About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP Tests**

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

Code: 98-98108



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

State: **Rhode Island** Code: 98-98108

DADTICIDATION : NECAD					Numbe	r							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District	t		State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		9			300			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	9	9	9	292	294	292	10,537	10,578	10,508	100	100	100	97	98	97	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	1	0	0	66	72	21	1,630	2,051	1,323	11	0	0	23	24	7	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	380	345	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54			r 1 1		r	f 1 1	21	17	16
IEP Students	4	4	4	28	28	28	1,634	1,634	1,619	44	44	44	10	10	10	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	1	0	0	22	22	20	864	915	867	25	0	0	79	79	71	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	0	0	0	8	6	8	582	541	611	0	0	0	3	2	3	5	5	5
State Approved	0	0	0	1	1	1	116	119	116			1	13	17	13	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	1	1	1	98	98	98				100	100	100	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3				0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3				0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1				0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11			1	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	0	0	0	7	5	7	466	422	495				88	83	88	80	78	81

NECAD RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ite		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Le	vel 3	Lev	vel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	9	0	0	9										292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
МАТН	9	0	0	9										294	3	49	28	20	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	9	0	0	9										292	10	58	32	1	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Reading Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	8	0	1	7			:						
2010-11	3	0	0	3	:		:				:		
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
Cumulative					:		:				:		
Total													
District							:				:		
2009-10	311	5	1	305	96	31	168	55	35	11	6	2	1150
2010-11	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150
2011-12	300	1	7	292	158	54	112	38	19	7	3	1	1155
Cumulative Total	910	9	11	890	357	40	427	48	91	10	15	2	1152
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

	Total			ı	Percen	t of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
ord ID/Vocabulary	20								•	-		
of Text												
Literary	42							•	<u> </u>			
Informational	42							•		-		
l of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	32								•			
Analysis & Interpretation	52								+			



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho **State:** Rhode Island

Code: 98-98108

					Sc	hoo	l									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level	3	Le	vel 2	Le	vel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Meai Scale
	N	N	N	N	N 9	6	N :	%	N	. %	N	%	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	9	0	0	9								:		292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender							:											1							
Male	6	0	0	6	:					:				154	47	45	8	1	1153	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	2	0	0	2	:		- 1							137	63	31	5	1	1157	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	1	0	0	1	:		:					1		1	05			: '	1137	14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																		1			ļ				
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0		İ						1		2				1	İ	1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino		"	U	0	:					1		1						1		1,334	15	40	. 23	. 10	''4'
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	:		1					1		2				1		51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian Asian Malive	0		0	0	:							1		2				1		288	36	44		7	1143
	1	1 1		-						1		1						1					14	1	i
Black or African American	2	0	0	2	i		- 1			i		i		5				i		875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0			- 1			;		1		0				1		19	21	58	; 11	11	1146
White	6	0	0	6	;		1			1		1		279	56	; 37	; 6	; 1	1155	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	0	0	0	0	;		1			;		1		1		;		1		173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1	:		:					1		1		:				22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status												1						1							
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0								1		0						349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0				1		24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	o	0	0	:	İ	:		İ	:	İ	:		1 1					İ	34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	9	0	0	9	:		:			:		:		291	54	38	7	1	1155	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP							:											1							
Students with an IEP	4	0	0	4		İ								28	7	46	36	11	1141	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	5	0	0	5	:		:			:		:		264	59	38	3	0	1157	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES					:		:					:						1							
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	0	0	5	:							1		39	28	49	18	5	1149	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	4		0	4	:		- 1			1		1		253	58	37	5	<1	1156	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
All Other Students	1 4		0	4	:		:			1		1		233	36	:	:		1130	0,721	40	44	. '' :		1131
Migrant				0																					
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0			- 1			1		i		0			: _	1		0				_	1
All Other Students	9	0	0	9			:					1		292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I							1			:							:	1			:			1	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0	:		1			1		1		l 0				1	I	2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	9		0	9	:		1			1		1		292	54	38	. 7	1	1155	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
All Other Students	9	"	U	۶	:		1			1		1		292	54	. 50	. /		1133	1,057	3/	43	. 12	U	1130
FOA Plan							- 1			1		i						i							
504 Plan	_	[_	_	;		- 1			i		i						1	l						
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2	;		- :			1		1		16	13 57	; 63 · 37	; 25 · 5	0	1144	238	29	49	; 19	3	1148
All Other Students	7	0	0	7	I .									276				1	1156	10,299	31	45	: 15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Mathematics Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	8	0	1	7									
2010-11	3	0	0	3			:						
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
Cumulative		1											
Total													
District													
2009-10	311	5	2	304	7	2	118	39	97	32	82	27	1138
2010-11	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139
2011-12	300	1	5	294	9	3	143	49	82	28	60	20	1140
Cumulative Total	910	9	10	891	27	3	381	43	279	31	204	23	1139
State													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224		3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941		2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913		8,807	28	13,567		1135

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19					<u> </u>				1			School
Geometry & Measurement	43					-	-						▲ District♦ State
Functions & Algebra	52					•	A						— Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22				•	_	-						



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island
Code: 98-98108

Disaggiegatea mathematics nesarts	Coue.	30-30100

					Scho	ool							Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level	12	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N : %	N : %	N :	%	N : %	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	9	0	0	9							294	3	49	28	20	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender															:						' ' !	
Male	6	0	0	6							152	3	57	24	16	1141	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	2	0	0	2		1					136	3	42	31	24	1139	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	1	0	0	1							6						19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity																					!	
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0		1	:				2						1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino											[
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	:						2			:			51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	0	0	0	0							2			:			291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	2	0	0	2			:				4						868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	:	:	:		:		0			:			18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	6	0	0	6	:	:	:		:	İ	277	3	50	. 28	19	1140	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	0	0	0	0	:	!					1	_					174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	ő	1			:				6				1		28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status					:																!	
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0	;	:	:		;		0			;			380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0	:	:	:		;	İ	0			:		İ	24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0	:	:	:		;	İ	l 1					İ	34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	9	0	0	9	:		:				293	3	49	28	20	1140	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP					:		:														, 	
Students with an IEP	4	0	0	4	:	:	:		:		28	0	14	21	64	1130	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	5	0	0	5		:					266	3	52	29	16	1141	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
							:				200			. 23		''''	0,511	-		. 23		1130
SES						;	1		;		1				1				;			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	5	0	0	5	1	1			1		39	0	31	26	44	1136	3,829	<1	14	; 22	64	1131
All Other Students	4	0	0	4			:				255	4	51	28	17	1140	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant							:								1						! !	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0	1						0		:	:			0			:	:	
All Other Students	9	0	0	9							294	3	49	28	20	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I																					!	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0	[0						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	9	0	0	9							294	3	49	28	20	1140	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan														:	:						!	
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2	:		:		:		15	0	20	40	40	1134	236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	7	0	0	7	:	:			:		279	3		'	19	1140	10,342	2		26	44	1135
		-	_	1	:		1		:			-					,- :-	-		:		

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2009-10	8	0	1	7							:		
2010-11	3	0	0	3							:		
2011-12	9	0	0	9									
Cumulative		:					:				:		
Total		:											
District							:						
2009-10	311	5	1	305	27	9	201	66	76	25	1	<1	7.5
2010-11	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4
2011-12	300	1	7	292	28	10	168	58	93	32	3	1	7.3
Cumulative Total	910	9	11	890	59	7	486	55	341	38	4	<1	7.1
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



Report

Procedure

and thoughts on a focused topic.

Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

1,317

1,328

1,300

6.7

6.6

6.6

District: Chariho **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 98-98108

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§ School District State Type of Writing Number Mean Number Mean Number Mean Tested 12 12 12 Tested Score Tested Score Tested Score Persuasive Essay 2011-12 9 292 7.3 10,508 6.4 Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept (C) a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer 2010-11 0 38 7.2 1.340 6.6 supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience. **Response to Literary Text** 2011-12 1 37 7.2 1,304 6.4 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2010-11 0 37 6.1 1.327 6.2 Response to Informational Text 2011-12 1 36 7.2 1.330 6.0 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2010-11 1 38 6.3 1.313 6.3 text. Reflective Essay 2011-12 2 37 7.5 1.317 6.7 A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea. 2010-11 3 10,594 293 6.4 6.4 (C)

36

35

39

7.8

6.5

7.9

1

1

1

2011-12

2010-11

2011-12

2010-11

(NA)

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts

Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all

necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

⁽C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The • shows this year's score and the black bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98108

			Score Di	stributio	n		
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6			2	1	<1
11	6	5			1	<1	1
10	5	5			25	9	4
9	5	4			35	12	8
8	4	4			84	29	21
7	4	3			49	17	17
6	3	3			67	23	21
5	3	2			15	5	9
4	2	2			11	4	13
3	2	1			2	1	3
2	1	1			1	<1	3
0	0	0			0	0	1

	Scoring Rubric
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: The R.Y.S.E School

District: Chariho **State**: Rhode Island

Code: 98-98108

REPORTING CATEGORIES		Level 2	Level 1 N %	Mean Score	Tested N 292 154 137 1 2 2 2 2 5 0 279 1 1	Level 4 % 10 10 9	Level 3 % 58 53 64	Level 2 % 32 36 27	Level 1 % 1 1 1 1	Mean Score 7.3 7.3 7.4	Tested N 10,508 5,312 5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174 23	Level 4 % 5 3 6 0 1 1 2 7 7 2 0 6 6 6 4 4	Level 3 % 46 40 52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33 4	Level 2 % 43 47 38 53 52 52 50 39 57 58 38 55 52	Level 1 % 7 7 4 40 10 3 12 5 4 7 39	6.4 6.1 6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5, 7.7 6.8 6.1 4.0
Sender	% N %	N %	N %		292 154 137 1 2 2 2 5 0 279 1	10	58 53 64	32 36 27	1 1 1	7.3 7.4	5,312 5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	5 3 6 0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6 6	40 52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	47 38 53 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	9 4 40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	6.1 6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Gender Male					154 137 1 2 2 2 2 5 0 279	10 9	53 64	36 27	1 1	7.3 7.4	5,312 5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	3 6 0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6	40 52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	47 38 53 52 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	9 4 40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	6.1 6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Male 6 0 0 6 Female 2 0 0 2 Not Reported 1 0 0 1 Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino 0 0 0 0 Not Hispanic or Latino 0 0 0 0 American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 0 0 0 Asian 0 0 0 0 0 Black or African American 2 0 0 2 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0 0 White 6 0 0 0 0 Two or more races 0 0 0 0 0 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 1 0 0 1 LEP Status Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students Parameter - monitoring year 2 All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students					137 1 2 2 2 2 5 0 279 1	9	64	27	1	7.4	5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	6 0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6	52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	38 53 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	4 40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Male 6 0 0 6 Female 2 0 0 2 Not Reported 1 0 0 1 Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino 0 0 0 0 Not Hispanic or Latino 0 0 0 0 American Indian or Alaskan Native 0 0 0 0 Asian 0 0 0 0 0 Black or African American 2 0 0 2 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 0 0 0 White 6 0 0 0 0 Two or more races 0 0 0 0 0 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 1 0 0 1 LEP Status Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 O 0 0 0 0 All Other Students 9 0 0 9 0 0 0 IEP Students with an IEP 4 0 0					137 1 2 2 2 2 5 0 279 1	9	64	27	1	7.4	5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	6 0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6	52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	38 53 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	4 40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Female					137 1 2 2 2 2 5 0 279 1	9	64	27	1	7.4	5,181 15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	6 0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6	52 7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	38 53 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	4 40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	6.8 4.0 5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Not Reported					2 2 2 5 0 279 1						15 1,921 50 287 870 19 7,164 174	0 1 2 7 2 0 6 6	7 33 38 51 29 37 52 33	53 52 50 39 57 58 38 55	40 14 10 3 12 5 4 7	5.6 5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Hispanic or Latino					2 2 5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	50 287 870 19 7,164 174	2 7 2 0 6	38 51 29 37 52 33	50 39 57 58 38 55	10 3 12 5 4 7	5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Hispanic or Latino					2 2 5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	50 287 870 19 7,164 174	2 7 2 0 6	38 51 29 37 52 33	50 39 57 58 38 55	10 3 12 5 4 7	5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Not Hispanic or Latino 0					2 2 5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	50 287 870 19 7,164 174	2 7 2 0 6	38 51 29 37 52 33	50 39 57 58 38 55	10 3 12 5 4 7	5.8 6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students SES O O O O O O O O O O O O O					2 5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	287 870 19 7,164 174	7 2 0 6 6	51 29 37 52 33	39 57 58 38 55	3 12 5 4 7	6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Asian Black or African American Black or African American Characteristic Islander Characteristic Islan					2 5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	287 870 19 7,164 174	7 2 0 6 6	51 29 37 52 33	39 57 58 38 55	3 12 5 4 7	6.8 5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Black or African American					5 0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	870 19 7,164 174	2 0 6 6	29 37 52 33	57 58 38 55	12 5 4 7	5.5 5.7 6.8 6.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0					0 279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	19 7,164 174	0 6 6	37 52 33	58 38 55	5 4 7	5.7 6.8 6.1
White 6 0 0 6 Two or more races 0 0 0 0 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 1 0 0 1 LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 9 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 5 0 0 0 0 Students with an IEP All Other Students 5 0 0 4 0 0 5 SES 5 0 0 5 0 0 5					279 1	10	58	31	1	7.4	7,164 174	6 6	52 33	38 55	4 7	6.8 6.1
Two or more races 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 1 0 0 0 1 LEP Status Current LEP student 0 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 0 All Other Students 9 0 0 9 IEP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5 SES					1	10	58	; 31 ;	1	7.4	174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported																1
Current LEP student 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 All Other Students 9 0 0 9 IEP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5 SES SES												1 ;				1
Current LEP student 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 0 0 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 0 0 0 All Other Students 9 0 0 9 IEP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5 SES SES			i		l			1	1		I	1 :				
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	1 1							i	i		245				20	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2			;		0			i			345	0	6	54	39	3.7
All Other Students 9 0 0 9 IEP Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5 SES		i	:		0				1		24	0 ;	25	; 71	4	5.7
IEP 4 0 0 4 Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5		1	:		1						33	0	33	; 61	6	5.5
Students with an IEP 4 0 0 4 All Other Students 5 0 0 5	1 1		:		291	10	57	32	1	7.3	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
All Other Students 5 0 0 5 SES	:								1						: 1	
SES					28	7	25	68	0	6.3	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
					264	10	61	28	1	7.5	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
Francowies III. Disadvanta and Students F 0 0 5																
Economically Disadvantaged Students 5 0 0 5	i I i				39	3	33	59	5	6.3	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students 4 0 0 4					253	11	61	28	<1	7.5	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant									:							
Migrant Students 0 0 0 0					0	:	:	:	•		0	1 :	'	: '		
All Other Students 9 0 0 9		:			292	10	58	32	1	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I								:	:							
Students Receiving Title I Services 0 0 0 0					l o 1	:		:			2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students 9 0 0 9	1				292	10	58	32	1	7.3	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan																
Students with a 504 Plan 2 0 0 2			' '	ı i	I 16	0	25	75	. 0	6.1	238	2	47	44	6	6.4
All Other Students 7 0 0 7					l 16		20	/)		7.4	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient