# About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2013 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

1

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart RAM or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



## Fall 2013 **Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP** Tests

### Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

# **School Results**

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
Code:	60-28703



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Grade Level Summary Report

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

					Numbe	r							Р	ercentag	je			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District	:		State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		224			224			10,934			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	212	210	212	212	210	212	10,318	10,460	10,263	95	94	95	95	94	95	94	96	94
With an approved accommodation	69	79	29	69	79	29	2,250	3,025	1,608	33	38	14	33	38	14	22	29	16
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	377	408	358	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	159	100			r 1 1				29	39	28
IEP Students	33	33	33	33	33	33	1,490	1,515	1,472	16	16	16	16	16	16	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	15	18	14	15	18	14	923	948	903	45	55	42	45	55	42	62	63	61
Students not tested in NECAP	12	14	12	12	14	12	616	474	671	5	6	5	5	6	5	6	4	6
State Approved	1	1	1	1	1	: 1	206	173	203	8	7	8	8	7	8	33	36	30
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	96	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	55	47
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	30
Withdrew After October 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	62	68	40	100	100	100	100	100	100	30	39	20
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
Other	11	13	11	11	13	11	410	301	468	92	93	92	92	93	92	67	64	70

#### NECAP RESULTS

						Schoo										Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145	212	17	50	29	4	1145	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
MATH	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31		52	1132	210	0	17	31	52	1132	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
WRITING	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3	212	2	38	57	3	6.3	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number. Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Reading Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

#### (Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1140–1153)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

[	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143
2012-13	245	: 0 :	11	234	32	14	125	53	60	26	17	7	1144
2013-14	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145
Cumulative Total	677	2	32	643	95	15	335	52	167	26	46	7	1144
District													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143
2012-13	245	0	11	234	32	14	125	53	60	26	17	7	1144
2013-14	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145
Cumulative Total	677	2	32	643	95	15	335	52	167	26	46	7	1144
State													
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
2012-13	11,043	225	428	10,390	3,422	33	4,741	46	1,432	14	795	8	1149
2013-14	10,934	206	410	10,318	4,449	43	3,960	38	1,176	11	733	7	1151
Cumulative Total	33,096	547	1,304	31,245	11,160	36	13,483	43	4,179	13	2,423	8	1149

Subtopic	Total			I	Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	e Point	s			
δυστοφις	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	30							-	•				
Type of Text													<ul> <li>School</li> </ul>
Literary	51							► ►	•				<ul><li>District</li><li>State</li></ul>
Informational	51							<u></u>	•				Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	44							●: ★:	•				
Analysis & Interpretation	58						-	● ▲ ●	•				



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING	Enrolled	NT	NT	Tested	lo	vel 4		rel 3		vel 2		vel 1	Mean	Tested	Level	Level	Level	Level	Mean	Tested	Level	Level	Level	Level	Mean
CATEGORIES	Linoiled	Approved	Other	resteu	Lev	/614	Lev	0.2	Let		Lev		Scaled	Testeu	4	3	2	1	Scaled	Testeu	4	3	2	1	Scaled
	N	N	N	N	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	Ν	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145	212	17	50	29	4	1145	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
Gender						-																			
Male	107	1	7	99	17	17	50	51	30	30	2	2	1145	99	17	51	30	2	1145	5,159	37	42	12	9	1149
Female	117	0	4	113	20	18	55	49	31	27	7	6	1144	113	18	49	27	6	1144	5,128	49	35	10	5	1153
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						31	13	16	39	32	1137
Race/Ethnicity																						1 1 1			
Hispanic or Latino	103	1	3	99	12	- 12	52	<sup>¦</sup> 53	32	32	3		1143	99	12	53	32	3	1143	2,158	23	44	<sup>¦</sup> 18	15	1144
Not Hispanic or Latino			-								-				-					,			;	-	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	1	5										5						70	26	41	· 14	19	1145
Asian	4	0	0	4		:		:		:				4			:			319	48	34	12	7	1152
Black or African American	31	0	2	29	1	3	15	52	9	31	4	14	1140	29	3	52	31	14	1140	882	23	42	21	14	1144
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1		1			-					1	-					42	38	48	12	2	1149
White	73	0	5	68	24	35	29	43	14	21	1	1	1150	68	35	43	21	<u> </u> 1	1150	6,546	53	36	8	3	1154
Two or more races	6	0	0	6										6						270	39	41	13	7	1149
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		-								0				1 1		31	13	16	39	32	1137
LEP Status						÷												, ,				1 1			
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		1								0						377	2	13	<sup>¦</sup> 31	54	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:								0						61	13	49	25	13	1142
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:								0						26	8	81	: 8	4	1146
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145	212	17	50	29	4	1145	9,854	45	39	11	5	1152
All Other Students	224			212	57		105		01	29		4	1145	212			29	4	1145	9,004	45				1152
IEP																							-		
Students with an IEP	33	0	0	33	4	12	8	24	17	52	4	12	1140	33	12	24	52	12	1140	1,490	8	37	28	26	1138
All Other Students	191	1	11	179	33	18	97	54	44	25	5	3	1146	179	18	54	25	3	1146	8,828	49	39	9	4	1153
SES																						1 1 1			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	157	0	5	152	20	<sup>-</sup> 13	81	53	45	30	6	4	1144	152	13	53	30	4	1144	4,274	27	44	17	11	1146
All Other Students	67	1	6	60	17	28	24	40	16	27	3	5	1147	60	28	40	27	5	1147	6,044	54	34	7	4	1154
Migrant						:											:					1 1	-		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		1						0			i.			0			i.		
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145	212	17	50	29	4	1145	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
												, , ,												, , ,	
Title I								1									;			2.220					
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0	~7		105		6				4445	0	47				44.45	2,238	22	42	19	16	1144
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	37	17	105	50	61	29	9	4	1145	212	17	50	29	4	1145	8,080	49	37	9	5	1153
504 Plan												: :				: :	:	1 1 1				1	-	1 1 1	
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	1	5		;		:		:				5			;			255	48	42	7	4	1152
All Other Students	218	1	10	207	36	· 17	102	49	60	29	9	4	1144	207	17	49	29	4	1144	10,063	43	38	12	7	1151

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Mathematics Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

#### (Scaled Score 1152–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations. (Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully. (Scaled Score 1100–1133)

[	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131
2012-13	245	0	12	233	2	1	43	18	48	21	140	60	1132
2013-14	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132
Cumulative Total	677	2	35	640	3	<1	107	17	157	25	373	58	1132
District													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131
2012-13	245	0	12	233	2	1	43	18	48	21	140	60	1132
2013-14	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132
Cumulative Total	677	2	35	640	3	<1	107	17	157	25	373	58	1132
State													
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
2012-13	11,043	181	424	10,438	250	2	3,298	32	2,731	26	4,159	40	1135
2013-14	10,934	173	301	10,460	359	3	3,374	32	2,952	28	3,775	36	1136
Cumulative Total	33,096	473	1,147	31,476	835	3	9,613	31	8,438	27	12,590	40	1135

6 H	Total			I	Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	e Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	24			-•									<ul> <li>School</li> <li>District</li> </ul>
Geometry & Measurement	46			-● -★	-								<ul><li>District</li><li>State</li></ul>
Functions & Algebra	63					- -							Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	25				-• -•								



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

						Scho	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING	Enrolled	NT	NT	Tested	Lo	vel 4		vel 3		vel 2		vel 1	Mean	Tested	Level	Level	Level	Level	Mean	Tested	Level	Level	Level	Level	Mean
CATEGORIES	Linoiled	Approved	Other	resteu	Lev	1014	Lev		Lev		Lev		Scaled	Testeu	4	3	2	1	Scaled	Testeu	4	3	2	1	Scaled
	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	Ν	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132	210	0	17	31	52	1132	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Gender						-						, , ,						-				- - - -			
Male	107	1	8	98	0	0	19	19	34	35	45	46	1134	98	0	19	35	46	1134	5,229	4	33	28	36	1136
Female	117	0	5	112	0	0	16	14	32	29	64	57	1131	112	0	14	29	57	1131	5,195	3	32	29	36	1136
Not Reported	0	0	0	0								1		0						36	0	6	14	81	1124
Race/Ethnicity																								, ,	
Hispanic or Latino	103	1	3	99	0	: 0	10	<sup>:</sup> 10	28	28	61	62	1131	99	0	10	28	62	1131	2,203	1	16	27	57	1131
Not Hispanic or Latino						-		1									1					1	1		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	1	5		-		1						5			1	-		70	0	23	30	47	1132
Asian	4	0	0	4		-		1						4			-	-		322	8	39	23	30	1139
Black or African American	31	0	2	29	0	: 0	1	3	6	21	22	76	1128	29	0	3	21	76	1128	901	<1	13	26	61	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1				-						1			:			42	0	31	40	29	1136
White	73	0	7	66	0	: 0	23	35	24	36	19	29	1135	66	0	35	36	29	1135	6,614	5	40	29	25	1138
Two or more races	6	0	0	6				1						6			:			272	3	29	27	42	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0				-						0			;	-		36	0	6	14	81	1124
LEP Status						1		1				, ,											-	, ,	
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		-		1						0						408	<1	3	6	91	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1		1						0			:	-		61	0	13	26	61	1132
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		1		1						0			:	1		27	0	11	48	41	1133
All Other Students	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132	210	0	17	<mark>;</mark> 31	52	1132	9,964	4	34	29	34	1136
IEP																						1 1 1			
Students with an IEP	33	0	0	33	0	: 0	3	: 9	6	<sup>-</sup> 18	24	73	1125	33	0	9	18	73	1125	1,515	<1	5	<sup>1</sup> 16	79	1125
All Other Students	191	1	13	177	0	0	32	18	60	34	85	48	1133	177	0	18	34	48	1133	8,945	4	37	30	29	1137
SES						1																1 1 1	1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	157	0	6	151	0	0	20	13	45	30	86	57	1132	151	0	13	30	57	1132	4,344	1	19	29	51	1132
All Other Students	67	1	7	59	0	0	15	25	21	36	23	39	1133	59	0	25	36	39	1133	6,116	5	42	27	25	1138
Migrant						1		i.										-				1 1 1	1	, ,	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		1						0			:	1		0		:	1		
All Other Students	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132	210	0	17	31	52	1132	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Title I						i.		÷														1 1 1		, 1	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1		1		:				0			:	1		2,283	1	15	25	59	1130
All Other Students	224	1	13	210	0	0	35	17	66	31	109	52	1132	210	0	17	31	52	1132	8,177	4	37	29	30	1130
504 Plan						1		÷														1 1 1	1		
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	1	5		1		1		:				5			:	1		260	1	33	34	32	1137
All Other Students	218	1	12	205	0	: 0	33	<sup>:</sup> 16	65	32	107	52	1132	205	0	16	32	52	1132	10,200	4	32	28	36	1136
	210		12	200	5				33		,			205						10,200			. 20		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur. (Raw Score 10–12)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 7–9)

**Partially Proficient (Level 2)** Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions. (**Raw Score 4–6**)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

-	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3
2012-13	245	: 0 :	12	233	1	<1	58	25	161	69	13	6	5.6
2013-14	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3
Cumulative Total	677	2	33	642	8	1	180	28	417	65	37	6	5.7
District													
2011-12	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3
2012-13	245	0	12	233	1	<1	58	25	161	69	13	6	5.6
2013-14	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3
Cumulative Total	677	2	33	642	8	1	180	28	417	65	37	6	5.7
State													
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
2012-13	11,043	216	461	10,366	363	4	4,794	46	4,601	44	608	6	6.4
2013-14 Cumulative	10,934	203	468	10,263	1,094	11	5,679	55	3,242	32	248	2	7.3
Total	33,096	535	1,424	31,137	1,935	6	15,303	49	12,342	40	1,557	5	6.7

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2011-12	<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.
2013-14	<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

	A	verage	e Score	e Compariso	n by	Туре	of Wri	ting <sup>§</sup>			
T (111/1/1			9	School			D	istrict			State
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Scored	Mean Score	0 7	12	Number Scored	Mean Score	0 7 12	Number Scored	Mean Score	0 7 12
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14 (C)	212	6.3			212	6.3		10,263	7.3	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13	39	5.7	-		39	5.7		1,705	6.4	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14	50	4.8			50	4.8		2,543	6.4	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2012-13 (C)	233	5.6			233	5.6	<u> </u>	10,366	6.4	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2013-14	58	7.0	-		58	7.0	-	2,568	7.4	
or a personal experience, bener, or idea.	2012-13	40	6.2			40	6.2		1,732	7.1	
Report (Not assessed in 2013) Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2013-14										
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2012-13	17	5.9			17	5.9		881	6.8	_
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept	2013-14	56	5.5			56	5.5		2,558	6.8	
a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2012-13	36	5.4	<u> </u>		36	5.4	<u> </u>	1,726	6.7	
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an	2013-14	48	5.5			48	5.5		2,593	7.0	
audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2012-13	41	5.6	-		41	5.6		1,700	6.7	

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The 🛦 shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were scored.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

			Score Di	stribution			Scoring Rubric									
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose							
Score	1	2 N % N % %	6	distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics												
12	6	6	1	<1	1	<1	<1		• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout							
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	1	5	<ul> <li>details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sente structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level gramm usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>							
10	5	5	4	2	4	2	10		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent							
9	5	4	11	5	11	5	13	4	details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics							
8	4	4	32	15	32	15	28		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence							
7	4	3	37	17	37	17	14	3	some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics							
6	3	3	75	35	75	35	20		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence							
5	3	2	25	12	25	12	6	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, a mechanics are distracting							
4	2	2	21	10	21	10	6		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random							
3	2	1	3	1	3	1	1	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout							
2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.							
0	0	0	1	<1	1	<1	<1	U								

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



### Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:Metropolitan Career Tech CtrDistrict:MET SCHOOLState:Rhode IslandCode:60-28703

	School												District							State					
REPORTING	Enrolled	NT	NT Other	Tested									Mean	Tested				Level	Mean Score		Level		el Level		
CATEGORIES		Approved			Lev	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1			4	3				Tested	4	3		Level	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	-
All Students	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3	212	2	38	57	3	6.3	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3
Gender								- - - -									- - - -								
Male	107	1	7	99	3	3	35	35	56	57	5	5	6.2	99	3	35	57	5	6.2	5,135	8	51	37	3	7.0
Female	117	0	4	113	2	2	45	40	65	58	1	1	6.4	113	2	40	58	1	6.4	5,100	13	60	26	1	7.6
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	-	-								0	_					28	0	7	64	29	4.6
Race/Ethnicity												1					1					1			
Hispanic or Latino	103	1	3	99	1	1	30	30	66	67	2	2	6.1	99	1	30	67	2	6.1	2,139	5	46	45	4	6.6
Not Hispanic or Latino						-		1						1			1	-				-	-		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	1	5		-		-						5			:	-		68	10	38	49	3	6.7
Asian	4	0	0	4		-		1						4			-	-		315	17	57	25	1	7.7
Black or African American	31	0	2	29	0	: 0	8	28	19	66	2	7	5.9	29	0	28	66	7	5.9	868	4	46	45	5	6.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1		:								1			:			42	5	69	26	0	7.4
White	73	0	5	68	4	6	35	51	28	41	1	1	6.9	68	6	51	41	1	6.9	6,536	13	60	26	1	7.6
Two or more races	6	0	0	6				-						6						267	11	53	34	2	7.2
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						28	0	7	64	29	4.6
LEP Status								-										-					-		
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		-		1						0			-	-		358	0	15	68	17	4.9
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		-		1						0			1	-		61	2	57	41	0	6.9
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		-		1						0			-	-		26	4	54	42	0	7.0
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3	212	2	38	57	3	6.3	9,818	11	57	30	2	7.4
IEP																						1			
Students with an IEP	33	0	0	33	1	3	6	18	24	73	2	6	5.6	33	3	18	73	6	5.6	1,472	1	26	62	10	5.6
All Other Students	191	1	11	179	4	2	74	41	97	54	4	2	6.4	179	2	41	54	2	6.4	8,791	12	60	27	1	7.6
SES						1		-									1 1 1					r i		1 1 1	
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	157 67	0	5 6	152 60	2	· 1 · 5	50 30	33	95 26	63 43	5	3	6.1 6.8	152 60	1	33 50	63 43	3	6.1 6.8	4,251 6,012	5	50 59	41 25	4	6.8
			-												_			1		-,				. –	
Migrant			0	0		1		1									;	1				ì	1		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	-		00		124				6.2	0	-				6.2	0	11				
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3	212	2	38	57	3	6.3	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3
Title I			<u>,</u>			: : :		-				: :						1 1 1							
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0	-						-			0	-					2,223	6	46	44	5	6.6
All Other Students	224	1	11	212	5	2	80	38	121	57	6	3	6.3	212	2	38	57	3	6.3	8,040	12	58	28	2	7.4
504 Plan				-								: :		_				1 1 1		250	-				-
Students with a 504 Plan	6	0	1	5	-		1		120	50			6.0	5					6.0	256	7	61	; 31	1	7.3
All Other Students	218	1	10	207	5	2	76	37	120	58	6	3	6.3	207	2	37	58	3	6.3	10,007	11	55	32	2	7.3

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.