# About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2013 New **England** Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to  $\bigcirc$ students in New OWW Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

1

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart RAM or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the 3 reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required

to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

C

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



## Fall 2013 **Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

# **School Results**

**School:** The R.Y.S.E School **District:** Chariho 98-98108 Code:



## Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Grade Level Summary Report

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

					Numbe	r							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District	:		State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		10			313			10,934			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	10	10	10	309	309	308	10,318	10,460	10,263	100	100	100	99	99	98	94	96	94
With an approved accommodation	10	10	10	98	172	49	2,250	3,025	1,608	100	100	100	32	56	16	22	29	16
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	377	408	358	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	159	100							29	39	28
IEP Students	5	5	5	24	24	24	1,490	1,515	1,472	50	50	50	8	8	8	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	5	5	5	24	23	23	923	948	903	100	100	100	100	96	96	62	63	61
Students not tested in NECAP	0	0	0	4	4	5	616	474	671	0	0	0	1	1	2	6	4	6
State Approved	0	0	0	3	3	3	206	173	203			1 1	75	75	60	33	36	30
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	3	3	3	96	96	96			1 1 1	100	100	100	47	55	47
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	60			r 1	0	0	0	19	0	30
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	68	40			r 1	0	0	0	30	39	20
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1			r 1	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	6	:		r 1	0	0	0	3	4	3
Other	0	0	0	1	1	2	410	301	468			r 1	25	25	40	67	64	70

### **NECAP RESULTS**

						Schoo										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	/el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	N	N	N	N	%		%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		Ν	%	%	%	%	
READING	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138	309	58	36	5	2	1156	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
MATH	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124	309	4	46	32	18	1139	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
WRITING	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	8	80	0		5.1	308	14	56	30	<1	7.6	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number. Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Reading Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### (Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1140–1153)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

[	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School		÷											
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
2012-13	8	: 1 :	0	7	:		:		:			:	
<b>2013-14</b> Cumulative Total	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138
District		÷ ÷											
2011-12	300	1	7	292	158	54	112	38	19	7	3	1	1155
2012-13	299	7	1	291	119	41	141	48	26	9	5	2	1152
2013-14	313	3	1	309	178	58	110	36	14	5	7	2	1156
Cumulative Total	912	11	9	892	455	51	363	41	59	7	15	2	1154
State		:											
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
2012-13	11,043	225	428	10,390	3,422	33	4,741	46	1,432	14	795	8	1149
2013-14 Cumulative	10,934	206	410	10,318	4,449	43	3,960		1,176	11	733	7	1151
Total	33,096	547	1,304	31,245	11,160	36	13,483	43	4,179	13	2,423	8	1149

<u>Cubicatio</u>	Total			I	Percen	t of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	30						-		•	*			
Type of Text													<ul> <li>School</li> </ul>
Literary	51						•	•					<ul><li>District</li><li>State</li></ul>
Informational	51					•		-	•				Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	44								•				
Analysis & Interpretation	58					•	, , ,	•					



## Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	vel 3	Le	vel 2	Lev	vel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2		Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138	309	58	36	5	2	1156	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
Gender						1 1 1						1 • •					1 1 1					1 1 1	- - -	1 1 1	
Male	8	0	0	8		-		1						161	50	42	5	2	1154	5,159	37	42	12	9	1149
Female	2	0	0	2		:		:						148	66	28	4	2	1158	5,128	49	35	<sup>¦</sup> 10	5	1153
Not Reported	0	0	0	0								: :		0						31	13	16	39	32	1137
Race/Ethnicity						1				1		1					1					1		1	
Hispanic or Latino	1	0	0	1				:						7						2,158	23	44	<sup>¦</sup> 18	<sup>1</sup> 15	1144
Not Hispanic or Latino																							1		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										7						70	26	41	· 14	19	1145
Asian	0	0	0	0										2						319	48	34	12	7	1152
Black or African American	0	0	0	0										2						882	23	42	21	14	1144
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				1						0						42	38	48	12	2	1149
White	8	0	0	8				1						286	58	36	4	2	1157	6,546	53	36	8	3	1154
Two or more races	1	0	0	1		1		1						5						270	39	41	13	7	1149
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1 1 1		1						0						31	13	16	39	32	1137
LEP Status								i.				, ,											-	, , ,	
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1						0						377	2	13	<sup>¦</sup> 31	54	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:		1						1						61	13	49	25	13	1142
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1						0						26	8	81	÷ 8	4	1142
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138	308	58	35	5	2	1156	9,854	45	39	11	5	1152
IEP																						1		- - 	
Students with an IEP	5	0	0	5				1						24	4	58	25	13	1142	1,490	8	37	28	26	1138
All Other Students	5	0	0	5				:						285	62	34	3	1	1157	8,828	49	39	9	4	1153
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	2	0	0	2				1						68	49	35	12	4	1152	4,274	27	44	17	11	1146
All Other Students	8	0	0	8				1						241	60	36	2	_	1158	6,044	54	34	7	4	1154
Migrant								ł															i.		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		1						0						0		1	1	;	
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138	309	58	36	5	2	1156	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
Title I								:															-	1 1 1	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0				1						0						2,238	22	42	19	16	1144
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	5	50	1	10	4	40	1138	309	58	36	5	2	1156	8,080	49	37	9	5	1153
504 Plan																								1	
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2				:						12	42	33	0	25	1148	255	48	42	7	4	1152
All Other Students	8	0	0	8		1		1						297	42 58	33	5	25	1148	10,063	48	38	12	. 4	1152
		1 1	1 U		1	-	1																		1 1 1 3 1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Mathematics Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations. **(Scaled Score 1152–1180)** 

#### (Scaled Scole 1102 110

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations. (Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully. (Scaled Score 1100–1133)

-	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School		:											
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
2012-13	8	1	0	7									
<b>2013-14</b> Cumulative Total	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124
District		÷ ÷											
2011-12	300	1	5	294	9	3	143	49	82	28	60	20	1140
2012-13	299	7	2	290	9	3	127	44	84	29	70	24	1139
2013-14	313	3	1	309	13	4	141	46	99	32	56	18	1139
Cumulative Total	912	11	8	893	31	3	411	46	265	30	186	21	1139
State		:											
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
2012-13	11,043	181	424	10,438	250	2	3,298	32	2,731	26	4,159	40	1135
2013-14 Cumulative	10,934	173	301	10,460	359	3	3,374		2,952		3,775		1136
Total	33,096	473	1,147	31,476	835	3	9,613	31	8,438	27	12,590	40	1135

	Total			F	Percen	nt of To	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	24	-			•	<u> </u>							<ul><li>School</li><li>District</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	46	-	•			-	-						<ul><li>State</li></ul>
Functions & Algebra	63			•		- -	<b>▲</b>						Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	25			•		•	*						



## Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Le	vel 3	Le	vel 2	Le	vel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124	309	4	46	32	18	1139	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Gender						1 1 1						1 1 1					1 1 1	1 1 1				1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	
Male	8	0	0	8		:		1		1				161	4	43	34	19	1139	5,229	4	33	<sup>!</sup> 28	36	1136
Female	2	0	0	2						1				148	4	49	30	17	1140	5,195	3	32	29	36	1136
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						36	0	6	14	81	1124
Race/Ethnicity								-		-															
Hispanic or Latino	1	0	0	1		-		1		÷		-		7			-	-		2,203	1	16	27	57	1131
Not Hispanic or Latino								1		1		-											-		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0		-		-		÷		-		7			-	-		70	0	23	30	47	1132
Asian	0	0	0	0				1						2						322	8	39	23	30	1139
Black or African American	0	0	0	0						:				2				1		901	<1	13	26	61	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				1		1				0						42	0	31	40	29	1136
White	8	0	0	8		1				1		:		286	5	46	32	17	1140	6,614	5	40	29	25	1138
Two or more races	1	0	0	1		1		1		1		:		5				1		272	3	29	27	42	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		-								0			-	1 1 1		36	0	6	14	81	1124
LEP Status						:		i.		1												1 1 1	1		
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1		1				0			1	1		408	<1	3	6	91	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1		1				1			1	1		61	0	13	26	61	1132
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1		:				Ó			1	1		27	0	11	48	41	1133
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124	308	4	46	32	18	1139	9,964	4	34	29	34	1136
IEP								-		-								- - -				1 1 1	1	1 1 1	
Students with an IEP	5	0	0	5				1						24	0	4	29	67	1128	1,515	<1	5	16	79	1125
All Other Students	5	0	0	5						-				285	5	49	32	14	1140	8,945	4	37	30	29	1137
SES						:		1														1 1 1	-		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	2	0	0	2		:		1		1		:		68	1	29	46	24	1137	4,344	1	19	29	51	1132
All Other Students	8	0	0	8		-		-		1				241	5	50	28	17	1140	6,116	5	42	27	25	1138
Migrant						-		i.		i.							:					1 1 1			
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1		:		:		0			1	1		0			:		
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124	309	4	46	32	18	1139	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Title I						-		i.		1															
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:		1		1		:		0			1	1		2,283	1	15	25	59	1130
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	10	1	10	8	80	1124	309	4	46	32	18	1139	8,177	4	37	29	30	1130
504 Plan								1		1								1 1 1				1 1 1			
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2		:		1		1				12	0	25	25	50	1131	260	1	33	34	32	1137
All Other Students	8	0	0	8				1		1				297	4	46	32	17	1140	10,200	4	32	28	36	1136
						:		1		1		:			'			1		,200					

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur. (Raw Score 10–12)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

### (Raw Score 7–9)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions. (Raw Score 4–6)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
2012-13	8	: 1 :	0	7	:		:				:		
<b>2013-14</b> Cumulative Total	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	8	80	0	0	5.1
District		:											
2011-12	300	1	7	292	28	10	168	58	93	32	3	1	7.3
2012-13	299	; 7 ;	1	291	14	5	170	58	103	35	4	1	7.1
2013-14	313	3	2	308	44	14	171	56	92	30	1	<1	7.6
Cumulative Total	912	11	10	891	86	10	509	57	288	32	8	1	7.3
State		:											
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
2012-13	11,043	216	461	10,366	363	4	4,794	46	4,601	44	608	6	6.4
2013-14 Cumulative	10,934	203	468	10,263	1,094	11	5,679	55	3,242	32	248	2	7.3
Total	33,096	535	1,424	31,137	1,935	6	15,303	49	12,342	40	1,557	5	6.7

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2011-12	<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.
2013-14	<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



# Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

## Writing Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

	A	verage	e Scoi	re (	Comp	ariso	on by	у Туре	of Wr	iting <sup>§</sup>							
T ( ) M ( ) ( )				Sch	nool					District	:				State	9	
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Scored	Mean Score		0	7	12	Number Scored	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Scored	Mean Score	0	7	12
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14 (C)	10	5.1		-			308	7.6		-	-	10,263	7.3		-	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13	0						48	7.2			-	1,705	6.4			-
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14	1						77	7.0		-		2,543	6.4			-
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13 (C)	7						291	7.1		+		10,366	6.4			-
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2013-14	3						72	7.1		-	•	2,568	7.4		-	-
or a personal experience, beller, or idea.	2012-13	2						47	7.3				1,732	7.1		-	_
<b>Report (Not assessed in 2013)</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2013-14																
	2012-13	1						25	6.8		-		881	6.8		-	-
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept	2013-14	3						81	7.1		-	•	2,558	6.8		-	-
a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2012-13	1						51	7.2		+		1,726	6.7		-	-
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an	2013-14	3						78	7.7		-•	•	2,593	7.0		-	-
audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2012-13	2						48	7.2		+		1,700	6.7		-	-

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were scored.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

			Score Dis	stribution					Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose
Score	1	2	Ν	%	N	%	%	6	distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
12	6	6	0	0	1	<1	<1		• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5	0	0	3	1	1	5	<ul> <li>details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
10	5	5	0	0	40	13	10		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4	0	0	45	15	13	4	details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
8	4	4	1	10	92	30	28		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3	1	10	34	11	14	3	some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
6	3	3	2	20	63	20	20		tempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2	0	0	14	5	6	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2	6	60	15	5	6		Iack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1	0	0	1	<1	1	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<1	U	

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:The R.Y.S.E SchoolDistrict:CharihoState:Rhode IslandCode:98-98108

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School													District							State					
	Enrolled	NT Approved N	NT Other N	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%		
All Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	8	80	0	0	5.1	308	14	56	30	<1	7.6	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3	
Gender												1 1 1					1 1 1	1 1 1				1 1 1	1 1 1			
Male	8	0	0	8		1		1		1				161	10	52	38	1	7.2	5,135	8	51	37	3	7.0	
Female	2	0	0	2		1		1		1				147	19	60	21	0	8.0	5,100	13	60	26	1	7.6	
Not Reported	0	0	0	0						:		1 1 1		0		1		1		28	0	7	64	29	4.6	
Race/Ethnicity																		, ,								
Hispanic or Latino	1	0	0	1				:						7						2,139	5	46	45	4	6.6	
Not Hispanic or Latino								:						1											1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										6						68	10	38	49	3	6.7	
Asian	0	0	0	0				:		1				2						315	17	57	25	1	7.7	
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		:		:		1				2			:			868	4	46	45	5	6.5	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1		1		1				0						42	5	69	26	0	7.4	
White	8	0	0	8		1		1		1		:		286	15	57	28	<1	7.6	6,536	13	60	26	1	7.6	
Two or more races	1	0	0	1		1		1		1				5			. 20		1.0	267	11	53	34	2	7.2	
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1		1		-				0			-	1 1 1		28	0	7	64	29	4.6	
LEP Status								1		÷							:									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		1		1		1				0						358	0	15	68	17	4.9	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1		i.		1										61	2	57	41	0	6.9	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		i.		1		i i		i		l o			i i			26	4	54	41	0	7.0	
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	8	80	0	0	5.1	307	14	56	30	<1	7.6	9,818	11	57	30	2	7.0	
IEP																		: : :								
Students with an IEP	5	0		-								;		24		12				1 472		20		10	FC	
	5	-	0	5								;		24	0	13	88	0	5.5	1,472	1	26	62	10	5.6	
All Other Students	5	0	0	5				1						284	15	59	25	<1	7.8	8,791	12	60	27	I	7.6	
SES										;							42			4.354	-					
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	2	0	0	2 8		1				÷				68 240	4	51 57	43 26	· 1 · 0	7.0	4,251 6,012	5 15	50 59	41 25	4 2	6.8 7.6	
Migrant																									'	
Migrant Migrant Students	0	0	0	0						1				0						0					1	
	10		0	10	_	0	1						E 1		14	50	20		7.0	-	11			n	7.7	
All Other Students	10			10	0	. U	2	20	8	80	0	0	5.1	308	14	56	30	<1	7.6	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3	
Title I						-						1 - 1				: :		: :				1 1	1 1			
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		;		÷		:		:		0			;			2,223	6	46	44	5	6.6	
All Other Students	10	0	0	10	0	0	2	20	8	80	0	0	5.1	308	14	56	30	<1	7.6	8,040	12	58	28	2	7.4	
504 Plan																										
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2		-		-		÷				12	0	58	42	0	6.8	256	7	61	31	1	7.3	
All Other Students	8	0	0	8		-		-		1				296	15	55	29	<1	7.6	10,007	11	55	32	2	7.3	
								-																		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.