


About The New England Common Assessment Program



This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr

District: MET SCHOOL

Code: 60-28703



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Grade Level Summary Report

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	60-28703

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
Students enrolled on or after October 1	208			208			11,119			100			100			100		
Students tested	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
With an approved accommodation	197	197	197	197	197	197	10,537	10,578	10,508	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
Current LEP Students	82	115	70	82	115	70	1,630	2,051	1,323	42	58	36	42	58	36	15	19	13
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	380	345	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
IEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	17	16
With an approved accommodation	44	44	44	44	44	44	1,634	1,634	1,619	22	22	22	22	22	22	16	15	15
Other	27	31	29	27	31	29	864	915	867	61	70	66	61	70	66	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	11	11	11	11	11	11	582	541	611	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
State Approved	1	1	1	1	1	1	116	119	116	9	9	9	9	9	9	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	98	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	12	11	100	100	100	100	100	100	9	10	9
Other	10	10	10	10	10	10	466	422	495	91	91	91	91	91	91	80	78	81

NECAP RESULTS

	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143	197	13	53	23	10	1143	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
MATH	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131	197	1	15	22	63	1131	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3	197	1	21	69	9	5.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Reading Results

School: Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
 District: MET SCHOOL
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 60-28703

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140–1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.


(Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100–1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
School													
2009-10	194	2	5	187	8	4	95	51	57	30	27	14	1140
2010-11	119	0	3	116	13	11	64	55	27	23	12	10	1143
2011-12	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143
Cumulative Total	521	3	18	500	47	9	264	53	130	26	59	12	1142
District													
2009-10	194	2	5	187	8	4	95	51	57	30	27	14	1140
2010-11	119	0	3	116	13	11	64	55	27	23	12	10	1143
2011-12	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143
Cumulative Total	521	3	18	500	47	9	264	53	130	26	59	12	1142
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147





Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District: MET SCHOOL
State: Rhode Island
Code: 60-28703

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143	197	13	53	23	10	1143	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender																									
Male	101	0	6	95	7	7	54	57	24	25	10	11	1142	95	7	57	25	11	1142	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	105	1	4	100	19	19	49	49	22	22	10	10	1144	100	19	49	22	10	1144	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	2	0	0	2										2						14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	72	1	5	66	8	12	36	55	14	21	8	12	1142	66	12	55	21	12	1142	1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	2	0	0	2										2						288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	41	0	2	39	1	3	23	59	10	26	5	13	1140	39	3	59	26	13	1140	875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										1						19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	82	0	2	80	17	21	38	48	19	24	6	8	1146	80	21	48	24	8	1146	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	5	0	1	4										4						173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	0	2										2						22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143	197	13	53	23	10	1143	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	47	0	3	44	2	5	14	32	14	32	14	32	1136	44	5	32	32	32	1136	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	161	1	7	153	24	16	91	59	32	21	6	4	1145	153	16	59	21	4	1145	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	127	1	6	120	10	8	67	56	28	23	15	13	1141	120	8	56	23	13	1141	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	81	0	4	77	16	21	38	49	18	23	5	6	1146	77	21	49	23	6	1146	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	26	13	105	53	46	23	20	10	1143	197	13	53	23	10	1143	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	110	1	6	103	12	12	63	61	20	19	8	8	1143	103	12	61	19	8	1143	2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	98	0	4	94	14	15	42	45	26	28	12	13	1143	94	15	45	28	13	1143	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	2	7										7						238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	199	1	8	190	24	13	103	54	44	23	19	10	1143	190	13	54	23	10	1143	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District: MET SCHOOL
State: Rhode Island
Code: 60-28703

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131	197	1	15	22	63	1131	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender																									
Male	101	0	6	95	0	0	16	17	20	21	59	62	1132	95	0	17	21	62	1132	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	105	1	4	100	1	1	13	13	22	22	64	64	1130	100	1	13	22	64	1130	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	2	0	0	2										2						19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	72	1	5	66	0	0	9	14	14	21	43	65	1130	66	0	14	21	65	1130	1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	2	0	0	2										2						291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	41	0	2	39	0	0	3	8	5	13	31	79	1127	39	0	8	13	79	1127	868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										1						18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	82	0	2	80	1	1	17	21	23	29	39	49	1134	80	1	21	29	49	1134	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	5	0	1	4										4						174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	0	2										2						28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131	197	1	15	22	63	1131	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	47	0	3	44	0	0	1	2	6	14	37	84	1123	44	0	2	14	84	1123	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	161	1	7	153	1	1	28	18	37	24	87	57	1133	153	1	18	24	57	1133	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	127	1	6	120	0	0	15	13	19	16	86	72	1130	120	0	13	16	72	1130	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	81	0	4	77	1	1	14	18	24	31	38	49	1133	77	1	18	31	49	1133	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	1	1	29	15	43	22	124	63	1131	197	1	15	22	63	1131	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	110	1	6	103	1	1	11	11	21	20	70	68	1130	103	1	11	20	68	1130	2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	98	0	4	94	0	0	18	19	22	23	54	57	1131	94	0	19	23	57	1131	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	2	7										7						236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	199	1	8	190	1	1	29	15	40	21	120	63	1131	190	1	15	21	63	1131	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	60-28703

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.
(Raw Score 10–12)

Proficient (Level 3)
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.
(Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)
 Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.
(Raw Score 4–6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)
 Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.
(Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
School													
2009-10	194	2	4	188	2	1	75	40	90	48	21	11	6.0
2010-11	119	0	3	116	0	0	34	29	70	60	12	10	5.7
2011-12	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3
Cumulative Total	521	3	17	501	4	1	151	30	295	59	51	10	5.7
District													
2009-10	194	2	4	188	2	1	75	40	90	48	21	11	6.0
2010-11	119	0	3	116	0	0	34	29	70	60	12	10	5.7
2011-12	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3
Cumulative Total	521	3	17	501	4	1	151	30	295	59	51	10	5.7
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above	
2009-10	<p>Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.</p>
2010-11	<p>Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.</p>
2011-12	<p>Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.</p>



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	60-28703

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing[§]

Type of Writing	Tested	School					District					State				
		Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2011-12 (C)	197	5.3		●		197	5.3		●		10,508	6.4		●	
	2010-11	16	4.9		▲		16	4.9		▲		1,340	6.6		▲	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	23	4.7		●		23	4.7		●		1,304	6.4		●	
	2010-11	15	5.2		▲		15	5.2		▲		1,327	6.2		▲	
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	24	4.9		●		24	4.9		●		1,330	6.0		●	
	2010-11	12	5.7		▲		12	5.7		▲		1,313	6.3		▲	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2011-12	23	5.0		●		23	5.0		●		1,317	6.7		●	
	2010-11 (C)	116	5.7		▲		116	5.7		▲		10,594	6.4		▲	
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	26	5.4		●		26	5.4		●		1,317	6.7		●	
	2010-11	11	5.6		▲		11	5.6		▲		1,328	6.6		▲	
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2011-12	23	5.9		●		23	5.9		●		1,300	6.6		●	
	2010-11 (NA)															

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	60-28703

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2	School		District		State
			N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1
11	6	5	0	0	0	0	1
10	5	5	2	1	2	1	4
9	5	4	9	5	9	5	8
8	4	4	15	8	15	8	21
7	4	3	18	9	18	9	17
6	3	3	44	22	44	22	21
5	3	2	24	12	24	12	9
4	2	2	67	34	67	34	13
3	2	1	8	4	8	4	3
2	1	1	10	5	10	5	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent • details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well • may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence • some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence • generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Writing Results

School:	Metropolitan Career Tech Ctr
District:	MET SCHOOL
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	60-28703

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N			%	N	%	%			%	%	N	%	
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	%	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	%	N	%
All Students	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3	197	1	21	69	9	5.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender																									
Male	101	0	6	95	0	0	17	18	67	71	11	12	5.0	95	0	18	71	12	5.0	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	105	1	4	100	2	2	25	25	66	66	7	7	5.6	100	2	25	66	7	5.6	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	2	0	0	2										2						15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	72	1	5	66	1	2	17	26	40	61	8	12	5.4	66	2	26	61	12	5.4	1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3	0	0	3										3						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	2	0	0	2										2						287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	41	0	2	39	0	0	3	8	33	85	3	8	4.8	39	0	8	85	8	4.8	870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1										1						19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	82	0	2	80	1	1	21	26	51	64	7	9	5.5	80	1	26	64	9	5.5	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	5	0	1	4										4						174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	2	0	0	2										2						23	4	4	52	39	4.0
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3	197	1	21	69	9	5.3	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	47	0	3	44	0	0	3	7	32	73	9	20	4.3	44	0	7	73	20	4.3	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	161	1	7	153	2	1	39	25	103	67	9	6	5.6	153	1	25	67	6	5.6	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	127	1	6	120	1	1	24	20	83	69	12	10	5.2	120	1	20	69	10	5.2	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	81	0	4	77	1	1	18	23	52	68	6	8	5.5	77	1	23	68	8	5.5	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	208	1	10	197	2	1	42	21	135	69	18	9	5.3	197	1	21	69	9	5.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	110	1	6	103	1	1	21	20	74	72	7	7	5.3	103	1	20	72	7	5.3	2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	98	0	4	94	1	1	21	22	61	65	11	12	5.2	94	1	22	65	12	5.2	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	2	7										7						238	2	47	44	6	6.4
All Other Students	199	1	8	190	2	1	40	21	130	68	18	9	5.3	190	1	21	68	9	5.3	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.