


# About The New England Common Assessment Program

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.



NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



## Fall 2011 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

**Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012**

## School Results

**School:** South Kingstown High

**District:** South Kingstown

**Code:** 32-32108



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Grade Level Summary Report

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
Students enrolled on or after October 1	270			273			11,119			100			100			100		
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	262	262	261	263	263	262	10,537	10,578	10,508	97	97	97	96	96	96	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	23	44	20	24	45	21	1,630	2,051	1,323	9	17	8	9	17	8	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	380	345	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54							21	17	16
IEP Students	28	28	27	29	29	28	1,634	1,634	1,619	11	11	10	11	11	11	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	18	23	16	19	24	17	864	915	867	64	82	59	66	83	61	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	8	8	9	10	10	11	582	541	611	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
State Approved	4	4	4	6	6	6	116	119	116	50	50	44	60	60	55	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	4	4	4	6	6	6	98	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	4	4	5	4	4	5	466	422	495	50	50	56	40	40	45	80	78	81

## NECAP RESULTS

		School												District						State						
		Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%													
READING	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148	
	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135	
WRITING	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Reading Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140–1153)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text.


(Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100–1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>School</b>													
2009-10	274	13	4	257	123	48	97	38	26	10	11	4	1152
2010-11	289	7	8	274	116	42	119	43	27	10	12	4	1151
2011-12	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154
Cumulative Total	833	24	16	793	369	47	316	40	78	10	30	4	1152
<b>District</b>													
2009-10	278	13	5	260	123	47	97	37	26	10	14	5	1152
2010-11	294	7	10	277	116	42	119	43	27	10	15	5	1151
2011-12	273	6	4	263	130	49	100	38	25	10	8	3	1153
Cumulative Total	845	26	19	800	369	46	316	40	78	10	37	5	1152
<b>State</b>													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147





# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Disaggregated Reading Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender																									
Male	134	3	3	128	56	44	53	41	15	12	4	3	1152	128	44	41	12	3	1152	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	136	1	1	134	74	55	47	35	10	7	3	2	1155	135	55	35	7	3	1155	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	10	1	2	7										7						1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	7	0	0	7										7						51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	6	0	0	6										6						288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	1	0	0	1										1						875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	236	3	2	231	120	52	87	38	19	8	5	2	1154	232	52	38	8	3	1154	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	10	0	0	10	6	60	2	20	2	20	0	0	1154	10	60	20	20	0	1154	173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	32	4	0	28	1	4	9	32	12	43	6	21	1137	29	3	31	41	24	1136	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	238	0	4	234	129	55	91	39	13	6	1	<1	1155	234	55	39	6	<1	1155	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	2	4	36	12	33	16	44	7	19	1	3	1149	36	33	44	19	3	1149	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	228	2	0	226	118	52	84	37	18	8	6	3	1154	227	52	37	8	3	1154	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										0						238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	130	50	100	38	25	10	7	3	1154	263	49	38	10	3	1153	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

# Mathematics Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

(Scaled Score 1140–1151)

### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.


(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>School</b>													
2009-10	274	13	7	254	11	4	119	47	65	26	59	23	1139
2010-11	289	6	8	275	21	8	140	51	59	21	55	20	1140
2011-12	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140
Cumulative Total	833	23	19	791	46	6	382	48	195	25	168	21	1140
<b>District</b>													
2009-10	278	13	8	257	11	4	119	46	65	25	62	24	1139
2010-11	294	6	10	278	21	8	140	50	59	21	58	21	1140
2011-12	273	6	4	263	14	5	123	47	71	27	55	21	1140
Cumulative Total	845	25	22	798	46	6	382	48	195	24	175	22	1140
<b>State</b>													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135





# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

# Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender																									
Male	134	3	3	128	6	5	66	52	32	25	24	19	1141	128	5	52	25	19	1141	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	136	1	1	134	8	6	57	43	39	29	30	22	1140	135	6	42	29	23	1139	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	10	1	2	7										7						1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	7	0	0	7										7						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	6	0	0	6										6						291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	1	0	0	1										1						868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	236	3	2	231	11	5	116	50	59	26	45	19	1140	232	5	50	25	20	1140	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	10	0	0	10	2	20	3	30	3	30	2	20	1142	10	20	30	30	20	1142	174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	32	4	0	28	0	0	3	11	2	7	23	82	1127	29	0	10	7	83	1126	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	238	0	4	234	14	6	120	51	69	29	31	13	1142	234	6	51	29	13	1142	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	2	4	36	0	0	10	28	11	31	15	42	1134	36	0	28	31	42	1134	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	228	2	0	226	14	6	113	50	60	27	39	17	1141	227	6	50	26	18	1141	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										0						236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	270	4	4	262	14	5	123	47	71	27	54	21	1140	263	5	47	27	21	1140	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.





# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Writing Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10–12)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

#### Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4–6)

#### Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>School</b>													
2009-10	274	12	3	259	22	8	151	58	73	28	13	5	7.2
2010-11	289	7	7	275	8	3	172	63	86	31	9	3	7.0
2011-12	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2
Cumulative Total	833	23	15	795	56	7	465	58	243	31	31	4	7.1
<b>District</b>													
2009-10	278	12	4	262	22	8	151	58	75	29	14	5	7.2
2010-11	294	7	9	278	8	3	172	62	86	31	12	4	6.9
2011-12	273	6	5	262	26	10	142	54	84	32	10	4	7.2
Cumulative Total	845	25	18	802	56	7	465	58	245	31	36	4	7.1
<b>State</b>													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above	
2009-10	<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Writing Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing<sup>§</sup>

Type of Writing	Tested	School					District					State				
		Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
<b>Persuasive Essay</b> Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2011-12 (C)	261	7.2				262	7.2				10,508	6.4			
	2010-11	36	6.5				36	6.5				1,340	6.6			
<b>Response to Literary Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	34	6.6				34	6.6				1,304	6.4			
	2010-11	36	6.2				37	6.1				1,327	6.2			
<b>Response to Informational Text</b> Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	32	6.3				32	6.3				1,330	6.0			
	2010-11	35	6.7				35	6.7				1,313	6.3			
<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2011-12	26	6.7				26	6.7				1,317	6.7			
	2010-11 (C)	275	7.0				278	6.9				10,594	6.4			
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	36	7.5				36	7.5				1,317	6.7			
	2010-11	34	6.7				34	6.7				1,328	6.6			
<b>Procedure</b> Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2011-12	29	6.2				29	6.2				1,300	6.6			
	2010-11 (NA)															

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar ( ) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

**Note:** Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.





# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Writing Results

**School:** South Kingstown High  
**District:** South Kingstown  
**State:** Rhode Island  
**Code:** 32-32108

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2	School		District		State
			N	%	N	%	
12	6	6	1	<1	1	<1	<1
11	6	5	3	1	3	1	1
10	5	5	22	8	22	8	4
9	5	4	43	16	43	16	8
8	4	4	54	21	54	21	21
7	4	3	45	17	45	17	17
6	3	3	49	19	49	19	21
5	3	2	21	8	21	8	9
4	2	2	14	5	14	5	13
3	2	1	7	3	7	3	3
2	1	1	2	1	3	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing</li> <li>intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose</li> <li>distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout</li> <li>details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent</li> <li>details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well</li> <li>may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence</li> <li>some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics</li> </ul>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence</li> <li>generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting</li> </ul>
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout</li> </ul>
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

## Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

### Disaggregated Writing Results

School: South Kingstown High  
 District: South Kingstown  
 State: Rhode Island  
 Code: 32-32108

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School													District						State					
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender																									
Male	134	3	4	127	6	5	74	58	39	31	8	6	6.9	127	5	58	31	6	6.9	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	136	1	1	134	20	15	68	51	45	34	1	1	7.5	135	15	50	33	1	7.4	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	10	1	2	7										7						1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	7	0	1	6										6						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	6	0	0	6										6						287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	1	0	0	1										1						870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	236	3	2	231	23	10	126	55	75	32	7	3	7.2	232	10	54	32	3	7.2	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	10	0	0	10	3	30	4	40	3	30	0	0	8.0	10	30	40	30	0	8.0	174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						23	4	4	52	39	4.0
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	32	4	1	27	0	0	5	19	14	52	8	30	4.7	28	0	18	50	32	4.6	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	238	0	4	234	26	11	137	59	70	30	1	<1	7.5	234	11	59	30	<1	7.5	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	42	2	4	36	3	8	14	39	16	44	3	8	6.3	36	8	39	44	8	6.3	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	228	2	1	225	23	10	128	57	68	30	6	3	7.3	226	10	57	30	3	7.3	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	0	0	0	0										0						238	2	47	44	6	6.4
All Other Students	270	4	5	261	26	10	142	54	84	32	9	3	7.2	262	10	54	32	4	7.2	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.