About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily

for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP Tests**

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

Code: 98-98101



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

State: **Rhode Island** Code: 98-98101

DARTICIDATION : NECAD					Numbei	ſ							Pe	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		291			300			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	283	285	283	292	294	292	10,537	10,578	10,508	97	98	97	97	98	97	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	65	72	21	66	72	21	1,630	2,051	1,323	23	25	7	23	24	7	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	380	345	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54			r 1 1	·		r t r	21	17	16
IEP Students	24	24	24	28	28	28	1,634	1,634	1,619	8	8	8	10	10	10	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	21	22	20	22	22	20	864	915	867	88	92	83	79	79	71	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	8	6	8	8	6	8	582	541	611	3	2	3	3	2	3	5	5	5
State Approved	1	1	1	1	1	1	116	119	116	13	17	13	13	17	13	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	1	1	1	98	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	7	5	7	7	5	7	466	422	495	88	83	88	88	83	88	80	78	81

NFCΔP RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ite		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	291	1	7	283	157	55	106	37	17	6	3	1	1155	292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
МАТН	291	1	5	285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140	294	3	49	28	20	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	291	1	7	283	28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4	292	10	58	32	1	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Reading Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	303	5	0	298	96	32	167	56	31	10	4	1	1151
2010-11	296	3	3	290	103	36	145	50	36	12	6	2	1150
2011-12	291	1	7	283	157	55	106	37	17	6	3	1	1155
Cumulative Total	890	9	10	871	356	41	418	48	84	10	13	1	1152
District													
2009-10	311	5	1	305	96	31	168	55	35	11	6	2	1150
2010-11	299	3	3	293	103	35	147	50	37	13	6	2	1150
2011-12	300	1	7	292	158	54	112	38	19	7	3	1	1155
Cumulative Total	910	9	11	890	357	40	427	48	91	10	15	2	1152
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

-1.	Total			ı	Percen	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s		
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
rd ID/Vocabulary	20								•	*		
of Text												
Literary	42							•	→			
Informational	42					1		•	→	- :		
el of Comprehension												
Initial Understanding	32								•	◆		
Analysis & Interpretation	52		1		1			•	+			



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	291	1	7	283	157	55	106	37	17	6	3	1	1155	292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender																	:	:					:		
Male	149	0	1	148	72	49	65	44	10	7	1	1	1154	154	47	45	8	1	1153	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	137	1 1	1	135	85	63	41	30	7	5	2	. 1	1157	137	63	31	. 5 5	1	1157	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	5	0	5	0	05	. 03	"	. 50	′			. '	1137	1	05	, ,,	:	<u>'</u>	1137	14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity								:										1				, i		, , ,	
Hispanic or Latino	2	0	0	2		:		:						2			:			1.934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino	-		_	_		:		:						i -						.,					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2		:		:						2			:			51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	2	0	0	2		:		:					İ	2			:			288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	3	0	0	3		:		:					İ	5			:			875	12	44	. 26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		:		:					İ	0			:			19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	276	1 1	2	273	154	56	100	. 37	16	6	3	. 1	1156	279	56	37	. 6	1	1155	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										1 1						173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	5	0	5	0				:						1				1		22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status																		!				!		!	
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		:		:				:		0			:	;		349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	0	1										1 1						34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	290	1	7	282	156	55	106	38	17	6	3	1	1155	291	54	38	7	1	1155	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	25	1 1	0	24	2	8	10	42	9	38	3	13	1140	28	7	46	36	11	1141	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	266	0	7	259	155	60	96	37	8	3	0	0	1157	264	59	38	3	0	1157	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	0	0	34	10	29	16	47	6	18	2	6	1149	39	28	49	18	5	1149	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	257	1	7	249	147	59	90	36	11	4	1	<1	1156	253	58	37	5	<1	1156	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																	1	1						1	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		;				:		0			:	:		0			;		
All Other Students	291	1	7	283	157	55	106	37	17	6	3	1	1155	292	54	38	7	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I												! !						1							
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	291	1	7	283	157	55	106	37	17	6	3	1	1155	292	54	38	7	1	1155	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	14	0	0	14	2	14	9	64	3	21	0	0	1145	16	13	63	25	0	1144	238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	277	1 1	7	269	155	58	97	36	14	5	3	1	1156	276	57	37	: 5	1	1156	10,299	31	45	15	. 9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Mathematics Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152-1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School							:						
2009-10	303	5	1	297	7	2	117	39	97	33	76	26	1139
2010-11	296	: 3 :	3	290	11	4	120	41	98	34	61	21	1139
2011-12	291	1	5	285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140
Cumulative Total	890	9	9	872	27	3	379	43	275	32	191	22	1139
District													
2009-10	311	5	2	304	7	2	118	39	97	32	82	27	1138
2010-11	299	3	3	293	11	4	120	41	100	34	62	21	1139
2011-12	300	1	5	294	9	3	143	49	82	28	60	20	1140
Cumulative Total	910	9	10	891	27	3	381	43	279	31	204	23	1139
State													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ssible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19		:	:		*	:	:					School
Geometry & Measurement	43					→	- -						▲ District♦ State
Functions & Algebra	52					•	→						— Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22		:		•	4	<u>-</u>						



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

						Scho	ool									Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	291	1	5	285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140	294	3	49	28	20	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender Male	149	0	3	146	5	3	85	58	36	25	20	14	1141	152	3	57	24	16	1141	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female Not Reported	137 5	1 0	2 0	134 5	4	3	57	43	41	31	32	24	1139	136 6	3	42	31	24	1139	5,208 19	2 0	27 5	26 42	45 53	1134 1129
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	2	0	0	2								· · ·		2				· · · · · ·		1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2		:		1		: : :		: : :		2			1	! ! !		51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2 3 0	0 0	0 1 0	2 2 0						: : :		: : : :		2 4 0			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! !		291 868 18	5 0	40 9 17	24 21 39	32 70 44	1138 1129 1135
White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	276 1 5	1 0 0	4 0 0	271 1 5	8	3	138	51	75	28	50	18	1140	277 1 6	3	50	28	19	1140	7,193 174 28	3 3 4	35 20 4	29 26 36	34 51 57	1137 1133 1129
LEP Status																				200					4422
Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 0 1 290	0 0 0	0 0 0 5	0 0 1 284	9	3	442	50	80		53	10	4440	0 0 1	3	49			4440	380 24 34	<1 0 0 2	3 13 6 29	6 13 12	90 75 82 42	1123 1128 1130 1135
IEP	290	'	5	284	9	3	142	50	80	28) 55	19	1140	293	3	49	28	20	1140	10,140	2	. 29	27	42	1135
Students with an IEP All Other Students	25 266	1 0	0 5	24 261	0 9	0	3 139	13 53	5 75	21 29	16 38	67 15	1129 1141	28 266	0	14 52	21 29	64 16	1130 1141	1,634 8,944	<1 2	4 32	12 29	84 37	1125 1136
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	34 257	0	0 5	34 251	0	0 4	12 130	35	9 71	26 28	13 41	38 16	1137 1140	39 255	0 4	31 51	26 28	44	1136 1140	3,829 6,749	<1 3	14 36	22 28	64	1131 1137
Migrant Migrant Students All Other Students	0 291	0	0 5	0 285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140	0 294	3	49	28	20	1140	0 10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I Students Receiving Title I Services All Other Students	0 291	0	0 5	0 285	9	3	142	50	80	28	54	19	1140	0 294	3	49	28	20	1140	2,688 7,890	1 3	13 33	20 28	66	1130 1136
504 Plan Students with a 504 Plan All Other Students	14 277	0 1	1 4	13 272	0 9	0 3	3 139	23 51	5 75	38	5 49	38	1135 1140	15 279	0 3	20	40 27	40 19	1134 1140	236 10,342	2 2	29 28	32 26	37 44	1136 1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School		: :											
2009-10	303	5	0	298	27	9	199	67	71	24	1	<1	7.5
2010-11	296	3 :	3	290	4	1	117	40	169	58	0	0	6.4
2011-12	291	1	7	283	28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4
Cumulative Total	890	9	10	871	59	7	483	55	325	37	4	<1	7.1
District		: :											
2009-10	311	5	1	305	27	9	201	66	76	25	1	<1	7.5
2010-11	299	3	3	293	4	1	117	40	172	59	0	0	6.4
2011-12	300	1	7	292	28	10	168	58	93	32	3	1	7.3
Cumulative Total	910	9	11	890	59	7	486	55	341	38	4	<1	7.1
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

Average Score	Comparison	by Type	of Writing§
		7 - 7	

		verage	JCOI		ompans	лі Бу	Type	OI WI	ıtınıg							
T (14/1/1				Sch	ool			ı	Distric	t				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a	2011-12 (C)	283	7.4		-	1	292	7.3		+		10,508	6.4		-	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2010-11	38	7.2				38	7.2		+		1,340	6.6		-	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making	2011-12	36	7.2		•		37	7.2		+		1,304	6.4		-	
inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	37	6.1		4		37	6.1		4		1,327	6.2			
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making	2011-12	35	7.3		-		36	7.2		-		1,330	6.0		-	
inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	37	6.3				38	6.3		4		1,313	6.3		4	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning	2011-12	35	7.5		-	•	37	7.5		-	•	1,317	6.7		-	
of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	290	6.4		_		293	6.4		4		10,594	6.4			
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	35	7.8		-	•	36	7.8		-	•	1,317	6.7		-	
and moughts on a rocused topic.	2010-11	34	6.5				35	6.5		4		1,328	6.6		+	
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps	2011-12	38	7.9		-	-	39	7.9		-	-	1,300	6.6		-	
of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2010-11 (NA)															

⁽C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho

State: Rhode Island Code: 98-98101

			Score Dis	stribution					
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State		
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%		
12	6	6	2	1	2	1	<1		
11	6	5	1	<1	1	<1	1		
10	5	5	25	9	25	9	4		
9	5	4	35	12	35	12	8		
8	4	4	84	30	84	29	21		
7	4	3	48	17	49	17	17		
6	3	3	65	23	67	23	21		
5	3	2	12	4	15	5	9		
4	2	2	8	3	11	4	13		
3	2	1	2	1	2	1	3		
2	1	1	1	<1	1	<1	3		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		

	Scoring Rubric
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: Chariho High School

District: Chariho
State: Rhode Island

-98101

	School															Dist	trict			State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2				Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4		Level 2	Level 1	Mea
G. 1.1 2 G S 1.11 2 G	N	N			N :	: %	N	: %	N	: %	N	: %	Jeore	N	% :	· %	: : %	%	Jeore	N	%	· %	: %	%	Jeore
All Students	291	1	7	283	28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4	292	10	58	32	1	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender												1						1 1 1				1 1 1			
Male	149	0	1	148	16	11	80	54	50	34	2	· 1	7.4	154	10	53	36	1	7.3	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	137	1	1	135	12	. 9	87	64	35	26	i	1	7.5	137	9	64	27	1	7.4	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	5	0	5	0		:	0,			:			,.5	1				· ·	7.1	15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity								:		:							:						:		
Hispanic or Latino	2	0	0	2								:		2				:		1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino						1		1		:		1				:	:			1		:			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	2		1						1		2						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	2	0	0	2		:	İ			:	İ	:	İ	2			:		İ	287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	3	0	0	3		1						1		5			:		İ	870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1								0			:			19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	276	1	2	273	28	10	162	59	81	30	2	1	7.4	279	10	58	31	1	7.4	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	1	Ö	0	1	20	. 10	102	. 33	01	. 50		: '	7.4	1 1	10	, 50	, ,,	: '	/.4	174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	5	0	5	0				:						1				1 1		23	4	4	52	, 39	4.0
LEP Status						:		:										!				!			
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1						0						345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0						:				١٠						24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	1	0	0	1		1						1		1						33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	290	1	7	282	28	10	166	59	85	30	3	1	7.4	291	10	57	32	1	7.3	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																		1 1) (
Students with an IEP	25	1	0	24	2	. 8	6	25	16	67	0	0	6.5	28	7	25	68	0	6.3	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	266	0	7	259	26	10	161	62	69	. 27	3	. 1	7.5	264	10	61	28	1	7.5	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
All Other Students	200		,	239	20	: 10	101	. 02	09	. 21	,	: '	7.5	204	10	. 01	. 20		/.5	0,009	,	, JZ	. 39	4	0.7
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students	34	0	0	34	1	3	13	38	18	53	2	6	6.5	39	,	33	59	5	6.3	3,803	,	24	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	257	1	7	249	27	11	154	62	67	27	1	<1	7.5	253	3 11	61	28	<1	7.5	6,705	6	34 53	37	4	6.8
Migrant								:								!		!				!	:		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		1		:		1		0		:				0			!		
All Other Students	291	1	7	283	28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4	292	10	58	32	1	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I								:								:	:	, ,							
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1								0						2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	291	1	7	283	28	10	167	59	85	30	3	1	7.4	292	10	58	32	1	7.3	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan										:							:	, ,				, ,	:		
Students with a 504 Plan	14	0	0	14	0	: 0	4	29	10	. 71	0	. 0	6.1	16	0	25	. 75	. 0	6.1	238	2	47	. 44	6	6.4
All Other Students	277	1 1	7	269	28	10	163	61	75	28	3	. 1	7.5	276	10	59	29	1	7.4	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4
All Other Students	"	'	'	203	20	. 10	103	. 01	'3	. 20	,	. '	1.5	2/0	10	1 33	23	. '	/.4	10,270		, 40	, 45	,	0.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient