## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2012 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### Fall 2012 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP Tests**

**Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013** 

### **School Results**

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

Code: 97-97106



**Grade Level Summary Report** 

School: **Exeter-West Greenwich Regional** 

District: Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: **Rhode Island** Code: 97-97106

DARTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbei	ſ							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		136			136			11,043			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	135	135	135	135	135	135	10,390	10,438	10,366	99	99	99	99	99	99	94	95	94
With an approved accommodation	0	64	0	0	64	0	1,967	2,729	1,275	0	47	0	0	47	0	19	26	12
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	365	416	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	131	88			f 1 1 7			f 1 1 7	27	31	24
IEP Students	11	11	11	11	11	11	1,476	1,464	1,463	8	8	8	8	8	8	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	0	2	0	0	2	0	860	885	848	0	18	0	0	18	0	58	60	58
Students not tested in NECAP	1	1	1	1	1	1	653	605	677	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	5	6
State Approved	1	1	1	1	1	1	225	181	216	100	100	100	100	100	100	34	30	32
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	1	1	1	125	125	125	100	100	100	100	100	100	56	69	58
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	36	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	20	13
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	18	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	5
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	428	424	461	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	70	68

#### NECAD RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Le	vel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	136	1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153	135	44	49	5	1	1153	10,390	33	46	14	8	114
	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141	135	2	59	26	13	1141	10,438	2	32	26	40	113
DNIIIN N	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0	135	3	59	36	1	7.0	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## **Reading Results**

**School**: Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School							:						
2010-11	145	4	1	140	50	36	64	46	20	14	6	4	1150
2011-12	142	1 1	7	134	57	43	64	48	9	7	4 :	3	1152
2012-13	136	1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153
Cumulative Total	423	6	8	409	167	41	194	47	36	9	12	3	1152
District							:						
2010-11	145	4	1	140	50	36	64	46	20	14	6	4	1150
2011-12	142	1 1	7	134	57	43	64	48	9 :	7	4 :	3	1152
2012-13	136	1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153
Cumulative Total	423	6	8	409	167	41	194	47	36	9	12	3	1152
State		:					:						
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
2012-13	11,043	225	428	10,390	3,422	33	4,741	46	1,432	14	795	8	1149
Cumulative Total	33,326	536	1,235	31,555	9,675	31	14,649	46	4,691	15	2,540	8	1148

	Total				Percen	t of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60 :	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	19				:				•	4	<b>-</b>		
ype of Text													● Schoo
Literary	42							•	•	-			▲ Distri
Informational	43							•	<u>+</u>				— Stand Error
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	38								→ →	<b>-</b>			
Analysis & Interpretation	47							-	<u>◆ ;</u>				



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Reading Results

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

DEDVETITO						Scho	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	· : %	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	136	1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153	135	44	49	5	1	1153	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
Gender						:											:	:					:		
Male	58	1 1	0	57	17	30	35	61	3	5	2	4	1150	57	30	61	5	4	1150	5,251	27	48	16	10	1147
Female	78	0	0	78	43	55	31	40	4	5	0	0	1155	78	55	40	5	0	1155	5,136	39	44	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		:		:						0			:	:		3			:		
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	6	1	0	5								· · ·		5						2,042	16	43	23	18	1142
Not Hispanic or Latino	"	'	U	,				:		:								1		2,042	'0	. 45	. 23	. 10	1142
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1 1				1		42	31	50	19	0	1149
Asian	0	0	0	0		:		:						0				1	İ	279	37	37	16	10	1148
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		:					İ			0			:		İ	852	16	44	24	16	1142
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		:				:	İ			0			:		İ	32	19	53	22	6	1146
White	129	0	0	129	56	43	64	50	7	5	2	. 2	1153	129	43	50	5	2	1153	6,930	40	47	10	4	1151
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										0						187	28	50	17	6	1148
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						26	8	15	15	62	1128
LEP Status						:											:						:		
Current LEP student	1	1 1	0	0		:		1						0				1		365	1	8	24	67	1126
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0		0	0				1						0				1		46	0	48	41	11	1138
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		:								0			:			17	0	41	53	6	1139
All Other Students	135	0	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153	135	44	49	5	1	1153	9,962	34	47	13	5	1149
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	12	1 1	0	11	0	. 0	7	64	2	18	2	18	1139	11	0	64	18	18	1139	1,476	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	124	0	0	124	60	. 48	59	. 48	5	. 4	0	. 0	1155	124	48	48	. 4	. 0	1155	8,914	38	48	11	4	1151
All other students	124		Ü	124		. 40	33	. 40					1133	124	10		. 7	:	1133	0,514	30	10	: ''		1131
SES						:																			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	1	0	10	3	30	3	30	3	30	1	10	1145	10	30	30	30	10	1145	3,967	18	47	22	12	1144
All Other Students	125	0	0	125	57	46	63	50	4	3	1	1	1154	125	46	50	3	1	1154	6,423	42	45	8	5	1151
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0				1		0			:		
All Other Students	136	1 1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153	135	44	49	5	1	1153	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
						:											;	:					:		
Title I						:		1		:					:		:	1				1	1		
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1		1	_		_			0				1		2,305	19	43	22	16	1143
All Other Students	136	1 1	0	135	60	44	66	49	7	5	2	1	1153	135	44	49	5	1	1153	8,085	37	46	11	5	1150
504 Plan						:											:	:							
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5				:		:				5	:					245	30	58	10	2	1150
All Other Students	131	1 1	0	130	57	44	64	49	7	5	2	2	1153	130	44	49	5	2	1153	10,145	33		14	8	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



### **Mathematics Results**

**School**: Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152-1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2010-11	145	3	1	141	7	5	87	62	22	16	25	18	1141
2011-12	142	1	7	134	4	3	69	51	38	28	23	17	1140
2012-13	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141
Cumulative Total	423	5	8	410	14	3	236	58	95	23	65	16	1141
District						:							
2010-11	145	3	1	141	7	5	87	62	22	16	25	18	1141
2011-12	142	1	7	134	4	3	69	51	38	28	23	17	1140
2012-13	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141
Cumulative Total	423	5	8	410	14	3	236	58	95	23	65	16	1141
State						:							
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
2012-13	11,043	181	424	10,438	250	2	3,298	32	2,731	26	4,159	40	1135
Cumulative Total	33,326	456	1,182	31,688	748	2	9,463	30	8,580	27	12,897	41	1135

	Total				Perce	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	21	:	:		- 1	<b>●</b> ;			:				<ul><li>School</li></ul>
		-					: -	•		1			▲ District
Geometry & Measurement	41		:	:	:			<u> </u>	:		:		◆ State
Functions & Algebra	53		:			•	-	<u>◆ :</u>		1			— Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21					•	*	- -					



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District**: Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	%	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141	135	2	59	26	13	1141	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
Gender																		1				1			
Male	58	1 1	0	57	2	4	32	56	13	23	10	18	1142	57	4	56	23	18	1142	5,271	3	33	24	39	1136
Female	78	0	0	78	1	1	48	62	22	28	7	9	1141	78	1	62	28	9	1141	5,162	2	30	28	40	1135
Not Reported	0	0	0	0								: :		0						5					
Race/Ethnicity												!										i !			
Hispanic or Latino	6	1	0	5		1		1		:				5			:	1		2,082	<1	13	; 23	64	1130
Not Hispanic or Latino						1		;		:							;					i .	1		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		1		;		:				1			;			42	2	26	; 26	45	1136
Asian	0	0	0	0				;		:		:		0				1		286	6	34	; 27	34	1137
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		1		;		:				0		:		1		858	0	14	; 21	64	1130
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	_	1		;						0	_					31	0	19	; 35	45	1136
White	129	0	0	129	3	; 2	75	; 58	35	27	16	; 12	1141	129	2	; 58	27	12	1141	6,926	3	40	28	29	1138
Two or more races	0	0	0	0		1		;						0		:	;			187	4	24	20	52	1135
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1		:						0		:		· ·		26	0	0	15	85	1123
LEP Status			_	_				1				: :		_				1			_		1		l '
Current LEP student	1	1 1	0	0				;		:				0			:	1		416	<1	3	6	90	1122
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1		;		:				0		:				47	0	9	23	68	1130
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0	_	;	00		3.5		4.7	42	4444	0					4444	17	0	6	; 24	71	1129
All Other Students	135	0	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141	135	2	59	26	13	1141	9,958	3	33	27	38	1136
IEP																						1			
Students with an IEP	12	1 1	0	11	0	; 0	1	; 9	2	18	8	; 73	1131	11	0	; 9	18	73	1131	1,464	<1	5	; 12	83	1125
All Other Students	124	0	0	124	3	2	79	64	33	27	9	7	1142	124	2	64	27	7	1142	8,974	3	36	28	33	1137
SES												: :						1				1	:		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	1 1	0	10	0	; 0	4	; 40	1	; 10	5	; 50	1135	10	0	; 40	; 10	50	1135	4,001	<1	17	; 24	58	1131
All Other Students	125	0	0	125	3	2	76	61	34	27	12	10	1142	125	2	61	27	10	1142	6,437	4	41	27	28	1138
Migrant						:		1		:						:	:	1					:		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		;		:				0		:				0		i i	;		l
All Other Students	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141	135	2	59	26	13	1141	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
Title I								1									:	!				1	1		
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1		:		:		:		0		:	:			2,348	1	17	22	61	1131
All Other Students	136	1	0	135	3	2	80	59	35	26	17	13	1141	135	2	59	26	13	1141	8,090	3	36	27	34	1137
504 Plan								1										1 1 1				1	:		
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5		:	İ	:						5		:		1		245	3	28	33	36	1137
All Other Students	131	1 1	0	130	3	2	78	60	32	25	17	13	1141	130	2	60	25	13	1141	10,193	2		26	40	1135
						1	1	1						1		1	1			1			1		1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## **Writing Results**

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

### (Raw Score 10-12)

Proficient (Level 3)
Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2010-11	145	4	1	140	4	3	93	66	43	31	0	0	7.2
2011-12	142	1 :	7	134	14	10	84	63	36	27	0	0	7.6
2012-13	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0
Cumulative Total	423	6	8	409	22	5	257	63	128	31	2	<1	7.3
District													
2010-11	145	4	1	140	4	3	93	66	43	31	0	0	7.2
2011-12	142	1	7	134	14	10	84	63	36	27	0	0	7.6
2012-13	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0
Cumulative Total	423	6	8	409	22	5	257	63	128	31	2	<1	7.3
State						:							
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
2012-13	11,043	216	461	10,366	363	4	4,794	46	4,601	44	608	6	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,326	521	1,337	31,468	960	3	14,893	47	13,780	44	1,835	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



## Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Writing Results

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

#### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§ School District State Type of Writing Number Mean Number Mean Number Mean Tested 12 12 12 Tested Score Tested Score Tested Score **Response to Literary Text** 2012-13 135 135 10,366 6.4 7.0 7.0 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making (C) inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2011-12 20 7.3 20 7.3 1,304 6.4 text. Response to Informational Text 2012-13 23 7.4 23 7.4 1,705 6.4 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2011-12 17 7.0 17 7.0 1.330 6.0 Reflective Essav 2012-13 27 7.8 27 7.8 1.732 7.1 A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea. 2011-12 18 7.8 18 7.8 1.317 6.7 Report 2012-13 13 7.2 13 7.2 881 6.8 Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic. 2011-12 18 6.8 18 6.8 1,317 6.7 Persuasive Essav 2012-13 23 7.9 23 7.9 1,726 6.7 Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer 2011-12 134 134 10,508 6.4 7.6 7.6 supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience. (C) Procedure 2012-13 22 22 7.6 6.7 7.6 1,700 Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all 2011-12 15 15 1.300 6.9 6.9 6.6 necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

<sup>(</sup>C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The shows this year's score and the black bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



## Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Writing Results

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

			Score Di	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	1	1	1	1	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	<1
10	5	5	3	2	3	2	3
9	5	4	17	13	17	13	7
8	4	4	33	24	33	24	21
7	4	3	30	22	30	22	18
6	3	3	33	24	33	24	25
5	3	2	14	10	14	10	10
4	2	2	2	1	2	1	10
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Scoring Rubric
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Writing Results

**School:** Exeter-West Greenwich Regional

**District:** Exeter-W. Greenwich

State: Rhode Island Code: 97-97106

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School													District							State					
	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2				Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level	Level 2	Level 1	Meai Score	
					N :	%	N	: %	N	%	N	%		N	%	: %	· : %	%		N	%	%	%	%		
All Students	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0	135	3	59	36	1	7.0	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4	
Gender																										
Male	58	1 1	0	57	2	. 4	34	60	19	33	2	4	7.0	57	4	60	33	4	7.0	5,232	3	39	49	8	6.1	
Female	78	0	0	78	2	3	46	59	30	38	0	0	7.1	78	3	59	38	0	7.1	5,131	4	53	40	3	6.8	
Not Reported	0	0	Ö	0	_									0					'	3	·				5.5	
Race/Ethnicity								:									:					!	:			
Hispanic or Latino	6	1	0	5		:				:				5		:	:	:		2,036	1	33	54	12	5.7	
Not Hispanic or Latino		_	_	_		:		;						١.										_		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		:		;		:				1			:			42	2	43	52	2	6.5	
Asian	0	0	0	0		:		;		:				0		;	:			281	5	47	42	6	6.6	
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		:		:		:				0				1		848	1	33	; 56	10	5.8	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0		:		1		31	3	35	58	3	6.4	
White	129	0	0	129	4	; 3	76	; 59	47	36	2	2	7.1	129	3	59	; 36	2	7.1	6,918	4	52	; 40	4	6.7	
Two or more races	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0		:				187	4	43	49	4	6.4	
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0				1						0			į			23	0	13	17	70	3.3	
LEP Status																						!				
Current LEP student	1	1 1	0	0										0			:			361	0	7	52	41	3.8	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:		:						0						47	0	23	68	9	5.7	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						17	0	24	71	6	5.6	
All Other Students	135	0	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0	135	3	59	36	1	7.0	9,941	4	48	44	5	6.5	
IEP																		1				1 1 1				
Students with an IEP	12	1 1	0	11	0	. 0	1	9	9	82	1	9	5.4	11	0	9	82	9	5.4	1,463	<1	14	64	22	4.8	
All Other Students	124	0	0	124	4	. 3	79	: 64	40	32	1	1	7.2	124	3	64	32	. 1	7.2	8,903	4	51	41	3	6.7	
All Other Students	124		0	124	4		13	. 04	40		<b>'</b>	'	7.2	124	ر	. 04	, J <u>Z</u>	. '	7.2	0,903	4	וכ	. 41	ر	0.7	
SES								1															;			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	11	1	0	10	0	; 0	4	; 40	5	; 50	1	10	5.9	10	0	; 40	; 50	10	5.9	3,961	1	35	; 55	8	5.9	
All Other Students	125	0	0	125	4	3	76	61	44	35	1	1	7.1	125	3	61	35	1	7.1	6,405	5	53	38	4	6.8	
Migrant								1																		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1						0			:			0						
All Other Students	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0	135	3	59	36	1	7.0	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4	
Title I																		1				!				
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0			l	1						0				1		2,297	2	37	51	10	5.9	
All Other Students	136	1	0	135	4	3	80	59	49	36	2	1	7.0	135	3	59	36	1	7.0	8,069	4	49	43	5	6.6	
FOA Plan																										
504 Plan	-			_				į.						_						245	,	46	40			
Students with a 504 Plan	5	0	0	5						. 20	_	_	7.	5	_		. 20		7.	245	2	46	48	4	6.4	
All Other Students	131	1	0	130	4	. 3	77	59	47	36	2	2	7.0	130	3	59	· 36	. 2	7.0	10,121	4	46	44	6	6.4	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient