About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Coventry

Code: 06



Grade Level Summary Report

District: Coventry **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 06

PARTICIPATION in NECAP					Numbei	•							Po	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION III NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					447			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				429	429	429	10,628	10,672	10,594				96	96	96	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				152	193	50	1,745	2,408	1,172				35	45	12	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				1	1	1	271	311	261				<1	<1	<1	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				1	1	1	36	50	28				100	100	100	13	16	11
IEP Students				64	64	64	1,627	1,625	1,612				15	15	15	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				35	35	28	820	841	747				55	55	44	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				18	18	18	536	492	570				4	4	4	5	4	5
State Approved				6	6	6	195	156	189				33	33	33	36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				5	5	5	108	108	108				83	83	83	55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41				0	0	0	21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	34	35	30				0	0	0	17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1				0	0	0	1	0	1
Special Consideration				1	1	1	12	13	9				17	17	17	6	8	5
Other				12	12	12	341	336	381				67	67	67	64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

					I	District	t									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	447	6	12	429	156	36	225	52	40	9	8	2	1151	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
МАТН	447	6	12	429	5	1	153	36	153	36	118	28	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	447	6	12	429	11	3	250	58	166	39	2	<1	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Reading Results

District: Coventry **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 06

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10	463 424	4 4	2 3	457 417	92 98	20 24	214 213	47 51	103 85	23 20	48 21	11 5	1145 1148
2010-11 Cumulative Total	447	6 14	12 17	429 1,303	156	36 27	225 652	52	40 228	9	8 77	2	1151
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative	11,203 11,189 11,164	179 223 195	340 224 341	10,684 10,742 10,628	1,909 2,466 2,964	18 23 28	5,498 5,416 5,126	51 50 48	2,125 1,875 1,688	20 17 16	1,152 985 850	11 9 8	1145 1146 1147
Total	33,556	597	905	32,054	7,339	23	16,040	50	5,688	18	2,987	9	1146

	Total			F	Percen	t of To	tal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50 ;	60	70 ;	80	90	100
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								4	.		
ype of Text												
Literary	42							-4	-			
Informational	42							7	_			
evel of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	31								•			
Analysis & Interpretation	53							*				



Disaggregated Reading Results

District: Coventry **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 06

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	447	6	12	429	156	36	225	52	40	9	8	2	1151	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	226	4	6	216	62	29	122	56	27	13	5	2	1149	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	221	2	6	213	94	44	103	48	13	6	3	1	1153	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	1	6										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino		_												60	4.0		20	43	4442						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										63	16	41	30	13	1142			-			
Asian	2	0	0	2										288	26	52	18	4	1148						
Black or African American	8	0	0	8										862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	454	27	246		2.0				4454	30	3	53	23	20	1140			1			
White	428	6	11	411	151	37	216	53	36	9	8	2	1151	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1										166 73	28 5	47 19	20 38	5 37	1147 1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1								1		271	1	18	32	48	1131			1			
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0						İ		į		32	3	53	38	6	1141			į			
All Other Students	446	6	12	428	156	36	224	52	40	9	8	2	1151	10,299	29	49	15	7	1148						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	72	5	3	64	4	6	31	48	23	36	6	9	1140	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	375	1	9	365	152	42	194	53	17	5	2	1	1153	9,001	32	51	13	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	101	4	3	94	19	20	55	59	16	17	4	4	1147	3,762	13	49	24	14	1142						
All Other Students	346	2	9	335	137	41	170	51	24	7	4	1	1152	6,866	36	48	11	5	1150						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
Migrant Students All Other Students	447	6	12	429	156	36	225	52	40	9	8	2	1151	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
		-								-	_	_						-							
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0								1		2,652	13	48	25	15	1142	l					
All Other Students	447	6	12	429	156	36	225	52	40	9	8	2	1151	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9						i		İ		250	30	55	12	3	1149	l		i			
All Other Students	438	6	12	420	152	36	220	52	40	10	8	2	1151	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						
								1						l											

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Mathematics Results

District: Coventry **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 06

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	463 424 447 1,334	5 4 6 15	3 3 12	455 417 429 1,301	6 3 5	1 1 1	130 109 153 392	29 26 36 30	142 123 153 418	31 29 36	177 182 118 477	39 44 28 37	1134 1134 1137 1135
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	161 195 156 512	343 278 336 957	10,699 10,716 10,672 32,087	155 181 272 608	1 2 3	2,739 2,748 3,224 8,711	26 26 30 27	3,007 2,958 3,094 9,059	28 28 29	4,798 4,829 4,082 13,709	45 45 38 43	1134 1134 1135 1134

	Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 I		
Numbers & Operations	19				*									School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				A								•	State Standard
Functions & Algebra	55					•								Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•								



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggreeated Mathematics Possilts

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District: Coventry **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 06

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	/el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	447	6	12	429	5	1	153	36	153	36	118	28	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	226	4	6	216	4	2	74	34	85	39	53	25	1138	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	221	2	6	213	1	<1	79	37	68	32	65	31	1137	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	7	0	1	6										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
Not Hispanic or Latino																			4422						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0		İ								64	2	14	28	56	1132				İ		
Asian	2	0	0	2										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	8	0	0	8										865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	-		4.47	26	440	26	444	27	4427	29	0	21	24	55	1129				1		
White	428	6	11	411	5	1	147	36	148	36	111	27	1137	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137			1	1		
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1 1	0	0	1										166 76	1 0	23 8	31 13	45 79	1135 1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1								26	0	8	15	77	1130				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	ő	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	446	6	12	428	5	1	153	36	153	36	117	27	1137	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	72	5	3	64	0	0	2	3	14	22	48	75	1129	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124				1		
All Other Students	375	1	9	365	5	1	151	41	139	38	70	19	1139	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	101	4	3	94	1	1	21	22	32	34	40	43	1134	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131				İ		
All Other Students	346	2	9	335	4	1	132	39	121	36	78	23	1138	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
8.65																									
Migrant		_				İ								l ,									İ		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	-	1	153	20	153	3.0	110	20	1127	0	٦	20	20	20	1125						
All Other Students	447	6	12	429	5	1	153	36	153	36	118	28	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
All Other Students	447	6	12	429	5	1	153	36	153	36	118	28	1137	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9		i						i		250	4	32	37	27	1137				İ		
All Other Students	438	6	12	420	5	1	148	35	151	36	116	28	1137	10,422	3	30	29	39	1135						
			1	1				1						l			1					1			

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Writing Results

District: Coventry **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 06

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	463 424 447 1,334	4 4 6	4 4 12 20	455 416 429 1,300	16 44 11 71	4 11 3	198 225 250 673	44 54 58	208 140 166 514	46 34 39 40	33 7 2 42	7 2 <1 3	6.3 7.2 6.9
\$TATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	156 221 189 566	378 289 381 1,048	10,669 10,679 10,594 31,942	403 742 119 1,264	4 7 1	4,023 5,124 5,269 14,416	38 48 50	5,322 4,253 4,680 14,255	50 40 44 45	921 560 526 2,007	9 5 5	6.1 6.7 6.4 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.

Page 7 of 10



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Coventry State: Rhode Island

Code:

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

Tomas af Militima				Sch	ool				ı	Distri	ct				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0)	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)							429	6.9		•		10,594	6.4		•	
	2009-10							49	7.4		4		1,339	6.5		4	ı
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							50	7.2		•		1,327	6.2		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							53	7.2				1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							57	6.9		•		1,313	6.3		-	
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							48	7				1,321	6.2		_	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							49	6.5		-		1,321	6.6		•	
or a personal experience, belief, or foca.	2009-10							49	7.4				1,339	6.5			ı
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							56	7.3		•		1,328	6.6		•	
und thoughts on a focused topic.	2009-10							52	7.4				1,337	6.8			-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							52	7		•		1,340	6.6		•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							54	6.5				1,326	6.6		4	

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District: Coventry
State: Rhode Island

Code: 06

			Score Dis	stribution	1		
Total	Score	Score			Dist	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			0	0	<1
11	6	5			2	<1	<1
10	5	5			9	2	1
9	5	4			26	6	3
8	4	4			128	30	27
7	4	3			96	22	20
6	3	3			120	28	29
5	3	2			21	5	8
4	2	2			25	6	7
3	2	1			1	<1	1
2	1	1			1	<1	2
0	0	0			0	0	2

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Disaggregated Writing Results

District: Coventry **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 06

					I	Distr	ict								State										
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scor
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
All Students	447	6	12	429	11	3	250	58	166	39	2	<1	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	226	4	6	216	5	2	116	54	94	44	1	<1	6.7	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2						
Female	221	2	6	213	6	3	134	63	72	34	1	<1	7.2	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7				İ		
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9				-							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	7	0	1	6										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	2	0	0	2										288	2	54	41	3	6.6				İ		
Black or African American	8	0	0	8										852	1	34	56	10	5.7			1	1		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	Ö	17	70	13	5.2						
White	428	6	11	411	11	3	242	59	157	38	1	<1	7.0	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7						
Two or more races	1 1	0	0	1	''	,	242	39	137	. 30	'		7.0	166	1	41	55	3	6.3						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	1	0	0	1		İ						į		261	0	11	50	39	3.7			İ	İ		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	Ö	27	65	8	5.7			1	1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7						
All Other Students	446	6	12	428	11	3	250	58	165	39	2	<1	6.9	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	72	5	3	64	1	2	14	22	47	73	2	3	5.6	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9				İ		
All Other Students	375	1	9	365	10	3	236	65	119	33	0	0	7.2	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	101	4	3	94	1	1	42	45	50	53	1	1	6.4	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9				1		
All Other Students	346	2	9	335	10	3	208	62	116	35	1	<1	7.1	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
	3.0			333			200	"					'''	0,05	· ·	J.,			0.7						
Migrant														_											
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	1		250		1.55	20	_			0	١,			_							
All Other Students	447	6	12	429	11	3	250	58	166	39	2	<1	6.9	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Title I								į																	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	447	6	12	429	11	3	250	58	166	39	2	<1	6.9	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan						İ																			
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	438	6	12	420	11	3	245	58	162	39	2	<1	6.9	10,344	1	50	40	5	6.4						
All Other Students	1 450	"	'-	420	1 '''	٠ ا	243	1 30	102		-	! `'	0.5	10,544	' '	. 50	!	ر	0.4	I	1	:	:		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient