About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2007 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to \square students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's Ó statewide assessment ASSESSMENT program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require

students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or

informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

GRA

 \mathbb{C}

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2007 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008

District Results

District: Davies Career & Tech

Code: 40



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Grade Level Summary Report

District: Davies Career & TechState:Rhode IslandCode:40

Schools and districts administered all NECAP tests to every enrolled student with the following exceptions: students who participated in the alternate assessment for the 2006-07 school year, first year LEP students, students who withdrew from the school after October 1, 2007, students who enrolled

in the school after October 1, 2007, students for whom a special consideration was granted through the state Department of Education, and other students for reasons not approved. On this page, and throughout this report, results are only reported for groups of students that are larger than nine (9).

					Number								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		ading Math Writing			166			11,661						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				165	165	165	11,145	11,174	11,131				99	99	99	96	96	95
Students not tested in NECAP																		
State Approved				1	1	1	192	147	165				1	1	1	2	1	1
Alternate Assessment				0	0	0	58	61	58				0	0	0	0	1	0
First Year LEP				0	0	0	53	0	53				0	0	0	0	0	0
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	57	59	42				0	0	0	0	1	0
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	4	4	5				0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Consideration				1	1	1	20	23	7				1	1	1	0	0	0
Other				0	0	0	324	340	365				0	0	0	3	3	3

NECAP RESULTS

					I	Distric	I									Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
READING	166	1	0	165	3	2	76	46	68	41	18	11	1140	11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
MATH	166	1	0	165	0	0	11	7	52	32	102	62	1132	11174	1	21	27	51	1132						
WRITING	166	1	0	165	0	0	28	17	102	62	35	21	4.8	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Reading Results

District:Davies Career & TechState:Rhode IslandCode:40

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	166	1	0	165	3	2	76	46	68	41	18	11	1140
STATE 2007-08	11661	192	324	11145	1827	16	5056	45	2726	24	1536	14	1143

	Total			F	Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 	
Word ID/Vocabulary	19							-	▶				
Type of Text													School
Literary	42					-	- ♦						District State
Informational	43						*	•					 Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	35							▲					
Analysis & Interpretation	50					-	•						



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Disaggregated Reading Results

District: Davies Career & TechState: Rhode IslandCode: 40

					I	Distr	ict									Sta	ite								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	166	1	0	165	3	2	76	46	68	41	18	11	1140	11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
Gender																									
Male	94	1	0	93	1	1	35	38	42	45	15	16	1138	5561	12	43	27	18	1141						
Female Not Reported	72 0	0 0	0 0	72 0	2	3	41	57	26	36	3	4	1142	5583 1	20	48	22	9	1145						
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										66	6	32	36	26	1136						
Asian	1	0	0	1				47		47	1	~	1120	302	21	46	20	14	1143						
Black or African American Hispanic or Latino	17 46	0	0 0	17 45	0	0 4	8 21	47 47	8 20	47 44	1	6 4	1139	920 1681	5	36 36	33 37	26 22	1137 1137						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	Ő	0	-		21		20		-			0	· ·	50	57		1157						
White (non-Hispanic)	102	0	0	102	1	1	47	46	39	38	15	15	1139	8172	20	48	21	11	1145						
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										4											
LEP Status																									
Currently receiving LEP services	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	8	80	2	20	1134	246	0	6	35	59	1128						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	3	0	0 0	3										81 42	1	19 33	48 40	32 24	1133 1136						
All Other Students	151	0	0	151	3	2	75	50	57	38	16	11	1140	10776	17	47	40 24	13	1143						
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	17	0	0	17	0	0	6	35	7	41	4	24	1136	1804	2	22	34	42	1132						
All Other Students	149	1	0	148	3	2	70	47	61	41	14	9	1140	9341	19	50	23	8	1145						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	1	0	87	1	1	41	47	34	39	11	13	1139	3155	6	38	34	22	1138						
All Other Students	78	0	0	78	2	3	35	45	34	44	7	9	1140	7990	21	48	21	10	1145						
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	166	1	0	165	3	2	76	46	68	41	18	11	1140	11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	166	1	0	165	3	2	76	46	68	41	18	11	1140	742	3	38	39	20	1138						
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										10403	17	46	23	13	1143						
504 Plan			_													50									
Students with a 504 Plan	10	1 0	0 0	9 156	_	2	74	47	6	40	17	11	1140	246 10899	17 16	53 45	23	6 14	1145						
All Other Students	156	U	U	100	3	4	/4	4/	62	40	17		1140	10099	10	40	24	14	1143						
																							1		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Mathematics Results

District: Davies Career & TechState: Rhode IslandCode: 40

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	166	1	0	165	0	0	11	7	52	32	102	62	1132
STATE 2007-08	11661	147	340	11174	123	1	2340	21	3032	27	5679	51	1132

	Total				Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
Numbers and Operations	20			r ♦									•	Schoo Distric
Geometry and Measurement	42			▲ •									*	State
Functions and Algebra	55			-	▲ •	•								Stand Error
Data, Statistics, and Probability	19			-	•									



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District: Davies Career & TechState: Rhode IslandCode: 40

					l	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score		Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scor
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	166	1	0	165	0	0	11	7	52	32	102	62	1132	11174	1	21	27	51	1132	2					
Gender																									
Male	94	1	0	93	0	0	9	10	29	31	55	59	1132	5579	1	22	24	52	1132						
Female	72	0	0	72	0	0	2	3	23	32	47	65	1131	5594	1	19	30	50	1132						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	-			-						1											
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										65	0	14	25	62	1128						
Asian	1	0	0	1										308	4	28	27	41	1135						
Black or African American	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	4	24	13	76	1128	923	0	6	18	76	1126						
Hispanic or Latino	46	1	0	45	0	0	2	4	15	33	28	62	1133	1716	0	6	19	75	1126						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	-									0	-	-									
White (non-Hispanic)	102	Ő	Ő	102	0	0	9	9	33	32	60	59	1132	8158	1	26	30	43	1134						
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	Ő	0	0		Ŭ		5		52	00	55	1152	4	'	20	50	15							
EP Status																									
Currently receiving LEP services	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	10	9	90	1126	295	0	3	6	92	1120						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	'	10	9	50	1120	81	0	2	10	88	1120						
	2	1	0	1										42	0	7	10	76	1124						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	151	0	0	151	0	0	11	7	50	33	90	60	1132	10756		22	28	49	1120						
All Other Students	101	0	0	151	0	0			50	55	90	00	1152	10750		22	20	49	1155						
EP																_									
Students with an IEP	17	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	3	18	14	82	1129	1796	0	3	10	87	1123						
All Other Students	149	1	0	148	0	0	11	7	49	33	88	59	1132	9378	1	24	30	44	1134						
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	1	0	87	0	0	2	2	29	33	56	64	1131	3187	0	9	21	71	1128						
All Other Students	78	0	0	78	0	0	9	12	23	29	46	59	1132	7987	1	26	30	43	1134						
<i>A</i> igrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0											
All Other Students	166	1	0	165	0	0	11	7	52	32	102	62	1132	11174	1	21	27	51	1132						
itle I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	166	1	0	165	0	0	11	7	52	32	102	62	1132	752	0	6	23	71	1128						
All Other Students	0	0	0	0										10422	1	22	27	49	1132						
i04 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	10	1	0	9		1								243	0	26	28	46	1134						
All Other Students	156	0	0	156	0	0	9	6	51	33	96	62	1132	10931	1	21	27	51	1132						
			-																						
						1																			

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Writing Results

District:Davies Career & TechState:Rhode IslandCode:40

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	166	1	0	165	0	0	28	17	102	62	35	21	4.8
STATE 2007-08	11661	165	365	11131	357	3	3744	34	5495	49	1535	14	5.7

Total			I	Percen	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			Number	I	Distr					nts	
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			0	1	2	3	4	5	6
														%	%	%	%	%	%	%
12					-	•						2	School District State	1 1	21 11	36 26	31 34	10 22	0 6	0
18					▲	•						3	School District State	7 2	17 11	36 24	33 34	5 24	2 6	0 0
6			_		•							1	School District State	13 7	17 18	50 29	21 31	0 14	0 2	0
	Possible Points 12 18	Possible Points 0 12 18 18	Possible Points 0 10 12 1 1 18 1 1	Iotal 0 10 20 Points 0 10 20 12 1 1 1 18 1 1 1	Possible 0 10 20 30 12 12 10 10 10 10 18 10 10 10 10 10	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 12	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 12 Image: Constraint of the second	Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 12 18	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 12	Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 12 +	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 12 - <t< td=""><td>Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 -</td><td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 -</td><td>Total Possible Points Vumber of Prompts 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 -</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 0 0 0 70 80 90 100 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 0 % 12 12 12 13 12 10 11</td><td>Total Possible Points Vumber 0 Number of Prompts Vumber 0 0 1 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 100 1 0 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 21 <td< td=""><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 %</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 21 36 31 18 1 1 1 1 1 24 34 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 24 34</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6 1 1 1 3 1 0 3 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6</td></td<></td></t<> <td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 12 1 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 12 12 13 10 10 10 20 31 10 0 31 10 0 32 34 22 6 18 18 1 1 1 11 21 36 31 10 0</td>	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 -	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 -	Total Possible Points Vumber of Prompts 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 -	Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 0 0 0 70 80 90 100 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 0 % 12 12 12 13 12 10 11	Total Possible Points Vumber 0 Number of Prompts Vumber 0 0 1 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 100 1 0 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 21 1 <td< td=""><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 %</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 21 36 31 18 1 1 1 1 1 24 34 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 24 34</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6 1 1 1 3 1 0 3 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6</td></td<>	Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 %	Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 21 36 31 18 1 1 1 1 1 24 34 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 24 34	Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6 1 1 1 3 1 0 3 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 18 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 5 6	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 12 1 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 12 12 13 10 10 10 20 31 10 0 31 10 0 32 34 22 6 18 18 1 1 1 11 21 36 31 10 0



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Disaggregated Writing Results

District: Davies Career & TechState: Rhode IslandCode: 40

					l	Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	Approved Other							Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Score
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	166	1	0	165	0	0	28	17	102	62	35	21	4.8	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						
Gender																									
Male	94	1	0	93	0	0	13	14	53	57	27	29	4.5	5555	2	27	50	20	5.3						
Female	72	0	0	72	0	0	15	21	49	68	8	11	5.2	5575	4	40	48	7	6.2						
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										1											
Primary Race/Ethnicity																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										68	3	19	57	21	5.0						
Asian	1	0	0	1										301	5	37	45	13	6.0						
Black or African American	17	0	0	17	0	0	3	18	11	65	3	18	4.8	914	1	21	55	23	4.9						
Hispanic or Latino	46	1	0	45	0	0	9	20	30	67	6	13	5.0	1679	0	21	60	19	5.0						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0			-							0											
White (non-Hispanic)	102	0	Ő	102	0	0	16	16	61	60	25	25	4.7	8165	4	38	47	12	6.0						
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		Ŭ	10	10		00	25	25		4	-	50	-77	12	0.0						
LEP Status																									
Currently receiving LEP services	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	4	40	4	40	4.4	245	0	3	44	53	3.5						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	3	0	0	3			2	20	-		4	40	4.4	80	0	6	79	15	4.6						
	2	1	0	1										42	0	19	79	5	5.4						
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	151	0	0	151	0	0	26	17	95	63	30	20	4.8	10764	3	35	49	13	5.4						
IEP	17	0	0	17	0	0	2	12	7	41	8	47	3.8	1802	0	8	51	40	3.9						
Students with an IEP All Other Students	149	1	0	148	0	0	2	12	95	64	27	18	5.0 4.9	9329	4	39	49	9	6.1						
All Other Students	145		0	110			20	10			21	10	4.5	5525	4	55	45		0.1						
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	1	0	87	0	0	18	21	53	61	16	18	4.9	3152	1	22	57	20	5.0						
All Other Students	78	0	0	78	0	0	10	13	49	63	19	24	4.9	7979	4	38	46	11	6.0						
Migrant	0	0	0	0										0											
Migrant Students All Other Students	166	1	0 0	165	0	0	28	17	102	62	35	21	4.8	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						
Fitle I	166	1	0	165	0	0	70	17	102	62	25	21	10	740		19	62	19							
Students Receiving Title I Services	166	0	0	0		U	28	1/	102	02	35	21	4.8	10391	0	35	62	13	5.0						
All Other Students	0	U	0	U										10391	3	35	48	13	5.8						
504 Plan			0											245		24	50	0							
Students with a 504 Plan	10	1	0	9				17		62		21		245	3	31	58	9	5.9	1					
All Other Students	156	0	0	156	0	0	27	17	97	62	32	21	4.8	10886	3	34	49	14	5.7	1					
						1																			

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient