3			53	27	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2			10	5	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2			9	5	7		• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1			2	1	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1			0	0	2	0	
0	0	0			1	1	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

District:SmithfieldState:Rhode IslandCode:31

	District													State											
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other N	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
					N	N %	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%	i I	N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	198	1	2	195	0	0	120	62	72	37	3	2	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	98	0	0	98	0	0	52	53	44	45	2	2	6.6	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	100	1	2	97	0	Ő	68	70	28	29	1	1	7.0	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			00	10	20	25			/.0	9		50	55	5	0.7						
Race/Ethnicity																									
	4	0	0	4										1,784	-1	37	52	11	5.8						
Hispanic or Latino	4			4										1,/04	<1	57	υZ	11	0.0					1	
Not Hispanic or Latino	_														~	42	45	14	6.0					1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	0	0	0	0										288	2	54	41	3	6.6				1	1	
Black or African American	4	0	0	4										852	1	34	56	10	5.7					1	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	0	17	70	13	5.2					1	
White	186	0	2	184	0	0	113	61	68	37	3	2	6.8	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races	3	0	0	3										166	1	41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	1	0	0										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										261	0	11	50	39	3.7					1	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0 I	0	0	0										26	0	27	65	8	5.7					1	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7					1	
All Other Students	197	1	2	194	0	0	120	62	72	37	2	1	6.8	10,274	1	24 51	44	4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	9	0	0	9										1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9					1	
All Other Students	189	1	2	186	0	0	119	64	65	35	2	1	6.9	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	0	1	18	0	0	11	61	4	22	3	17	6.1	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9					1	
All Other Students	179	1	1	177	0	0	109	62	68	38	0	0	6.9	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	0	0	120	62	72	37	3	2	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8				1	1	
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	0	0	120	62	72	37	3	2	6.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
														250	2	F 1	46	2	67					1	
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0			4.20	62						250	2	51	46	2	6.7				1	1	
All Other Students	198	1	2	195	0	0	120	62	72	37	3	2	6.8	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4						
	1	1																							

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient