About The New England **Common Assessment Program**

ENGLAN

This report highlights results from the Fall 2007 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to \square students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's Ó statewide assessment ASSESSMENT program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade - in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require

students to develop their own answers to questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or

informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

Ź

GRA

 \mathbb{C}

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2007 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008

District Results

District: Barrington

Code: 01



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Grade Level Summary Report

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

Schools and districts administered all NECAP tests to every enrolled student with the following exceptions: students who participated in the alternate assessment for the 2006-07 school year, first year LEP students, students who withdrew from the school after October 1, 2007, students who enrolled

in the school after October 1, 2007, students for whom a special consideration was granted through the state Department of Education, and other students for reasons not approved. On this page, and throughout this report, results are only reported for groups of students that are larger than nine (9).

PARTICIPATION in NECAP					Number								Pe	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION III NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1					297			11,661						100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested				295	294	296	11,145	11,174	11,131				99	99	100	96	96	95
Students not tested in NECAP																		
State Approved				1	2	1	192	147	165				0	1	0	2	1	1
Alternate Assessment				1	2	1	58	61	58				0	1	0	0	1	0
First Year LEP				0	0	0	53	0	53				0	0	0	0	0	0
Withdrew After October 1				0	0	0	57	59	42				0	0	0	0	1	0
Enrolled After October 1				0	0	0	4	4	5				0	0	0	0	0	0
Special Consideration				0	0	0	20	23	7				0	0	0	0	0	0
Other				1	1	0	324	340	365				0	0	0	3	3	3

NECAP RESULTS

					I	District										Sta	ate								
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
READING	297	1	1	295	158	54	108	37	23	8	6	2	1155	11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
MATH	297	2	1	294	31	11	155	53	67	23	41	14	1142	11174	1	21	27	51	1132						
WRITING	297	1	0	296	30	10	148	50	105	35	13	4	6.9	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Reading Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from gradeappropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	297	1	1	295	158	54	108	37	23	8	6	2	1155
STATE 2007-08	11661	192	324	11145	1827	16	5056	45	2726	24	1536	14	1143

	Total			F	Percen	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100 I
Word ID/Vocabulary	19								•	7	•	
Type of Text												
Literary	42						•		*			
Informational	43											
Level of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	35							•		+		
Analysis & Interpretation	50						•	-				



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Disaggregated Reading Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

					[Distr	ict									Sta	ate								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	297	1	1	295	158	54	108	37	23	8	6	2	1155	11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
Gender Male Female Not Reported	156 141 0	0 1 0	1 0 0	155 140 0	75 83	48 59	61 47	39 34	13 10	8 7	6 0	4 0	1152 1157	5561 5583 1	12 20	43 48	27 22	18 9	1141 1145						
Primary Race/Ethnicity American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White (non-Hispanic) No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	0 7 1 3 0 286 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	0 7 1 3 0 284 0	151	53	105	37	22	8	6	2	1154	66 302 920 1681 0 8172 4	6 21 5 4 20	32 46 36 36 48	36 20 33 37 21	26 14 26 22 11	1136 1143 1137 1137 1145						
LEP Status Currently receiving LEP services Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	2 0 0 295	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	2 0 0 293	158	54	108	37	21	7	6	2	1155	246 81 42 10776	0 1 2 17	6 19 33 47	35 48 40 24	59 32 24 13	1128 1133 1136 1143						
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	37 260	1 0	1 0	35 260	3 155	9 60	23 85	66 33	6 17	17 7	3 3	9 1	1144 1156	1804 9341	2 19	22 50	34 23	42 8	1132 1145						
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	8 289	1 0	0 1	7 288	157	55	105	36	23	8	3	1	1155	3155 7990	6 21	38 48	34 21	22 10	1138 1145						
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 297	0 1	0 1	0 295	158	54	108	37	23	8	6	2	1155	0 11145	16	45	24	14	1143						
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 297	0 1	0 1	0 295	158	54	108	37	23	8	6	2	1155	742 10403	3 17	38 46	39 23	20 13	1138 1143						
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	13 284	0 1	1 0	12 283	8 150	67 53	4 104	33 37	0 23	0 8	0 6	0 2	1157 1154	246 10899	17 16	53 45	23 24	6 14	1145 1143						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Mathematics Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	297	2	1	294	31	11	155	53	67	23	41	14	1142
STATE 2007-08	11661	147	340	11174	123	1	2340	21	3032	27	5679	51	1132

	Total			I	Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s				
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
Numbers and Operations	20			•	*								•	School District
Geometry and Measurement	42			٠			+						•	State
Functions and Algebra	55				•			-						Error Bar
Data, Statistics, and Probability	19				•	*								



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

					l	Distr	ict									Sta	te								
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	297	2	1	294	31	11	155	53	67	23	41	14	1142	11174	1	21	27	51	1132						-
Gender	450			455					24	20	22		4442	5570		22	24	52	4422						
Male Female Not Reported	156 141 0	0 2 0	1 0 0	155 139 0	14 17	9 12	88 67	57 48	31 36	20 26	22 19	14 14	1142 1142	5579 5594 1	1	22 19	24 30	52 50	1132 1132						
Primary Race/Ethnicity American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American	0 7 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 7 1										65 308 923	0 4 0	14 28 6	25 27 18	62 41 76	1128 1135 1126						
Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White (non-Hispanic) No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported	3 0 286 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 1 0	3 0 283 0	27	10	152	54	65	23	39	14	1142	1716 0 8158 4	0	6 26	19 30	75 43	1126 1134						
LEP Status Currently receiving LEP services Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	2 0 0 295	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	2 0 0 292	31	11	155	53	67	23	39	13	1142	295 81 42 10756	0 0 0 1	3 2 7 22	6 10 17 28	92 88 76 49	1120 1124 1126 1133						
IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students	37 260	1 1	1 0	35 259	1 30	3 12	4 151	11 58	15 52	43 20	15 26	43 10	1134 1143	1796 9378	0 1	3 24	10 30	87 44	1123 1134						
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	8 289	1 1	0 1	7 287	31	11	153	53	66	23	37	13	1142	3187 7987	0 1	9 26	21 30	71 43	1128 1134						
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 297	0 2	0 1	0 294	31	11	155	53	67	23	41	14	1142	0 11174	1	21	27	51	1132						
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 297	0 2	0 1	0 294	31	11	155	53	67	23	41	14	1142	752 10422	0 1	6 22	23 27	71 49	1128 1132						
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	13 284	0 2	1 0	12 282	0 31	0 11	7 148	58 52	3 64	25 23	2 39	17 14	1141 1142	243 10931	0 1	26 21	28 27	46 51	1134 1132						

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Writing Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Score
SCHOOL 2007-08													
DISTRICT 2007-08	297	1	0	296	30	10	148	50	105	35	13	4	6.9
STATE 2007-08	11661	165	365	11131	357	3	3744	34	5495	49	1535	14	5.7

Total				Percen	t of To	otal Po	ossible	e Poin	ts			Number	I	Distr					nts	
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			0	1	2	3	4	5	6
														%	%	%	%	%	%	%
12						-4	F					2	School District State	1 1	3 11	18 26	27 34	35 22	14 6	1 0
18						-						3	School District State	1 2	6 11	10 24	31 34	35 24	14 6	3 0
6					•	-	—					1	School District State	3 7	0 18	30 29	41 31	24 14	3 2	0
	Possible Points 12 18	Possible Points 0 12 18 18	Possible Points 0 10 12 1 1 18 1 1	Iotal 0 10 20 Points 0 10 20 12 1 1 1 18 1 1 1	Iotal 0 10 20 30 Points 0 10 20 30 12 1 1 1 1 1 18 1	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 12 1 </td <td>Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 12 </td> <td>Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 12 </td> <td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 12 12 • • • • • 18 • • • •</td> <td>Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 12 </td> <td>Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 12 12 • <</td> <td>Initial Possible 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 12 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 16 16 10 100 <th< td=""><td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School District State 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 0</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Acressible Points Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 0 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 School District 1 3 3 School District 1 3 1 <td< td=""><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Across Process Procese Procese Process Process Process Process Process Pro</td><td>Total Possible Points Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Number of Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 34 6 1<</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 34 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 13 1</td></td<><td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 35 14 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 34 24 6</td></td></th<></td>	Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 12	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 12	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 12 12 • • • • • 18 • • • •	Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 12	Iotal Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 12 12 • <	Initial Possible 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 12 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 16 16 10 100 <th< td=""><td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School District State 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 0</td><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Acressible Points Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 0 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 School District 1 3 3 School District 1 3 1 <td< td=""><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Across Process Procese Procese Process Process Process Process Process Pro</td><td>Total Possible Points Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Number of Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 34 6 1<</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 34 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 13 1</td></td<><td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 35 14 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 34 24 6</td></td></th<>	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14	Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 12 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 School District State 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 School District State	Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 0	Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Acressible Points Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 0 1 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 School District 1 3 3 School District 1 3 1 <td< td=""><td>Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Across Process Procese Procese Process Process Process Process Process Pro</td><td>Total Possible Points Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Number of Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 34 6 1<</td><td>Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 34 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 13 1</td></td<> <td>Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 35 14 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 34 24 6</td>	Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Across Process Procese Procese Process Process Process Process Process Pro	Total Possible Points Percent of Total Possible Points Number of Prompts Number of Prompts 0 1 2 3 12 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 90 1 2 3 12 1 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 26 34 6 1<	Total Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 34 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 13 1	Initial Possible Points 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Prompts 0 1 2 3 4 5 12 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 18 1 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 6 1 1 3 18 27 35 14 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 35 14 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 34 24 6



Fall 2007 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2007-2008 Disaggregated Writing Results

District:BarringtonState:Rhode IslandCode:01

REPORTING CATEGORIES Enrolled N N All Students 297 Gender 297 Male 156 Female 141 Not Reported 0 Primary Race/Ethnicity 0 American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 All Other Student s 295	At At	NT Approved N 1	NT Other N	Tested N	Lev	el 4	Lev	1.0																	
All Students 297 Gender 156 Male 156 Female 141 Not Reported 0 Primary Race/Ethnicity 0 American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Currently receiving LEP services 2 Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0				N				el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Me Sco
Gender 156 Male 156 Female 141 Not Reported 0 Primary Race/Ethnicity 0 American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Currently receiving LEP services 2 Corrent LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		1	0	I ''	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
Male156Female141Not Reported0Primary Race/Ethnicity0American Indian or Alaskan Native0Asian7Black or African American1Hispanic or Latino3Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander0White (non-Hispanic)286No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported0LEP StatusCurrently receiving LEP services2Currently receiving LEP services2Former LEP student - monitoring year 10Former LEP student - monitoring year 20			U	296	30	10	148	50	105	35	13	4	6.9	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						
Male156Female141Not Reported0Primary Race/Ethnicity0American Indian or Alaskan Native0Asian7Black or African American1Hispanic or Latino3Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander0White (non-Hispanic)286No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported0LEP StatusCurrently receiving LEP services2Currently receiving LEP services2Former LEP student - monitoring year 10Former LEP student - monitoring year 20																									
Not Reported 0 Primary Race/Ethnicity American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0	1	0	0	156	9	6	73	47	63	40	11	7	6.5	5555	2	27	50	20	5.3			i			
Primary Race/Ethnicity American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		1	0	140	21	15	75	54	42	30	2	1	7.4	5575	4	40	48	7	6.2			1			
American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	0							_			1											
American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0																						1			
Asian 7 Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 EP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	0										68	3	19	57	21	5.0				1		
Black or African American 1 Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	7										301	5	37	45	13	6.0				1	1	
Hispanic or Latino 3 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 EP Status 0 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	Ő	1										914	1	21	55	23	4.9			i i		1	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 EP Status 0 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	Õ	3										1679	0	21	60	19	5.0			i		1	
White (non-Hispanic) 286 No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 EP Status Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	0										0	v	21	00		5.0			1		1	
No Primary Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 EP Status 2 Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		1	0	285	26	9	145	51	101	35	13	5	6.9	8165	4	38	47	12	6.0			: '	1	1	
Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	0	20		145	51	101	55	15	5	0.9	4	4	50	47	12	0.0						
Currently receiving LEP services 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0																									
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	2										245	0	3	44	53	3.5			i i		1	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0		0	0	0										80	0	6	79	15	4.6			1		1	
		0	0	0										42	0	19	76	5	5.4			. '	1 1	1	
		1	0	294	30	10	148	50	103	35	13	4	6.9	10764	3	35	49	13	5.8						
EP																						1			
		1	0	36	1	3	2	6	25	69	8	22	4.5	1802	0	8	51	40	3.9				1	1	
Students with an IEP 37 All Other Students 260		0	0	260	29	11	146	56	80	31	о 5	22	4.5 7.2	9329	4	39	49	40 9	6.1			i i			
			Ū								5		/.2		·		15					1			
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 8		1	0	7										3152	1	22	57	20	5.0					1	
All Other Students 289		0	Ő	289	30	10	145	50	102	35	12	4	6.9	7979	4	38	46	11	6.0						
Vigrant																									
Migrant Students 0		0	0	0										0								1			
All Other Students 297		1	0	296	30	10	148	50	105	35	13	4	6.9	11131	3	34	49	14	5.7						
ïtle I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services 0		0	0	0										740	0	19	62	19	5.0			1	1	1	
All Other Students Accelving Inter Services 297		1	Ő	296	30	10	148	50	105	35	13	4	6.9	10391	3	35	48	13	5.8						
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan 13		0	0	13	2	15	5	38	5	38	1	8	7.0	245	3	31	58	9	5.9			1		1	
All Other Students 284		1	0	283	28	10	143	51	100	35	12	4	6.9	10886	3	34	49	14	5.7			:		1	
			Ŭ										0.5		5		.5		5						
					1		1		1 1					1											1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient