About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ASSESSMEN

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2010 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to С MMO students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left

Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain GRA how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

 \mathbb{C}

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2010 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

District Results

District: Burrillville

Code: 03



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Grade Level Summary Report

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

					Number								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					183			11,164						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				183	183	183	10,628	10,672	10,594				100	100	100	95	96	95
With an approved accommodation				13	13	13	1,745	2,408	1,172				7	7	7	16	23	11
Current LEP Students				0	0	0	271	311	261				0	0	0	3	3	2
With an approved accommodation				0	0	0	36	50	28							13	16	11
IEP Students				18	18	18	1,627	1,625	1,612				10	10	10	15	15	15
With an approved accommodation				13	13	13	820	841	747				72	72	72	50	52	46
Students not tested in NECAP				0	0	0	536	492	570				0	0	0	5	4	5
State Approved				0	0	0	195	156	189							36	32	33
Alternate Assessment				0	0	0	108	108	108							55	69	57
First Year LEP				0	0	0	40	0	41							21	0	22
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	34	35	30							17	22	16
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	1	0	1							1	0	1
Special Consideration				0	0	0	12	13	9							6	8	5
Other				0	0	0	341	336	381							64	68	67

NECAP RESULTS

					I	District	:									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	183	0	0	183	59	32	85	46	31	17	8	4	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
MATH	183	0	0	183	2	1	71	39	67	37	43	23	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
WRITING	183	0	0	183	2	1	93	51	81	44	7	4	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Reading Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	226 214 183 623	3 4 0 7	5 2 0 7	218 208 183 609	29 27 59 115	13 13 32 19	129 125 85 339	59 60 46 56	44 45 31 120	20 22 17 20	16 11 8 35	7 5 4 6	1145 1145 1149 1146
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	179 223 195 597	340 224 341 905	10,684 10,742 10,628 32,054	1,909 2,466 2,964 7,339	18 23 28 23	5,498 5,416 5,126 16,040	51 50 48 50	2,125 1,875 1,688 5,688	20 17 16 18	1,152 985 850 2,987	11 9 8 9	1145 1146 1147 1146

	Total			F	Percen	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								- A	F			
Type of Text													School
Literary	42						-	*					 District State
Informational	42							*					- Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	31							-	▲				
Analysis & Interpretation	53						-	•					



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Reading Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

						Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	183	0	0	183	59	32	85	46	31	17	8	4	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Gender																									
Male	92	0	0	92	20	22	47	51	22	24	3	3	1147	5,364	22	50	18	10	1145						
Female	91	0	0	91	39	43	38	42	9	10	5	5	1151	5,255	34	46	14	6	1149						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			50	72		10		5		9		40	17	Ū							
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	5	0	0	5										1,803	11	48	24	17	1141						
Not Hispanic or Latino		Ŭ	0											1,005		10	21								
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										63	16	41	30	13	1142					1	
Asian	4	0	0	4		1								288	26	52	18	4	1148				1	1	
Black or African American	, o	0	Ő	0										862	11	46	27	15	1141						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										30	3	53	23	20	1140						
White	174	0	0	174	58	33	79	45	29	17	8	5	1149	7,343	34	49	12	5	1150						
Two or more races	0	0	0	0	50		15	45	2.5	17	0	J	1145	166	28	49	20	5	1147						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										73	5	47 19	38	37	1134						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										271	1	18	32	48	1131						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	Ó	54	38	8	1140						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1				1				32	3	53	38	6	1140						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	59	32	85	46	31	17	8	4	1149	10,299	29	49	15	7	1141						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	18	0	0	18	0	0	2	11	11	61	5	28	1133	1,627	4	32	33	31	1135						
All Other Students	165	0	0	165	59	36	83	50	20	12	3		1151		32	51	13	4	1149						
	201	0	0	COI	29	30	65	50	20	12	5	2	1151	9,001	52	21	15	4	1149						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	40 143	0	0 0	40 143	3 56	8 39	22 63	55 44	11 20	28 14	4	10 3	1143 1151	3,762 6,866	13 36	49 48	24 11	14 5	1142 1150						
N4:																									
Migrant			0	_														1						1	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	50	22	0.5	40	21	17			1140	0	20	40	10		1147						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	59	32	85	46	31	17	8	4	1149	10,628	28	48	16	8	1147						
Title I			_																						
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,652	13	48	25	15	1142						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	59	32	85	46	31	17	8	4	1149	7,976	33	48	13	6	1149						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	0	7										250	30	55	12	3	1149						
All Other Students	176	0	0	176	59	34	80	45	29	16	8	5	1150	10,378	28	48	16	8	1147						
						1		1										1				1	1	1	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Mathematics Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	226 214 183 623	3 4 0 7	5 2 0 7	218 208 183 609	2 6 2 10	1 3 1 2	64 42 71 177	29 20 39 29	74 63 67 204	34 30 37 33	78 97 43 218	36 47 23 36	1135 1135 1137 1136
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	161 195 156 512	343 278 336 957	10,699 10,716 10,672 32,087	155 181 272 608	1 2 3 2	2,739 2,748 3,224 8,711	26 26 30 27	3,007 2,958 3,094 9,059	28 28 29 28	4,798 4,829 4,082 13,709	45 45 38 43	1134 1134 1135 1134

	Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 		
Numbers & Operations	19				•	-							•	School District
Geometry & Measurement	41				+	►							•	State
Functions & Algebra	55					-								Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				-	▲								



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

						Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	71	39	67	37	43	23	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Gender																									
Male	92	0	0	92	1	1	32	35	38	41	21	23	1137	5,386	3	31	28	38	1135						
Female	91	0	0	91	1	1	39	43	29	32	22	24	1138	5,277	2	29	30	39	1135						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										9											
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	5	0	0	5										1,837	<1	13	25	62	1129						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	14	28	56	1132					1	
Asian	4	0	0	4										293	4	33	31	32	1137						
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										865	<1	12	24	63	1129						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										29	0	21	24	55	1129						
White	174	0	0	174	2	1	68	39	64	37	40	23	1137	7,342	3	37	31	29	1137						
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										166	1	23	31	45	1135						
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										76	0	8	13	79	1124						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										311	0	3	9	89	1122						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										26	0	8	15	77	1130						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										33	0	12	24	64	1130						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	71	39	67	37	43	23	1137	10,302	3	31	30	37	1135						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	18	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	1	6	17	94	1123	1,625	0	6	13	81	1124						
All Other Students	165	0	0	165	2	1	71	43	66	40	26	16	1139	9,047	3	35	32	31	1137						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	40	0	0	40	0	0	13	33	11	28	16	40	1133	3,794	1	16	27	56	1131						
All Other Students	143	0	0	143	2	1	58	41	56	39	27	19	1138	6,878	4	38	30	28	1137						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1				1				0									1	1	
All Other Students	183	0	Ő	183	2	1	71	39	67	37	43	23	1137	10,672	3	30	29	38	1135						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,680	1	15	25	60	1130						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	71	39	67	37	43	23	1137	7,992	3	35	30	31	1137						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	0	7										250	4	32	37	27	1137					1	
All Other Students	176	0	0	176	2	1	68	39	64	36	42	24	1137	10,422	3	30	29	39	1137					1	
	1/0		, v	1/0		1					72	27		10,722		50	25								
				<u> </u>		<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	-					1	i					1	1	<u>i </u>	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total													
DISTRICT 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	226 214 183 623	3 4 0 7	5 2 0 7	218 208 183 609	8 6 2 16	4 3 1 3	86 87 93 266	39 42 51 44	108 105 81 294	50 50 44 48	16 10 7 33	7 5 4 5	6.1 6.4 6.5 6.3
STATE 2008-09 2009-10 2010-11 Cumulative Total	11,203 11,189 11,164 33,556	156 221 189 566	378 289 381 1,048	10,669 10,679 10,594 31,942	403 742 119 1,264	4 7 1 4	4,023 5,124 5,269 14,416	38 48 50 45	5,322 4,253 4,680 14,255	50 40 44 45	921 560 526 2,007	9 5 5 6	6.1 6.7 6.4 6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2008-09	Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner, includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 **Writing Results**

District: Burrillville State: Rhode Island Code: 03

	A	/erage	Scor	e C	Compa	rison	by	Туре с	of Writ	ting	§						
Turne of Multing				Scł	nool					Distr	rict				Stat	e	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	(0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)			_				183	6.5				10,594	6.4			
	2009-10							27	6				1,339	6.5			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							24	6.6		-		1,327	6.2			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							29	5.4		-		1,323	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft,	2010-11							21	6.9		•		1,313	6.3			
or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2009-10							25	5.7				1,321	6.2			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11							21	5.7				1,321	6.6			
or a personal experience, sener, or face.	2009-10							27	6				1,339	6.5			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11							24	6.6				1,328	6.6			
	2009-10							26	6.6				1,337	6.8			
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something, or to act in a	2010-11							23	7				1,340	6.6			
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2009-10							25	6				1,326	6.6			

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The \bigcirc shows this year's score and the black bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored. The \blacktriangle shows last year's score and the gray bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Writing Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

			Score Dis	tribution					Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score			Dis	trict	State		• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing
Score	1	2	Ν	%	Ν	%	%	6	 intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
12	6	6			0	0	<1		purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5			0	0	<1	5	details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
10	5	5			2	1	1		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4			5	3	3	4	 details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
8	4	4			44	24	27		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3			44	24	20	3	some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
6	3	3			53	29	29		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2			12	7	8	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2			16	9	7		• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1			3	2	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1			3	2	2		Deserves is totally incompated included
0	0	0			1	1	2	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2010 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2010-2011 Disaggregated Writing Results

District:BurrillvilleState:Rhode IslandCode:03

REPORTING CATEGORIES	District													State											
	Enrolled N	NT Approved N	NT Other N	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	1	N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	93	51	81	44	7	4	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Gender																									
Male	92	0	0	92	1	1	37	40	50	54	4	4	6.2	5,339	1	43	49	6	6.2				1	1	
Female	91	Ő	0	91		1	56	62	31	34	3	3	6.8	5,246	1	56	39	3	6.7						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	'		50	02		54		5	0.0	9		50	55	5	0.7						
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	5	0	0	5										1,784	<1	37	52	11	5.8						
	5	0	0	5										1,704	<1	57	52		0.0						
Not Hispanic or Latino	_			_											2	42	45	14	6.0					1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										64	2	42	45	11	6.0						
Asian	4	0	0	4				1						288	2	54	41	3	6.6					1	
Black or African American	0	0	0	0				1						852	1	34	56	10	5.7				1	1	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				1						30	0	17	70	13	5.2				1	1	
White	174	0	0	174	2	1	87	50	78	45	7	4	6.5	7,342	1	55	41	3	6.7				1		
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										166	1	41	55	3	6.3				1		
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										68	0	18	47	35	4.4						
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										261	0	11	50	39	3.7				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0 0	0										26	0	27	65	8	5.7				1		
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										33	0	24	70	6	5.7				1	1	
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	93	51	81	44	7	4	6.5	10,274	1	51	44	4	6.5						
IEP								-																	
Students with an IEP	18	0	0	18	0	0	1	6	11	61	6	33	4.4	1,612	<1	18	64	18	4.9						
All Other Students	165	0	0	165	2	1	92	56	70	42	1	1	6.7	8,982	1	55	41	3	6.7						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	40	0	0	40	0	0	14	35	24	60	2	5	5.9	3,740	<1	37	54	8	5.9						
All Other Students	143	0	0	143	2	1	79	55	57	40	5	3	6.6	6,854	1	57	39	3	6.7						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1						0										1	
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	93	51	81	44	7	4	6.5	10,594	1	50	44	5	6.4						
Tial																									
Title I								1						2 622		27	50	10	50				1	1	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										2,623	<1	37	52	10	5.8						
All Other Students	183	0	0	183	2	1	93	51	81	44	7	4	6.5	7,971	1	54	42	3	6.6						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	7	0	0	7										250	2	51	46	2	6.7						
All Other Students	176	0	0	176	2	1	92	52	75	43	7	4	6.5	10,344	1	50	44	5	6.4						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient