About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade – in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Providence

Code: 28



Grade Level Summary Report

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

DADTICIDATION : NECAD					Number	1							Po	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					1,588			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				1,476	1,506	1,454	10,628	10,672	10,594				93	95	92	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				76	75	76	1,745	2,408	1,172				5	5	5	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				126	155	117	271	311	261				9	10	8	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				0	0	0	36	50	28				0	0	0	13	16	11
IEP Students				236	236	232	1,627	1,625	1,612				16	16	16	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				49	48	50	820	841	747				21	20	22	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				112	82	134	536	492	570				7	5	8	5	4	5
State Approved				45	17	45	195	156	189				40	21	34	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				13	13	13	108	108	108				29	76	29	55	69	57
First Year LEP				28	0	28	40	0	41				62	0	62	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				4	4	4	34	35	30				9	24	9	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				0	0	0	12	13	9				0	0	0	6	8	5
Other				67	65	89	341	336	381				60	79	66	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

					I	District	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Le	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
МАТН	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	1,588	45	89	1,454	9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

District: Providence **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 28

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	1,621 1,702 1,588 4,911	40 54 45 139	75 62 67 204	1,506 1,586 1,476 4,568	168 253 193 614	11 16 13	665 703 641 2,009	44 44 43	365 350 360 1,075	24 22 24 24	308 280 282 870	20 18 19	1140 1142 1141
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	179 223 195 597	340 224 341 905	10,684 10,742 10,628 32,054	1,909 2,466 2,964 7,339	18 23 28	5,498 5,416 5,126 16,040	51 50 48 50	2,125 1,875 1,688 5,688	20 17 16	1,152 985 850 2,987	11 9 8 9	1145 1146 1147





Disaggregated Reading Results

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	ite								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scale
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Scor
All Students	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	776	24	41	711	81	11	296	42	168	24	166	23	1139	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	812	21	26	765	112	15	345	45	192	25	116	15	1142	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149			į	İ		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		,,,	3.5	.5	132	23				9	٥.										
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	927	34	36	857	94	11	368	43	208	24	187	22	1140	1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino		_	_											l											
American Indian or Alaskan Native	16	0	2	14	3	21	4	29	3	21	4	29	1140	63	16	41	30	13	1142						
Asian	102	0	3	99	15	15	54	55	22	22	8	8	1144	288	26	52	18	4	1148			1			
Black or African American	359	4	11	344	36	10	149	43	97	28	62	18	1140	862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	139	4	5	130	36	28	55	42	21	16	18	14	1146	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	27	0	0	27	8	30	11	41	6	22	2	7	1147	166	28	47	20	5	1147			į	İ		
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	17	3	10	4										73	5	19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	171	27	18	126	0	0	9	7	27	21	90	71	1126	271	1	18	32	48	1131				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	11	0	0	11	0	0	4	36	5	45	2	18	1137	26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	1	0	-	-			-		_			32	3	53	38	6	1141			į	İ		
All Other Students	1,405	18	48	1,339	193	14	628	47	328	24	190	14	1142	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	269	13	20	236	3	1	42	18	67	28	124	53	1129	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135			į			
All Other Students	1.319	32	47	1,240	190	15	599	48	293	24	158	13	1143	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149			į	İ		
All Other Students	1,519	32	47	1,240	190	13	399	40	293	24	130	13	1143	9,001	32	31	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	1,202	31	40	1,131	125	11	513	45	281	25	212	19	1140	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142			-			
All Other Students	386	14	27	345	68	20	128	37	79	23	70	20	1142	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1,559	40	60	1,459	193	13	636	44	359	25	271	19	1141	2,652	13	48	25	15	1142						
All Other Students	29	5	7	17	0	0	5	29	1	6	11	65	1127	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
EQ4 Bloss																									
504 Plan	9			_										250	20	EF	12	2	1140			1	1		
Students with a 504 Plan	1 -	0	0	9	100	12	627	42	260	25	202	10	1141	250	30	55 40	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	1,579	45	67	1,467	188	13	637	43	360	25	282	19	1141	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147	l	1	1	1		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

District: Providence **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 28

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.


Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	1,621 1,702 1,588 4,911	29 22 17 68	75 81 65 221	1,517 1,599 1,506 4,622	8 6 6 20	1 <1 <1	206 170 170 546	14 11 11	280 289 324 893	18 18 22 19	1,023 1,134 1,006 3,163	67 71 67 68	1128 1128 1128 1128
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	161 195 156 512	343 278 336 957	10,699 10,716 10,672 32,087	155 181 272 608	1 2 3	2,739 2,748 3,224 8,711	26 26 30 27	3,007 2,958 3,094 9,059	28 28 29	4,798 4,829 4,082 13,709	45 45 38 43	1134 1134 1135 1134





Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	ite								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scale
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Scor
All Students	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	776	9	41	726	5	1	94	13	160	22	467	64	1128	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	812	8	24	780	1	<1	76	10	164	21	539	69	1127	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	·	`	, ,		101	- '	333	03	11127	9	_	23	30	33	1133						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	927	7	37	883	0	0	82	9	182	21	619	70	1127	1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino		_					_				l			l	_										
American Indian or Alaskan Native	16	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	4	27	11	73	1123	64	2	14	28	56	1132						
Asian	102	0	3	99	0	0	23	23	33	33	43	43	1133	293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	359	3	9	347	1	<1	25	7	68	20	253	73	1127	865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	139	4	5	130	5	4	35	27	27	21	63	48	1132	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	27	0	0	27	0	0	4	15	9	33	14	52	1132	166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	17	3	10	4										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	171	0	16	155	0	0	0	0	5	3	150	97	1117	311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	11	Ö	0	11	0	Ö	0	0	1	9	10	91	1127	26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	1	0	"	Ĭ	"	"			'			33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	1,405	17	48	1,340	6	<1	170	13	318	24	846	63	1129	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	269	13	20	236	0	0	2	1	7	3	227	96	1118	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	1.319	4	45	1,270	6	<1	168	13	317	25	779	61	1130	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
All Other Students	1,319	4	45	1,270	0	<1	108	13	31/	25	119	01	1130	9,047	3	30	32	31	1137						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	1,202	11	39	1,152	2	<1	105	9	259	22	786	68	1128	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	386	6	26	354	4	1	65	18	65	18	220	62	1129	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	1									0											
All Other Students	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1,559	12	58	1,489	6	<1	170	11	324	22	989	66	1128	2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
All Other Students	29	5	7	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100	1115	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
FOA DI																									
504 Plan														250	1	22	27	27	1127						
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9	4		167	11	221	21	1 005	67	1120	250	4	32	37	27	1137						
All Other Students	1,579	17	65	1,497	4	<1	167	11	321	21	1,005	67	1128	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135	1	l	:	:	1	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	1,621 1,702 1,588 4,911	35 53 45 133	79 92 89 260	1,507 1,557 1,454 4,518	23 82 9 114	2 5 1	409 591 561 1,561	27 38 39 35	849 713 697 2,259	56 46 48 50	226 171 187 584	15 11 13	5.4 6.1 5.7 5.7
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	156 221 189 566	378 289 381 1,048	10,669 10,679 10,594 31,942	403 742 119 1,264	4 7 1	4,023 5,124 5,269 14,416	38 48 50 45	5,322 4,253 4,680 14,255	50 40 44 45	921 560 526 2,007	9 5 5	6.1 6.7 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Providence State: Rhode Island

Code: 28

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

Tour and Salvidium				Sch	ool				Distri	ict				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)						1,454	5.7		-		10,594	6.4		•	
	2009-10						198	6.1				1,339	6.5		4	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11						182	5.6		-		1,327	6.2		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10						191	5.7				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11						191	6		-		1,313	6.3		•	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10						195	5.9				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11						174	6.2				1,321	6.6		•	
of a personal experience, series, or idea.	2009-10						198	6.1				1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11						183	6		-		1,328	6.6		•	
und thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10						196	6.1				1,337	6.8			•
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11						191	6		-		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10						187	5.9				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

			Score Dis	tribution	1		
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			1	<1	<1
11	6	5			0	0	<1
10	5	5			8	1	1
9	5	4			18	1	3
8	4	4			261	18	27
7	4	3			282	19	20
6	3	3			373	26	29
5	3	2			160	11	8
4	2	2			164	11	7
3	2	1			36	2	1
2	1	1			54	4	2
0	0	0			97	7	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

District: Providence **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 28

CATEGORIES N						I	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
All Students: 1,588		Enrolled	1		Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	1	Tested				Level 1		Tested				Level	Mea Sco
Gender		N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
Male 776 24 55 697 3 < 1,241 35 243 49 110 10 5,4 5,349 1 43 49 6 6,2 Female 812 21 34 757 6 1 370 42 554 47 77 10 5,9 5,246 1 56 39 3 6,7 Race/Ethnicity	All Students	1,588	45	89	1,454	9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Fernale No. Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Gender																									
Female No. Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Male	776	24	55	697	3	<1	241	35	343	49	110	16	5.4	5.339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Not Reported O							i									l i										
Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Anior Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 102 0 3 99 1 1 1 48 48 48 46 46 4 4 6.3 288 2 54 41 33 6.6 Black or African American 102 0 3 99 1 1 1 48 48 48 46 46 4 4 6.3 288 2 54 41 3 6.6 Black or African American 103 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							i '	320	12			, ,	10	3.3			30	33	,	0.7						
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Aleskan Native Asian American Indian or Aleskan Native	Race/Ethnicity																									
Animal more Alaskan Native Asian in Care Asi		927	34	55	838	4	<1	310	37	402	48	122	15	5.5	1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
Asian Black or African American 359 4 155 340 1 1 1 486 48 48 46 46 4 4 6.3 488 2 5 44 1 3 6.6 10 5.7 Native Hawailian or Pacific Islander 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 3 4 5.7 No Race(Ethnichy Reported 1.7 0 0 0 1.3 48 12 44 2 7 6.0 166 1 1 41 55 3 6.2 No Race(Ethnichy Reported 1.7 3 10 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.3 48 12 44 2 7 6 6.0 166 1 1 41 55 3 6.2 No Race(Ethnichy Reported 1.7 3 10 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.1 0 0 1.0 1.		4.5		١.	4.5	١.	_			_							4.0									
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 1 7 0 13 5.2 White 139 4 5 130 2 2 2 67 52 47 36 14 11 6.2 7,342 1 55 41 3 6.7 White 139 4 5 130 2 2 2 67 52 47 36 14 11 6.2 7,342 1 55 41 3 6.7 No Race/Ethnicky Reported 17 3 10 4 4 7 35 6.8 No Race/Ethnicky Reported 17 3 10 4 4 7 35 6.8 LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 11 0 0 1 1 0 0 3 27 7 6 4 1 9 5.5 26 0 27 66 8 8.7 All Other Students IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students 1,319 32 65 1,222 9 1 533 44 571 47 109 9 6.0 8,982 1 55 41 3 6.7 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 1,520 31 54 1,117 6 1 417 37 558 50 136 12 5.6 8,340 <1 37 54 8 8 5.9 All Other Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						1																				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 1						1 '	:										:									
White 139 4 5 130 2 2 2 6 67 52 47 36 14 1 1 62 7,342 1 55 41 3 6.7 Two or more paces 17 0 0 0 27 0 0 0 13 48 12 44 2 7 6.0 166 1 41 55 3 6.3 No RacelEthnicity Reported 17 3 10 4						1	<1	116	34	183	54	40	12	5.5		' '										
No accelethnicity Reported				1		_	_			l		l														
No Race/Ethnicity Reported 17 3 10 4				1 -							i		i							1						
LEP Status Current LEP student 171 27 27 117 0 0 4 3 48 41 65 56 2.7 261 0 11 50 39 3.7 Former LEP student monitoring year 1 11 0 0 11 0 0 11 0 0 33 0 24 70 65 5.7 7 64 1 9 5.5 26 0 27 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 65 8 5.7 7 64 1 9 5.9 10,22 27 65 8 5.7 7 64 1 9 5.9 10,274 1 51 44 4 6.5 8 5.7 7 65 8.7 8 7 6				_		0	0	13	48	12	44	2	7	6.0												
Current LEP student monitoring year 1 11 0 0 0 11 0 0 0 3 27 7 664 1 9 5.5 26 0 27 65 8 5.7 8 65 8 7 7 8 7 65 8 8 5.7 8 8 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	No Race/Ethnicity Reported	17	3	10	4										08	0	10	47	30	4.4						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0	LEP Status																									
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1		171	27	27	117	0	0	4	3	48	41	65	56	2.7	261	0	11	50	39	3.7						
Former LEP students ————————————————————————————————————		11			11			3	27					5.5			27									
All Other Students		1		1												0				1						
Students with an IEP		1,405		61		9	1	554	42	642	48	121	9	5.9												
Students with an IEP	IED																									
All Other Students		260	12	24	222	_		20	12	126	5.4	70	2/	20	1 612	_1	10	6.1	10	10						
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 1,202 31 54 1,117 6 1 417 37 558 50 136 12 5.6 3,740 <1 37 54 8 5.9 Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1			:													1						
Economically Disadvantaged Students 1,202 31 54 1,117 6 1 417 37 558 50 136 12 5.6 3,740 <1 37 54 8 5.9	All Other Students	1,319	32	03	1,222	9	'	333	44	3/1	4/	103	3	0.0	0,302	'))	41	ر	0.7						
All Other Students 386 14 35 337 3 1 144 43 139 41 51 15 5.7 6,854 1 57 39 3 6.7 Migrant Migrant Students																										
Migrant Students All Other Students 1,588 45 89 1,454 9 1 561 39 697 48 187 13 5.7 10,594 1 50 44 5 6.4 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6	Economically Disadvantaged Students	1,202					1									<1										
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,588 45 89 1,454 9 1 561 39 697 48 187 13 5.7 10,594 1 50 44 5 6.4 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6	All Other Students	386	14	35	337	3	1	144	43	139	41	51	15	5.7	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,588 45 89 1,454 9 1 561 39 697 48 187 13 5.7 10,594 1 50 44 5 6.4 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6	Migrant																									
All Other Students 1,588 45 89 1,454 9 1 561 39 697 48 187 13 5.7 10,594 1 50 44 5 6.4 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6 504 Plan	3	0	0	0	0										0											
Students Receiving Title Services 1,559 40 82 1,437 9 1 558 39 691 48 179 12 5.7 2,623 <1 37 52 10 5.8 All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6 504 Plan						9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7		1	50	44	5	6.4						
Students Receiving Title Services 1,559 40 82 1,437 9 1 558 39 691 48 179 12 5.7 2,623 <1 37 52 10 5.8 All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6 504 Plan																										
All Other Students 29 5 7 17 0 0 3 18 6 35 8 47 3.8 7,971 1 54 42 3 6.6 504 Plan						_																				
504 Plan							1																1			
	All Other Students	29	5	7	17	0	0	3	18	6	35	8	47	3.8	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
	504 Plan																									
Students with a DV4 man 1 3 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										250	2	51	46	2	6.7			1			
All Other Students 1,579 45 89 1,445 9 1 555 38 694 48 187 13 5.6 10,344 1 50 44 5 6.4		1,579				9	1	555	38	694	48	187	13	5.6						1						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient