# About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to С MMO students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart Ź or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### **Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

# **District Results**

District: Scituate

Code: 30



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

					Numbei								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					115			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				113	113	113	10,628	10,672	10,594				98	98	98	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				12	12	12	1,745	2,408	1,172				11	11	11	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				0	0	0	271	311	261				0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students				8	8	8	1,627	1,625	1,612				7	7	7	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				8	8	8	820	841	747				100	100	100	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				2	2	2	536	492	570				2	2	2	5	4	5
State Approved				1	1	1	195	156	189				50	50	50	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				1	1	1	108	108	108				100	100	100	55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	34	35	30				0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0	0	6	8	5
Other				1	1	1	341	336	381				50	50	50	64	68	67

### NECAP RESULTS

					I	Distric	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
MATH	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Scaled Score
<b>SCHOOL</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total													
<b>DISTRICT</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	142 131 <b>115</b> 388	0 2 1 3	0 0 <b>1</b> 1	142 129 <b>113</b> 384	29 36 <b>46</b> 111	20 28 <b>41</b> 29	89 77 <b>59</b> 225	63 60 <b>52</b> 59	18 13 <b>6</b> 37	13 10 <b>5</b> 10	6 3 <b>2</b> 11	4 2 <b>2</b> 3	1147 1150 <b>1152</b> 1149
<b>STATE</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	179 223 <b>195</b> 597	340 224 <b>341</b> 905	10,684 10,742 <b>10,628</b> 32,054	1,909 2,466 <b>2,964</b> 7,339	18 23 <b>28</b> 23	5,498 5,416 <b>5,126</b> 16,040	51 50 <b>48</b> 50	2,125 1,875 <b>1,688</b> 5,688	20 17 <b>16</b> 18	1,152 985 <b>850</b> 2,987	11 9 <b>8</b> 9	1145 1146 <b>1147</b> 1146

	Total			F	Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	-			
Type of Text													School
Literary	42							•	-				<ul> <li>District</li> <li>State</li> </ul>
Informational	42							•	<b></b>				Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	31							•	• -4	▶-			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							•					



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

						Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	51	0	1	50	17	34	27	54	5	10	1	2	1150	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	64	1	0	63	29	46	32	51	1	2	1	2	1153	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	25	10	52	51		2		-		9		10		Ŭ							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino			Ĭ											.,											
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										63	16	41	30	13	1142						
Asian	1	0	0 0	1										288	26	52	18	4	1142						
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										862	11	46	27	15	1140			1		1	
																			1						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0					_	_				30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	109	1	1	107	44	41	56	52	5	5	2	2	1152	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150					1	
Two or more races	0	0	0	0				1						166	28	47	20	5	1147					1	
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										73	5	19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										271	1	18	32	48	1131						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	Ő										32	3	53	38	6	1141						
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP		1												1 6 2 7		22	22	21	1125					1	
Students with an IEP	9	1	0	8										1,627	4	32	33	31	1135					1	
All Other Students	106	0	1	105	46	44	56	53	3	3	0	0	1153	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	0	0	5										3,762	13	49	24	14	1142					1	
All Other Students	110	1	1	108	44	41	56	52	6	6	2	2	1152	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1						0			1					1	1	1	
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Tiala I																									
Title I														2.652	1.2	40	25	15	1142						
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0					-	_	_	-		2,652	13	48	25	15	1142						
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	106	1	1	104	44	42	52	50	6	6	2	2	1152	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT													
2008-09	142	0	0	142	3	2	63	44	39	27	37	26	1138
2009-10	131	2	0	129	0	0	54	42	49	38	26	20	1139
2010-11	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139
Cumulative													
Total	388	3	1	384	9	2	165	43	126	33	84	22	1139
STATE													
2008-09	11,203	161	343	10,699	155	1	2,739	26	3,007	28	4,798	45	1134
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11 Cumulative	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
Total	33,556	512	957	32,087	608	2	8,711	27	9,059	28	13,709	43	1134
I													

	Total				Percei	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Numbers & Operations	19				•								•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				•	-							•	State
Functions & Algebra	55					•	-	-						Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•	-							



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

## **Disaggregated Mathematics Results**

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

						Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	51	0	1	50	5	10	26	52	12	24	7	14	1142	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	64	1	0	63	1	2	22	35	26	41	14	22	1138	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	Ő	0		-		55	20				1150	9	-	25	50	55	1155						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino			5											.,											
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										64	2	14	28	56	1132				1		
Asian	1	0	0	1										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	109	1	1	107	6	6	45	42	37	35	19	18	1140	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	42	1 21	55	15	10	1140	166		23	31	45	1137						
	0	0	0	0		1		1						76		8	13	45	1124						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										/0	0	Ö	15	/9	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	9	1	0	8										1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	106	0	1	105	6	6	48	46	37	35	14	13	1141	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
All other students	100	0	I	105	0	0	40	40	57	55	14	15	1141	9,047	5	55	52	21	1157						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	0	0	5				1						3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	110	1	1	108	6	6	47	44	36	33	19	18	1140	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1						0				1							
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
						-																			
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1								2,680	1	15	25	60	1130			1	1	1	
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	106	1	1	104	6	6	46	44	34	33	18	17	1140	10,422	3	30	29	39	1137						
	100		1	104	1 0	; 0	40	44	1 24	رر ;	1 10	11/	1 1140	10,422	1 2	50	1 23	1 22	1 1122		1	i .	i	i i	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
<b>SCHOOL</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	142 131 <b>115</b> 388	0 2 1 3	0 0 <b>1</b> 1	142 129 <b>113</b> 384	12 4 <b>2</b> 18	8 3 <b>2</b> 5	56 62 <b>68</b> 186	39 48 <b>60</b> 48	70 62 <b>42</b> 174	49 48 <b>37</b> 45	4 1 <b>1</b> 6	3 1 <b>1</b> 2	6.7 6.7 <b>6.8</b> 6.7
<b>STATE</b> 2008-09 2009-10 <b>2010-11</b> Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 <b>11,164</b> 33,556	156 221 <b>189</b> 566	378 289 <b>381</b> 1,048	10,669 10,679 <b>10,594</b> 31,942	403 742 <b>119</b> 1,264	4 7 <b>1</b> 4	4,023 5,124 <b>5,269</b> 14,416	38 48 <b>50</b> 45	5,322 4,253 <b>4,680</b> 14,255	50 40 <b>44</b> 45	921 560 <b>526</b> 2,007	9 5 <b>5</b> 6	6.1 6.7 <b>6.4</b> 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results** 

**District:** Scituate Rhode Island State: Code: 30

	A	/erage	Scor	e C	Compa	risor	ı by	Туре с	of Writ	ing⁵							
Turne of Muiting				Scl	nool				[	Distri	ct				Stat	e	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score		0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)			_				113	6.8		-		10,594	6.4			
	2009-10							15	6.7		-		1,339	6.5			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							15	7.1		•		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							16	6.4				1,323	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							13	6.4				1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							15	6.6				1,321	6.2			
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							15	7.9		-•-	•	1,321	6.6			
	2009-10							15	6.7		-		1,339	6.5			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							13	7.3		-		1,328	6.6			
	2009-10							18	7.1		-		1,337	6.8		-	
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							15	7.2		•		1,340	6.6			
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							16	6.9				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The  $\bigcirc$  shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The  $\blacktriangle$  shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

			Score Dis	stribution					Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score			Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports
Score	1	2	Ν	%	N	%	%	6	<ul> <li>Internationally organized for energy of unit developed details, not analy insignitud etabolization supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
12	6	6			0	0	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5			1	1	<1	5	<ul> <li>details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated          <ul> <li>structure; uses language to enhance meaning</li> <li>consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
10	5	5			1	1	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4			4	4	3	4	details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
8	4	4			38	34	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3			26	23	20	3	<ul> <li>some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
6	3	3			26	23	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2			7	6	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2			9	8	7		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1			1	1	1		information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1			0	0	2		
0	0	0			0	0	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

District:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30

REPORTING CATEGORIES	District													State											
	Enrolled N	NT Approved <b>N</b>	NT Other <b>N</b>	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
					N	<b>1</b> %	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	51	0	1	50	2	4	22	44	26	52	0	0	6.5	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	64	1	0	63	0	0	46	73	16	25	1	2	7.1	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	Ŭ	10	,5		23		-	/	9		50	55	5	0.7						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
Not Hispanic or Latino		v l	U	5										1,704		57	52		5.0				1	1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
American mulan of Alaskan Native Asian	1	0	0	1										288	2	42 54	45	3	6.6						
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										852	2 1	34	56	10	5.7						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0		0										30	0	54 17	70								
		1	0	107	2		65	C1	20	26	1	4	6.8		1	55		13	5.2 6.7						
White	109				2	2	65	61	39	36	1		0.8	7,342			41	3							
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										166	1	41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0								1		261	0	11	50	39	3.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	27	65	8	5.7						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7						
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	9	1	0	8										1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	106	0	1	105	2	2	68	65	35	33	0	0	7.0	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7					1	
All Other Students	100	0		105	2	2	00	05	55	55	0	0	7.0	0,502		55	41	5	0.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	0	0	5										3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9						
All Other Students	110	1	1	108	2	2	67	62	38	35	1	1	6.9	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0									1	1	
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						1
An other students	115	· ·			Ĺ	-	00	00		57			0.0	10,354		50		,	0.7						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8				1	1	
All Other Students	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	106	1	1	104	2	2	64	62	37	36	1	1	6.9	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient