About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2012 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required

to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2012 **Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests**

Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

School Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport

Code: 21-21111



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island Code: 21-21111

DADTICIDATION :- NECAD					Numbe	r							Po	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	i		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		127			136			11,043			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	102	107	101	109	113	107	10,390	10,438	10,366	80	84	80	80	83	79	94	95	94
With an approved accommodation	7	7	7	14	13	13	1,967	2,729	1,275	7	7	7	13	12	12	19	26	12
Current LEP Students	1	2	1	1	2	1	365	416	361	1	2	1	1	2	1	4	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	97	131	88	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	31	24
IEP Students	8	8	8	15	14	14	1,476	1,464	1,463	8	7	8	14	12	13	14	14	14
With an approved accommodation	7	7	7	14	13	13	860	885	848	88	88	88	93	93	93	58	60	58
Students not tested in NECAP	25	20	26	27	23	29	653	605	677	20	16	20	20	17	21	6	5	6
State Approved	8	7	8	9	8	9	225	181	216	32	35	31	33	35	31	34	30	32
Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	6	6	6	125	125	125	63	71	63	67	75	67	56	69	58
First Year LEP	1	0	1	1	0	1	54	0	52	13	0	13	11	0	11	24	0	24
Withdrew After October 1	2	2	2	2	2	2	31	36	28	25	29	25	22	25	22	14	20	13
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<1	1	<1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	18	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	5
Other	17	13	18	18	15	20	428	424	461	68	65	69	67	65	69	66	70	68

NECAD RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ite		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	127	8	17	102	35	34	52	51	12	12	3	3	1151	109	32	50	12	6	1149	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
МАТН	127	7	13	107	2	2	27	25	37	35	41	38	1135	113	2	24	33	42	1134	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
WRITING	127	8	18	101	1	1	52	51	45	45	3	3	6.4	107	1	49	43	7	6.2	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

Reading Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport
State: Rhode Island
Code: 21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2010-11	124	2	1	121	24	20	71	59	20	17	6	5	1146
2011-12	152	1 1	1	150	37	25	70	47	28	19	15	10	1146
2012-13	127	8	17	102	35	34	52	51	12	12	3	3	1151
Cumulative Total	403	11	19	373	96	26	193	52	60	16	24	6	1147
District													
2010-11	130	2	1	127	24	19	71	56	23	18	9	7	1145
2011-12	155	2	1	152	37	24	70	46	28	18	17	11	1146
2012-13	136	9	18	109	35	32	54	50	13	12	7	6	1149
Cumulative Total	421	13	20	388	96	25	195	50	64	16	33	9	1147
State													
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
2012-13	11,043	225	428	10,390	3,422	33	4,741	46	1,432	14	795	8	1149
Cumulative Total	33,326	536	1,235	31,555	9,675	31	14,649	46	4,691	15	2,540	8	1148

	Total				Percen	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60 :	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	19		:		:				- 4	•			
Type of Text													School
Literary	42							-	•				▲ Distri
Informational	43							<u>-</u>	*				— Stand Error
evel of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	38								*				
Analysis & Interpretation	47							_	•				



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island **Code**: 21-21111

						Scho	ol									Dist	trict						Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	/el 3	Lev	/el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level	1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	_	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	127	8	17	102	35	34	52	51	12	12	3	3	1151	109	32	50	12	6	6	1149	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
Gender						:						! !					!	1					! ! !		! ! !	
Male	56	5	11	40	15	[:] 38	19	48	3	. 8	3	. 8	1151	44	34	48		9	9	1150	5,251	27	48	16	10	1147
Female	71	3	6	62	20	32	33	53	9	15	0	. 0	1151	65	31	51	14	5	5	1149	5,136	39	44	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0			:	1			3		! !			
Race/Ethnicity																							! !		!	
Hispanic or Latino	19	2	5	12	3	; 25	6	; 50	2	; 17	1	; 8	1150	15	20	47	; 13	20	20	1144	2,042	16	43	; 23	18	1142
Not Hispanic or Latino						1		;		:				ļ			:	1						1		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		:		;						1		:	;	1			42	31	50	; 19	0	1149
Asian	0	0	0	0				;						0		:	;	1			279	37	37	; 16	10	1148
Black or African American	28	2	5	21	5	; 24	13	; 62	1	; 5	2	; 10	1148	24	21	; 54	; 8	17	17	1145	852	16	44	; 24	16	1142
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1		1		;						1		:	;	1			32	19	53	; 22	6	1146
White	73	4	7	62	27	; 44	28	; 45	7	; 11	0	; 0	1152	63	43	; 46	; 11	0	0	1152	6,930	40	47	; 10	4	1151
Two or more races	5	0	0	5		1		1						5		:	1	1			187	28	50	17	6	1148
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0				:		:		:		0			:				26	8	15	15	62	1128
LEP Status			_			:				:		! !					:	1				_				
Current LEP student	2	1	0	1				1		:				1 1			:	1			365	1	8	24	67	1126
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1		;		:		:		0		:	:	1			46	0	48	; 41	11	1138
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0	25			-	4.2				4454	0	22		12		_	4440	17	0	41	53	6	1139
All Other Students	125	7	17	101	35	35	52	51	12	12	2	2	1151	108	32	50	12	6	6	1149	9,962	34	47	13	5	1149
IEP		_						:		:										4422						4425
Students with an IEP	13	5	0	8	25		40						4453	15	0	33	; 27	40		1133	1,476	4	34	; 33	29	1136
All Other Students	114	3	17	94	35	37	49	52	9	: 10	1	; 1	1152	94	37	52	10	1	1	1152	8,914	38	48	11	4	1151
SES												:					1									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	51	7	6	38	7	; 18	24	; 63	5	; 13	2	; 5	1146	42	17	62	12	10		1145	3,967	18	47	; 22	12	1144
All Other Students	76	1	11	64	28	: 44	28	: 44	7	11	1	2	1153	67	42	42	12	4	4	1152	6,423	42	45	8	5	1151
Migrant						-		:		:		! !					:	1					 - 	1	 - 	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0		:	:	1			0			:		
All Other Students	127	8	17	102	35	34	52	; 51	12	12	3	; 3	1151	109	32	50	12	6	6	1149	10,390	33	46	14	8	1149
Title I								:										1						1		
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:		:						0		:	:	1			2,305	19	43	22	16	1143
All Other Students	127	8	17	102	35	. 34	52	51	12	12	3		1151	109	32	50	12	6	6	1149	8,085	37	46	11	5	1150
										: -	-			""			:		1		-,,,,,,					
504 Plan						1								l				1			ĺ		! !	1		
Students with a 504 Plan	1	0	0	1		1		:		:		:		1		:	:				245	30	58	10	2	1150
All Other Students	126	8	17	101	35	35	51	50	12	12	3	3	1151	108	32	49	12	6	6	1149	10,145	33		14	8	1148
						:		:		:		:		I		:	:	:			l .			:	:	1

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013

Mathematics Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island Code: 21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School		:									:		
2010-11	124	0	1	123	0	0	24	20	42	34	57	46	1132
2011-12	152	2	0	150	2	1	38	25	37	25	73 :	49	1134
2012-13	127	7	13	107	2	2	27	25	37	35	41	38	1135
Cumulative Total	403	9	14	380	4	1	89	23	116	31	171	45	1134
District		: :											
2010-11	130	0	1	129	0	0	24	19	42	33	63	49	1131
2011-12	155	3 :	0	152	2	1	38	25	37	24	75 :	49	1134
2012-13	136	8	15	113	2	2	27	24	37	33	47	42	1134
Cumulative Total	421	11	16	394	4	1	89	23	116	29	185	47	1133
State													
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
2012-13	11,043	181	424	10,438	250	2	3,298	32	2,731	26	4,159	40	1135
Cumulative Total	33,326	456	1,182	31,688	748	2	9,463	30	8,580	27	12,897	41	1135

	Total				Percei	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	21			-	-								School
Geometry & Measurement	41			•	" - *								▲ District ◆ State
	52				_	•							— Standard Error Bar
Functions & Algebra	53		:	:		•		:	:				LITOI Dai
Data, Statistics, & Probability	21				- : -	•							



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island **Code:** 21-21111

CATEGORIES N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N							Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
All Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 10,438 2 32 25 40 1135 Make favorable of Pagorder Library Corrections (Pagorder) (Pagorder		Enrolled	1		Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	vel 1		Tested				Level		Tested				Level 1	Mean Scaled
Gender Mole		N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	1	N	%	: %	: %	: %	4	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score
Male	All Students	127	7	13	107	2	2	27	25	37	35	41	38	1135	113	2	24	33	42	1134	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
Fenale Not Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Gender						}						! !						1				1		! ! !	
Female Not Reported	Male	56	5	8	43	1	. · 2	15	35	13	30	14	. 33	1136	48	2	31	. 27	40	1135	5.271	3	33	. 24	39	1136
Not Recorded O			2			1								1					1							1135
Hispanic or Laino Not Hispanic or Laino Not Hispanic or Laino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Not Hispanic or Laino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Not Hispanic or Laino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Not Hispanic or Laino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Not Hispanic or Laino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Not Hispanic or Laino Asian Not Laino Asian Asian Not Laino Asian		1					: -		-							_						_				
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indianor Alaskan Native American Indianor Indi	Race/Ethnicity										:													:	!	
Asian Anerican Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Hispanic or Latino	19	2	4	13	0	. 0	2	15	6	46	5	38	1135	14	0	14	43	43	1135	2,082	<1	13	23	64	1130
Asian	Not Hispanic or Latino										:		:						į.				i			
Black or African American Native Hanyalian or Pacific Islander Native Hanyalian Or Native Hanyalian or Pacific Islander Na	American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1						:		:		1		:		į.		42	2	26	26	45	1136
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White	Asian	0	0	0	0		:				:				0			:			286	6	34	27	34	1137
White 73 3 6 64 2 3 22 34 21 33 19 30 1137 655 3 34 32 31 1137 6,026 3 40 28 29 113	Black or African American	28	2	3	23	0	0	1	4	8	35	14	61	1130	26	0	4	31	65	1129	858	0	14	21	64	1130
Two or more races 5	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1				1						1				i		31	0	19	35	45	1136
No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	White	73	3	6	64	2	3	22	34	21	33	19	30	1137	65	3	34	32	31	1137	6,926	3	40	28	29	1138
LEP Status	Two or more races	5	0	0	5				1						6				ì		187	4	24	20	52	1135
Current LEP student	No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		1		:						0			į			26	0	0	15	85	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	LEP Status																						1		!	
Former LEP Students - monitoring year 2	Current LEP student	2	0	0	2						:		:		2				i		416	<1	3	6	90	1122
All Other Students	Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1						0				i		47	0	9	23	68	1130
IEP Students with an IEP 13 5 0 8 2 2 26 26 36 36 35 35 1136 99 2 26 36 35 1136 8,974 3 36 28 33 113 113 113 113 12 24 35 1134 10,438 2 32 32 34 1134 10,438 2 32 34 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 1135 113 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1	Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1						0						17	0	6	24	71	1129
Students with an IEP	All Other Students	125	7	13	105	2	2	27	26	37	35	39	37	1135	111	2	24	33	41	1135	9,958	3	33	27	38	1136
All Other Students 114 2 13 99 2 2 26 26 36 35 35 1136 99 2 26 36 35 1136 8,974 3 36 28 33 113 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 51 6 5 40 0 0 6 15 13 33 21 53 1131 42 0 14 31 55 1131 4,001 <1 17 24 58 113 All Other Students 76 1 8 67 2 3 21 31 24 36 20 30 1137 71 3 30 34 34 1136 6,437 4 41 27 28 113 Migrant Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	IEP																								!	
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 51 6 5 40 0 0 0 6 15 13 33 21 53 1131 42 0 14 31 55 1131 4,001 <1 17 24 58 113 All Other Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Students with an IEP	13	5	0	8				1						14	0	7	7	86	1123	1,464	<1	5	12	83	1125
Economically Disadvantaged Students	All Other Students	114	2	13	99	2	2	26	26	36	36	35	35	1136	99	2	26	36	35	1136	8,974	3	36	28	33	1137
All Other Students 76 1 8 67 2 3 21 31 24 36 20 30 1137 71 3 30 34 34 1136 6,437 4 41 27 28 113 Migrant Migrant Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 10,438 2 32 26 40 113 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113 Students with a 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1	SES						1																1			
Migrant Migrant Students 0 113 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 10,438 2 32 26 40 113 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2,348 1 17 22 61 113 All Other Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113	Economically Disadvantaged Students	51	6	5	40	0	0	6	15	13	33	21	53	1131	42	0	14	31	55	1131	4,001	<1	17	24	58	1131
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	All Other Students	76	1	8	67	2	3	21	31	24	36	20	30	1137	71	3	30	34	34	1136	6,437	4	41	27	28	1138
All Other Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 10,438 2 32 26 40 113 Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Migrant						-		-									1	1				1	1	! !	
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1 1 1 245 3 28 33 36 113	Migrant Students	0	0	0	0						:		:		0				į.		0					
Students Receiving Title I Services 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113 504 Plan 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 245 3 28 33 36 113	All Other Students	127	7	13	107	2	2	27	25	37	35	41	38	1135	113	2	24	33	42	1134	10,438	2	32	26	40	1135
All Other Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1	Title I								:								:		1				1		! !	
All Other Students 127 7 13 107 2 2 27 25 37 35 41 38 1135 113 2 24 33 42 1134 8,090 3 36 27 34 113 504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1	Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0				1						0			1			2,348	1	17		61	1131
Students with a 504 Plan 1 0 0 1 245 3 28 33 36 113	All Other Students	127	7	13	107	2	2	27	25	37	35	41	38	1135	113	2	24	33	42	1134	8,090	3	36	27	34	1137
							:		:		:						:		1				1	:	! !	
A NOAL		1					:		1		:		:				:	1	1		1					1137
All Other Students 126 7 13 106 2 ; 2 27 ; 25 36 ; 34 41 ; 39 1135 112 2 ; 24 ; 32 ; 42 1134 10,193 2 ; 32 ; 26 ; 40 113	All Other Students	126	7	13	106	2	2	27	25	36	34	41	39	1135	112	2	24	32	42	1134	10,193	2	32	26	40	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013**

Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island Code:

21-21111

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10–12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2-3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2010-11	124	3	1	120	3	3	51	43	61	51	5	4	6.3
2011-12	152	1 1	1	150	5	3	59	39	72	48	14 :	9	6.1
2012-13	127	8	18	101	1	1	52	51	45	45	3	3	6.4
Cumulative Total	403	12	20	371	9	2	162	44	178	48	22	6	6.2
District													
2010-11	130	3	1	126	3	2	51	40	63	50	9	7	6.1
2011-12	155	2	1	152	5	3	59	39	72	47	16	11	6.1
2012-13	136	9	20	107	1	1	52	49	46	43	8	7	6.2
Cumulative Total	421	14	22	385	9	2	162	42	181	47	33	9	6.1
State													
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
2012-13	11,043	216	461	10,366	363	4	4,794	46	4,601	44	608	6	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,326	521	1,337	31,468	960	3	14,893	47	13,780	44	1,835	6	6.4

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.
2012-13	Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island Code: 21-21111

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing §

A۱	verage	Scor	e (ompari	ison b	y Type	ot Wr	riting ³							
			Sch	ool			1	District					State		
Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	()	7 12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
2012-13 (C)	101	6.4		-		107	6.2		-		10,366	6.4		-	
2011-12	18	5.7			-	18	5.7] .			1,304	6.4			
2012-13	15	6.9		-	-	16	6.6		-	•	1,705	6.4		-	
2011-12	19	5.8			-	19	5.8	-			1,330	6.0			
2012-13	11	7.7		-	•	12	7.4		•	1	1,732	7.1		-	
2011-12	20	6.7				20	6.7		+		1,317	6.7			
2012-13	13	6.1		-	_	13	6.1		-		881	6.8		-	
2011-12	19	6.7				20	6.6		+		1,317	6.7			
2012-13	14	6.4		-	-	15	6.4		-		1,726	6.7		-	
2011-12 (C)	150	6.1			-	152	6.1		-		10,508	6.4			
2012-13	11	5.9		-	_	13	5.5	-	•		1,700	6.7		-	
2011-12	19	5.9				20	5.9				1,300	6.6			
	Tested 2012-13 (C) 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13 2011-12 2012-13	Tested Number Tested 2012-13	Tested Number Score 2012-13 101 6.4 2011-12 18 5.7 2012-13 15 6.9 2011-12 19 5.8 2012-13 11 7.7 2011-12 20 6.7 2012-13 13 6.1 2011-12 19 6.7 2012-13 14 6.4 2011-12 150 6.1 2012-13 11 5.9	Tested Number Tested Mean Score 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 2011-12 18 5.7 2012-13 15 6.9 2011-12 19 5.8 2012-13 11 7.7 2011-12 20 6.7 2012-13 13 6.1 2011-12 19 6.7 2012-13 14 6.4 2011-12 (C) 150 6.1 2012-13 11 5.9	Tested Number Tested Score Mean Score 0 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 ■ 2011-12 18 5.7 ■ ■ 2012-13 15 6.9 ■ ■ 2011-12 19 5.8 ■ ■ 2012-13 11 7.7 ■ ■ 2011-12 20 6.7 ■ ■ 2012-13 13 6.1 ■ ■ 2011-12 19 6.7 ■ ■ 2012-13 14 6.4 ■ ■ 2011-12 (C) 150 6.1 ■ ■ 2012-13 11 5.9 ■ ■	School Tested Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 12 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 — — — 2011-12 18 5.7 5.7 — — — 2011-12 19 5.8 — — — — 2011-12 20 6.7 — — — — — 2011-12 19 6.7 —	School Tested Number Tested Number Tested 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 107 2011-12 18 5.7 18 2012-13 15 6.9 16 2011-12 19 5.8 19 2012-13 11 7.7 20 2011-12 20 6.7 20 2012-13 13 6.1 3 2011-12 19 6.7 20 2012-13 14 6.4 15 2011-12 (C) 150 6.1 2012-13 11 5.9 13	School Tested Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 12 Number Tested Mean Score 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 — 107 6.2 2011-12 18 5.7 18 5.7 2012-13 15 6.9 — 16 6.6 2011-12 19 5.8 19 5.8 2012-13 11 7.7 — 12 7.4 2011-12 20 6.7 20 6.7 2012-13 13 6.1 — 13 6.1 2011-12 19 6.7 20 6.6 2012-13 14 6.4 — 15 6.4 2011-12 (C) 150 6.1 152 6.1 2012-13 11 5.9 — 13 5.5	Tested Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 12 Number Tested Mean Score 0 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 — 107 6.2 — 2011-12 18 5.7 18 5.7 — <td>School District Tested Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 12 Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 107 6.2 </td> <td> School District </td> <td> Tested Number Mean Score O 7 12 Number Tested Tested </td> <td> School District Number School Core Core</td> <td> School District State State Number Tested Score O 7 12 Number Mean Tested Score O 7 12 Number Tested Score O Tested Tested Score O Tested Tested Tested Tested Score O Tested Tes</td> <td> Tested Number Score O 7 12 Number Score O 7 Number Score O Number</td>	School District Tested Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 12 Number Tested Mean Score 0 7 2012-13 (C) 101 6.4 107 6.2	School District	Tested Number Mean Score O 7 12 Number Tested Tested	School District Number School Core Core	School District State State Number Tested Score O 7 12 Number Mean Tested Score O 7 12 Number Tested Score O Tested Tested Score O Tested Tested Tested Tested Score O Tested Tes	Tested Number Score O 7 12 Number Score O 7 Number Score O Number

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 21-21111

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	1	1	1	1	<1
10	5	5	0	0	0	0	3
9	5	4	8	8	8	7	7
8	4	4	12	12	12	11	21
7	4	3	32	32	32	30	18
6	3	3	21	21	22	21	25
5	3	2	14	14	14	13	10
4	2	2	10	10	10	9	10
3	2	1	2	2	3	3	2
2	1	1	0	0	4	4	3
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1

Scoring Rubric									
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics								
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics								
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting								
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout								
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.								

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2012 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2012-2013 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Rogers High School

District: Newport State: Rhode Island **Code**: 21-21111

	School															rict		State							
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2				Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	· %		N	%	: %	: %	: %		N	%	%	: %	%	
All Students	127	8	18	101	1	1	52	51	45	45	3	3	6.4	107	1	49	43	7	6.2	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4
Gender																		! !				! !	:		
Male	56	5	12	39	0	. 0	18	46	19	49	2	5	6.2	43	0	42	47	12	5.9	5,232	3	39	49	8	6.1
Female	71	3	6	62	1	: 2	34	55	26	42	1	. 2	6.5	64	2	53	41	. 5	6.4	5,131	4	53	49	3	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			54	:	20	. 72	'		0.5	0	_	: 33		:	0.4	3	7	. 55	. 40		0.0
Race/Ethnicity								1		:												I			
Hispanic or Latino	19	2	6	11	1		6	55	3	27	1	9	6.8	13	8	46	23	23	6.1	2,036	1	33	54	12	5.7
Not Hispanic or Latino	19	2	0	'''	'	. 9	6	. 55)	. 21	'	. 9	0.0	13	°	. 40	. 23	. 23	0.1	2,030	'	. 33	. 34	12	5.7
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1										1 1						42	2	43	52	2	6.5
Asian	0	0	0	0										l ö						281	5	47	42	6	6.6
Black or African American	28	2	4	22	0	. 0	10	45	10	45	2	9	6.0	25	0	40	40	20	5.6	848	1	33	56	10	5.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1		:	"	. 13	"	. 13	1	:	0.0	1	"	. 10		. 20	3.0	31	3	35	58	3	6.4
White	73	4	8	61	0	. 0	33	54	28	46	0	. 0	6.5	62	0	53	47	. 0	6.5	6,918	4	52	40	4	6.7
Two or more races	5	0	0	5			"		20				0.5	5					0.5	187	4	43	49	4	6.4
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0		-		1				1		0		:	1	1		23	0	13	17	70	3.3
LEP Status						:		1		:		:				:	:					!	:		
Current LEP student	2	1	0	1				1						1						361	0	7	52	41	3.8
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1						l ò		:				47	0	23	68	9	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1						1 0		:				17	0	24	71	6	5.6
All Other Students	125	7	18	100	1	1	52	52	45	45	2	2	6.5	106	1	49	43	7	6.2	9,941	4	48	44	5	6.5
										:						:		1 1 1				I			
Students with an IEP	13	5	0	8										14	0	. 7	50	43	4.1	1,463	<1	14	64	22	4.8
All Other Students	114	3	18	93	1	. 1	51	55	39	42	2	. 2	6.5	93	1	55	42	2	6.5	8,903	4	51	41	3	6.7
All other students	''-		10	33			"	:	33	. 72			0.5	33	'	. 33	. 72		0.5	0,505	7			,	0.7
SES						;		1		:		:				:	:						:		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	51	7	5	39	1	; 3	14	; 36	23	; 59	1	; 3	6.0	42	2	; 33	57	7	5.8	3,961	1	35	; 55	8	5.9
All Other Students	76	1	13	62	0	0	38	61	22	35	2	3	6.6	65	0	58	34	8	6.4	6,405	5	53	38	4	6.8
Migrant								1		:		:				:	:						!		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1		:		:		0		:	:	:		0					
All Other Students	127	8	18	101	1	1	52	51	45	45	3	3	6.4	107	1	49	43	7	6.2	10,366	4	46	44	6	6.4
Title I																		, ,				!			
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0		:				2,297	2	37	51	10	5.9
All Other Students	127	8	18	101	1	. 1	52	51	45	45	3	. 3	6.4	107	1	49	43	. 7	6.2	8,069	4	49	43	5	6.6
7 iii Galei Stadellis	'2'		'0	101	'	: '	52	; ,,	75			;	0.4	'''	'	. 73	, 45	. 1	0.2	0,003	,		5	,	0.0
504 Plan						:		1		:		:				:	:					! !	:		
Students with a 504 Plan	1	0	0	1				1		:				1 1	l	:	:			245	2	46	48	4	6.4
All Other Students	126	8	18	100	1	1	52	52	44	44	3	. 3	6.4	106	1	49	42	8	6.2	10,121	4	46	44	6	6.4
		1			1	1			1		-									,				-	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient