About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2013 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2013 **Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests**

Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

School Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

Code: 42-28610



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership District:

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			Distric	t		State			School			Distric	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		39			98			10,934			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	28	34	26	74	80	62	10,318	10,460	10,263	72	87	67	76	82	63	94	96	94
With an approved accommodation	7	9	4	29	35	20	2,250	3,025	1,608	25	26	15	39	44	32	22	29	16
Current LEP Students	3	3	2	5	6	4	377	408	358	11	9	8	7	8	6	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	2	2	1	3	4	2	110	159	100	67	67	50	60	67	50	29	39	28
IEP Students	2	4	4	13	16	11	1,490	1,515	1,472	7	12	15	18	20	18	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	1	1	2	11	11	9	923	948	903	50	25	50	85	69	82	62	63	61
Students not tested in NECAP	11	5	13	24	18	36	616	474	671	28	13	33	24	18	37	6	4	6
State Approved	0	0	1	2	2	4	206	173	203	0	0	8	8	11	11	33	36	30
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	96	96	96			0	0	0	0	47	55	47
First Year LEP	0	0	1	0	0	2	40	0	60			100	0	0	50	19	0	30
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	2	2	2	62	68	40			0	100	100	50	30	39	20
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1			0	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	6			0	0	0	0	3	4	3
Other	11	5	12	22	16	32	410	301	468	100	100	92	92	89	89	67	64	70

NECAP RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ite		
•	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	/el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%		%		%	N			N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	1
READING	39	0	11	28	1	4	10	36	12	43	5	18	1139	74	7	24	39	30	1136	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
МАТН	39	0	5	34	0	0	1	3	3	9	30	88	1124	80	0	1	10	89	1122	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
WRITING	39	1	12	26	0	0	7	27	18	69	1	4	5.9	62	0	23	68	10	5.3	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

Reading Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership
District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)


Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	39	0	11	28	1	4	10	36	12	43	5	18	1139
District 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	98	2	22	74	5	7	18	24	29	39	22	30	1136
State 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative	11,119 11,043 10,934	116 225 206	466 428 410	10,537 10,390 10,318	3,289 3,422 4,449	33	4,782 4,741 3,960	46	1,571 1,432 1,176	14	895 795 733	8 8 7	1148 1149 1151
Total	33,096	547	1,304	31,245	11,160	36	13,483	43	4,179	13	2,423	8	1149





Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership
District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	•	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	. %	. %	%	Score	N	%	%	. %	%	Score
All Students	39	0	11	28	1	4	10	36	12	43	5	18	1139	74	7	24	39	30	1136	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
Gender								:										! !				 			
Male	11	0	3	8				1						15	0	20	33	47	1135	5,159	37	42	12	9	1149
Female	28	0	8	20	1	. 5	8	40	8	40	3	15	1140	59	8	25	41	25	1136	5,128	49	35	10	5	1153
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		!							1110	0		:	:	. 23	1130	31	13	16	39	32	1137
Race/Ethnicity								:														!			
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	7	11	0	. 0	3	. 27	6	55	2	. 18	1137	33	6	. 18	45	30	1134	2,158	23	44	18	15	1144
Not Hispanic or Latino																				' ' '					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0		:	İ	:					İ	4		:				70	26	41	14	19	1145
Asian	0	0	0	0		:	İ							0		:				319	48	34	12	7	1152
Black or African American	2	0	0	2		:								12	8	25	33	33	1138	882	23	42	21	14	1144
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0								:		0	-					42	38	48	12	2	1149
White	18	0	4	14	1	. 7	6	43	5	36	2	14	1141	22	5	36	36	23	1138	6,546	53	36	8	3	1154
Two or more races	1	0	0	1							-	: ''		3				:	50	270	39	41	13	7	1149
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1		1		! !		! !		0		1		 - 		31	13	16	39	32	1137
LEP Status								:										, 1 ,				1			
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3		:		1						5						377	2	13	31	54	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1										1						61	13	49	25	13	1142
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										1						26	8	81	8	4	1146
All Other Students	35	0	11	24	1	4	9	38	11	46	3	13	1140	67	7	24	42	27	1136	9,854	45	39	11	5	1152
IEP								:										!				!			
Students with an IEP	4	0	2	2			İ							13	0	. 15	. 38	46	1131	1,490	8	37	28	26	1138
All Other Students	35	0	9	26	1	4	10	38	10	38	5	19	1140	61	8	26	39	26	1137	8,828	49	39	9	4	1153
SES												!						!				!			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	0	5	21	0	. 0	10	48	7	33	4	. 19	1140	35	0	37	34	29	1137	4,274	27	44	17	11	1146
All Other Students	13	0	6	7				-						39	13	13	44	31	1136	6,044	54	34	7	4	1154
Migrant								:								:		, , ,				!			
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1		:		:		0		:	:			0		:	:		
All Other Students	39	0	11	28	1	4	10	36	12	43	5	18	1139	74	7	24	39	30	1136	10,318	43	38	11	7	1151
Title I						:										:		!				!			
Students Receiving Title I Services	8	0	3	5										24	4	25	25	46	1133	2,238	22	42	19	16	1144
All Other Students	31	0	8	23	1	4	7	30	11	48	4	17	1139	50	8	24	46	22	1138	8,080	49	37	9	5	1153
504 Plan						:		:								:	:	!				!	:		
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0		:								0		:		: :		255	48	42	. 7	4	1152
All Other Students	39	0	11	28	1	. 4	10	36	12	43	5	18	1139	74	7	24	39	30	1136	10,063	43		12	7	1151

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014**

Mathematics Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership District:

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140-1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations. (Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100-1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	39	0	5	34	0	0	1	3	3	9	30	88	1124
District 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	98	2	16	80	0	0	1	1	8	10	71	89	1122
State 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	11,119 11,043 10,934 33,096	119 181 173 473	422 424 301 1,147	10,578 10,438 10,460 31,476	226 250 359 835	2 2 3 3	2,941 3,298 3,374 9,613		2,755 2,731 2,952 8,438	26 26 28 27	4,656 4,159 3,775 12,590	40	1135 1135 1136 1135

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal P	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	24		<u>+</u>	- :					:	:	:		School
		-			•		:			i			▲ District
Geometry & Measurement	46		-	-			:	:					◆ State
Functions & Algebra	63			•									— StandardError Bar
Tunctions & Augusta		:	:	= =		•	:	:	:	:	:	:	
Data, Statistics, & Probability	25		-	A	-	•							



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership
District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

						Scho	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Le	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4		Level	Level	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level	_	Level 2	Level	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	%	Score	N	%	%	: : %	. %	Score	N	%	. %	: %	: %	Score
All Students	39	0	5	34	0	0	1	3	3	9	30	88	1124	80	0	1	10	89	1122	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Gender						:		1									! !	1				1		! ! !	
Male	11	0	2	9		1		:						17	0	6	6	88	1123	5,229	4	33	. 28	36	1136
Female	28	0	3	25	0	: 0	0	: 0	3	. 12	22	88	1124	63	0 :	0	11	89	1122	5,195	3	32	: 29	36	1136
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		:		:		. 12	22		1112-4	0			: ''		1122	36	0	6	14	81	1124
Race/Ethnicity						1		}		:							:	1						!	
Hispanic or Latino	18	0	3	15	0	; o	1	: 7	0	. 0	14	93	1123	37	0	3	. 5	92	1121	2,203	1	16	27	57	1131
Not Hispanic or Latino						1		:										1		, ·		1			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0				:						4				1		70	0	23	30	47	1132
Asian	0	0	0	0		:		:						0						322	8	39	23	30	1139
Black or African American	2	0	0	2		:		:						12	0	0	8	92	1124	901	<1	13	26	61	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1								0				1		42	0	31	40	29	1136
White	18	0	2	16	0	. 0	0	. 0	3	. 19	13	81	1126	24	0	0	. 17	83	1124	6,614	5	40	29	25	1138
Two or more races	1	0	0	1		:		:			.5		20	3				:		272	3	29	27	42	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1		-						0		 - 	1	1 1		36	0	6	14	81	1124
LEP Status						:		}		:							:	1				!	;	!	
Current LEP student	3	0	0	3		:		:						6			:			408	<1	3	. 6	91	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1	0	0	1		:		1						l 1			:			61	0	13	. 26	61	1132
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		:		1						1 1				1		27	0	11	48	41	1133
All Other Students	35	0	5	30	0	0	1	3	3	10	26	87	1124	72	0	1	11	88	1123	9,964	4	34	29	34	1136
IEP						:												1 1 1				1 1		!	
Students with an IEP	4	0	0	4		:		:					İ	16	0	0	6	94	1121	1,515	<1	5	16	79	1125
All Other Students	35	0	5	30	0	0	1	3	3	10	26	87	1124	64	0	2	11	88	1123	8,945	4	37	30	29	1137
SES																		1 1				1 1	1	!	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	26	0	1	25	0	; o	1	. 4	3	12	21	84	1125	39	0	3	10	87	1125	4,344	1	19	. 29	51	1132
All Other Students	13	0	4	9		:	•	1			-			41	0	0	10	90	1120	6,116	5	42	27	25	1138
Migrant						:		1		:							:	1				1	:	, ! ,	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		;						0	:					0		1	1		
All Other Students	39	0	5	34	0	0	1	3	3	9	30	88	1124	80	0	1	10	89	1122	10,460	3	32	28	36	1136
Title I						-		}		:							:						-	!	
Students Receiving Title I Services	8	0	0	8		:				:				25	0	0	12	88	1123	2,283	1	15	25	59	1130
All Other Students	31	0	5	26	0	0	1	4	3	12	22	85	1123	55	0	2	9	89	1122	8,177	4	37	29	30	1137
504 Plan								1		:							:	1					:	!	
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0		:		:		:				0	:		:	:		260	1	33	34	32	1137
All Other Students	39	0	5	34	0	; 0	1	. 3	3		30	88	1124	80	0	1	10	89	1122	10,200	4	32	. 28	36	1136

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014

Writing Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7-9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	39	1	12	26	0	0	7	27	18	69	1	4	5.9
District 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative Total	98	4	32	62	0	0	14	23	42	68	6	10	5.3
State 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 Cumulative	11,119 11,043 10,934	116 216 203	495 461 468	10,508 10,366 10,263	478 363 1,094	5 4 11	4,830 4,794 5,679	46	4,499 4,601 3,242	43 44 32	701 608 248	7 6 2	6.4 6.4 7.3
Total	33,096	535	1,424	31,137	1,935	6	15,303	49	12,342	40	1,557	5	6.7

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.
2013-14	Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership
District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

		verage	JCOI		COM	Jarisc	лі Бу	Type	OI VVII		ig								
T (1947)				Sch	nool				[Dist	trict					Stat	е		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Scored	Mean Score		0	7	12	Number Scored	Mean Score		0	7	12	Number Scored	Mean Score	0		7	12
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14 (C)	26	5.9			•		62	5.3		-	_		10,263	7.3			•-	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational rext.	2012-13													1,705	6.4		-4		
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making nferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2013-14	6						14	4.4		→			2,543	6.4		-	_	
rraft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational ext.	2012-13 (C)													10,366	6.4		-4		
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2013-14	6						14	4.3		+	_		2,568	7.4		-	•	
n a personal experience, seller, or laca.	2012-13													1,732	7.1		_		
Report (Not assessed in 2013) Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2013-14																		
and thoughts on a locused topic.	2012-13													881	6.8				
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a	2013-14	6						14	4.8		†	-		2,558	6.8		-	-	
repoint of view, to change their fillings about something of to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2012-13													1,726	6.7		_		
Procedure Virting a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an	2013-14	8						20	5.4		-			2,593	7.0		7	-	
audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2012-13													1,700	6.7		_		

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar (______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were scored.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Writing Popults

District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

School:

Writing	Results
---------	---------

			Score Di	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	1
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	10
9	5	4	0	0	1	2	13
8	4	4	3	12	5	8	28
7	4	3	4	15	8	13	14
6	3	3	10	38	17	27	20
5	3	2	7	27	11	18	6
4	2	2	1	4	14	23	6
3	2	1	1	4	2	3	1
2	1	1	0	0	3	5	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	2	<1

Scoring Rubric									
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics								
2	* attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic * little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence * generalizes or lists details * lacks sentence control; uses language poorly * errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting								
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout								
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.								

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2013 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2013-2014 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership
District: Sheila Skip Nowell Leadership

State: Rhode Island Code: 42-28610

CATEGORIES Enrolled Approved Other Tested Level 4 Level 3 Level 2 Level 1 Mean Tested Level 4 3 2 1 Store Level 4 3 3 2 2 1 Store Level 4 3 3 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3				trict			State																			
All Students 39 1 1 12 26 0 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Gender Main Main Main Main Main Main Main Main	Enrolled	REPORTING CATEGORIES		Other		Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1			Tested	. I					Tested				Level	Mean Score
All Students 39 1 12 2 26 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Gender Male 11 1 0 2 9 1 11 0 0 0 5 29 12 71 0 0 0 6.1 47 0 26 68 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 68 6 6 5.5 5,100 13 67 20 4.7 5,135 8 51 37 64 10 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	N I		N			N	: %	N	: %	N :	: %	N	%		N	%	<u></u> %	: : %	%	1	N	%	%	: %	%	
Maile 11		All Students					+	1						5.9						5.3					2	7.3
Male female 28 1 11 0 12 2 9 9 0 5 29 12 71 0 0 6.1 47 0 26 88 6 5.5 5,00 13 60 26 88 6 15.5 5,00 13 76 88 75 9 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		Gender							:									1	1				1 1		 - -	
Female Not Reported	11 (0	2	9		1		1						15	0	13	67	20	47	5 135	8	51	. 37	3	7.0
Not Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		I .	1			0		5	. 29	12	. 71	0		6.1					1						: 1	7.6
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino Markerican Indian or Alaskan Native Asian American American American American Black or African American Bl			1 '	1					25	12	; /1 :			0.1			20	. 00		3.5					29	4.6
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino Markerican Indian or Alaskan Native Asian American American American American Black or African American Bl		Race/Ethnicity							:										1				1		!	
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Aasian Aarian American Indian or Alaskan Native Aasian Aas	18 (-	0	7	11	0	· 0	3	. 27	7	64	1	. 9	5.8	30	0	. 27	60	13	5.2	2.139	5	46	. 45	4	6.6
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Park Awaiian or Pacific Islander White White White Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White White White Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White White White Asian Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White White White Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Native Hawa					1		:	-		1				5.5	"	•				5.12	2,.55	-				0.0
Asian Black or African American			0	0	0		1		1						2						68	10	38	. 49	3	6.7
Black or African American 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0			1 -				1											1	1			1			. 1	7.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0			1	1			1		1							_	. a	. 02	. 0	5.2		1		1	. 5	6.5
White 18 1 5 12 0 0 4 33 8 67 0 0 6.2 17 0 24 76 0 5.7 6.536 13 60 26 Town or more races 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 267 11 53 34 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 <				"			i e		i		i				!	0	, 9	02	, 9	5.2		!	1	1	. 0	7.4
Two or more races							;		;				,	6.3		_		; 70	;				1		1	1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 '			0	; 0	4	; 33	8	, 67	0	. 0	6.2		0	24	, /6	; 0	5.7					1	7.6
LEP Status Current LEP student - monitoring year 1			1				1		1		:							;							2	7.2
Current LEP student - monitoring year 1	0 0	No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0		1		1						0			1	1		28	0	7	64	29	4.6
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1		LEP Status							1										!				Ì	1	 	
Former LEP students — monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 1	Current LEP student	1	0	2		;		;		:				4		:	:	;		358	0	15	68	17	4.9
All Other Students 35 0 12 23 0 0 7 30 15 65 1 4 6.0 56 0 25 66 9 5.4 9,818 11 57 30 1EP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 0 4 11 0 9 73 18 4.8 1,472 1 26 62 All Other Students 35 1 12 22 0 0 0 6 27 15 68 1 5 6.0 51 0 25 67 8 5.4 8,791 12 60 27 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 13 0 6 7 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4,251 5 50 41 All Other Students 13 0 6 7 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 1 6	1 1 (Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	1		:		:		:			İ	1		:	;	:		61	2	57	41	. 0	6.9
All Other Students 35 0 12 23 0 0 7 30 15 65 1 4 6.0 56 0 25 66 9 5.4 9,818 11 57 30 1EP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 0 4 11 0 9 73 18 4.8 1,472 1 26 62 All Other Students 35 1 12 22 0 0 0 6 27 15 68 1 5 6.0 51 0 25 67 8 5.4 8,791 12 60 27 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 13 0 6 7 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4,251 5 50 41 All Other Students 13 0 6 7 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 1 6	0 (Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0		:		1		:				1			:			26	4	54	42	. 0	7.0
Students with an IEP	35 (All Other Students	0	12	23	0	0	7	30	15	65	1	4	6.0	56	0	25	66	9	5.4	9,818	11	57	30	2	7.4
Students with an IEP		IEP							1										1 1 1				1	1	·	
All Other Students 35 1 12 22 0 0 6 27 15 68 1 5 6.0 51 0 25 67 8 5.4 8,791 12 60 27 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 26 1 6 19 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4,251 5 50 41 All Other Students 13 0 6 7 2 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	4 (I .	0	0	4				:						11	0	. g	. 73	18	4.8	1.472	1	26	62	10	5.6
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 26 1 6 19 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4,251 5 50 41 All Other Students 13 0 6 7 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4,251 5 50 41 All Other Students 0 <th< td=""><td></td><td>I .</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>. 0</td><td>6</td><td>. 27</td><td>15</td><td>68</td><td>1</td><td>5</td><td>6.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>7.6</td></th<>		I .				0	. 0	6	. 27	15	68	1	5	6.0											1	7.6
Economically Disadvantaged Students 26 1 6 19 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 32 0 25 66 9 5.4 4.251 5 50 41 All Other Students 13 0 6 7 0 0 6 32 12 63 1 5 5.9 30 0 20 70 10 5.3 6,012 15 59 25 66 9 5.4 4.251 5 50 41 5 59 25 66 7 7 0 10 5.3 6,012 15 59 25 66 7 7 0 10 5.5 6,012 15 59 25 66 7 7 0 10 5.5 6,012 15 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		7 in other stadents					:			"				0.0]					3.1	0,751		:		· '	/.0
All Other Students 13 0 6 7							:		-		:						:	:	:				-	;		
Migrant Migrant Students 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 '</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>; 0</td> <td> 6</td> <td>; 32</td> <td>12</td> <td>; 63</td> <td> 1</td> <td>5</td> <td>5.9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>6.8</td>			1 '	1	1	0	; 0	6	; 32	12	; 63	1	5	5.9					1						4	6.8
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 6 46 44	13 0	All Other Students	0	6	7		1		1		1				30	0	20	70	10	5.3	6,012	15	59	25	2	7.6
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 6 46 44		Migrant							1														į	;		
All Other Students 39 1 12 26 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,263 11 55 32 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 6 21 0 29 62 10 5.5 2,223 6 46 44	0 0	_	0	0	0		1		1						0		:	:	1		0		1	1		
Students Receiving Title Services 8 1 1 6 21 0 29 62 10 5.5 2,223 6 46 44	39 1		1	12		0	0	7	27	18	69	1	4	5.9	62	0	23	68	10	5.3	10,263	11	55	32	2	7.3
Students Receiving Title I Services 8 1 1 6 21 0 29 62 10 5.5 2,223 6 46 44		Title I					:		1														1	1		
							;		i		:] ,,		. 20		10		2 222		10		'	
			1 '	1 -			;	_			. 70		_												5	6.6
All Other Students 31 0 11 20 0 0 5 25 14 70 1 5 5.9 41 0 20 71 10 5.2 8,040 12 58 28	31 (All Other Students	0	11	20	0	. 0	5	25	14	· /0	1	5	5.9	41	0	20	/1	10	5.2	8,040	12	58	28	2	7.4
504 Plan		504 Plan					:		:		:												1	:	. '	
Students with a 504 Plan 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 (Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0		1				:				0		:	1	1		256	7	61	31	1	7.3
All Other Students 39 1 12 26 0 0 7 27 18 69 1 4 5.9 62 0 23 68 10 5.3 10,007 11 55 32	39 1	All Other Students	1	12	26	0	. 0	7	27	18	69	1	4	5.9	62	0	23	68	10	5.3	10,007	11	55	32	2	7.3

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient