About The New England Common Assessment Program

ENGLAN

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New MMO Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

1

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart GRAM or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP** Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: Scituate High School **District:** Scituate Code: 30-30104



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

					Numbei	ſ							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District			State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		117			117			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	115	115	115	115	115	115	10,537	10,578	10,508	98	98	98	98	98	98	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	5	5	5	5	5	5	1,630	2,051	1,323	4	4	4	4	4	4	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	380	345	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54			, , ,				21	17	16
IEP Students With an approved accommodation	4 4	4 4	4	4	4 4	4 4	1,634 864	1,634 915	1,619 867	3 100	3 100	3 100	3 100	3 100	3 100	16 53	15 56	15 54
Students not tested in NECAP	2	2	2	2	2	2	582	541	611	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5
State Approved	2	2	2	2	2	2	116	119	116	100	100	100	100	100	100	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	2	2	2	2	2	2	98	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	466	422	495	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	78	81

NECAP RESULTS

						Schoo)									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	vel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	%	Ν		N	%	N	%		Ν	%	%	%	%		Ν	%	%	%	%	
READING	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155	115	48	46	5	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
MATH	117	2	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140	115	3	48	33	16	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3	115	11	55	30	3	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number. Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Reading Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1140–1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

[Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	131	2	0	129	36	28	77	60	13	10	3	2	1150
2010-11	115	: 1 :	1	113	46	41	59 3	52	6	5	2	2	1152
2011-12	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	137	38	189	53	25	7	6	2	1152
District							:						
2009-10	131	2	0	129	36	28	77	60	13	10	3	2	1150
2010-11	115	1	1	113	46	41	59	52	6	5	2	2	1152
2011-12	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	137	38	189	53	25	7	6	2	1152
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

Culture in	Total			I	Percen	t of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								•	- •	-		
Type of Text													 School
Literary	42							•					DistrictState
Informational	42							•					Standard Error Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	32								•	● : ▲ : :			
Analysis & Interpretation	52							•					



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

						Scho	loo							District								St	ate		
REPORTING		NT	NT											L	Level	Level	Level	Level			Level	Level	Level	Level	
CATEGORIES	Enrolled	Approved	Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	vel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	4	3	2	1	Mean Scaled	Tested	4	3	2	1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	. %	%	Score
All Students	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155	115	48	46	5	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender								:														1	į		
Male	52	0	0	52	15	29	31	60	5	10	1	2	1150	52	29	60	10	2	1150	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	65	2	0	63	40	63	22	35	1	2	0	0	1158	63	63	35	2	0	1158	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0				-						0						14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																							÷		
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3				1						3			-			1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino								1																- - -	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0		;		:						0		:	;			51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	0	0	0	0		;		1						0		;	;			288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		1		1						0		1	;			875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		1		1						0		1	;			19	21	58	; 11	11	1146
White	114	2	0	112	55	49	50	; 45	6	; 5	1	; 1	1155	112	49	45	; 5	; 1	1155	7,175	39	45	; 11	5	1151
Two or more races	0	0	0	0		1		1						0		1	:			173	24	49	; 15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0				i.						0						22	9	36	; 18	36	1138
LEP Status						1 1		1								-						1	-	1 1	
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0				1						0			÷			349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				1						0						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1						0			;			34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155	115	48	46	; 5	1	1155	10,130	32	47	; 14	7	1148
IEP						1		-														1			
Students with an IEP	6	2	0	4		-		-						4		-	-			1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	111	0	0	111	55	50	50	45	5	5	1	1	1155	111	50	45	5	1	1155	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES								-															÷		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	1	0	18	7	39	8	44	3	17	0	0	1150	18	39	44	17	0	1150	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	98	1	0	97	48	49	45	46	3	3	1	1	1155	97	49	46	3	1	1155	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																						:	÷		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				1						0			1			0		1	1	1	
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155	115	48	46	5	1	1155	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I																						-	-		
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0				1						0			:			2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	55	48	53	46	6	5	1	1	1155	115	48	46	5	1	1155	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8				1						8						238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	109	2	Õ	107	53	50	48	45	5	5	1	1	1155	107	50	45	5	1	1155	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Mathematics Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. **(Scaled Score 1140–1151)**

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations. (Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully. (Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	131	2	0	129	0	0	54	42	49	38	26	20	1139
2010-11	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139
2011-12	117	2	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	10	3	157	44	125	35	65	18	1139
District													
2009-10	131	2	0	129	0	0	54	42	49	38	26	20	1139
2010-11	115	1	1	113	6	5	48	42	38	34	21	19	1139
2011-12	117	2	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	10	3	157	44	125	35	65	18	1139
State													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135

	Total				Percer	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	e Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19						_						School
Geometry & Measurement	43												DistrictState
Functions & Algebra	52					•		_					Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22				•		-						



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

REPORTING CATEGORIES		School District													310	ate									
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	117	2	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140	115	3	48	33	16	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender																									
Male	52	0	0	52	1	2	28	54	14	27	9	17	1140	52	2	54	27	17	1140	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	65	2	0	63	3	5	27	43	24	38	9	14	1140	63	5	; 43	38	14	1140	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0		-				19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3				- - - -						3				1 1		1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino	5	0	U	5										5						1,555			. 19	. 70	1129
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										0						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	0	0	0	0										0		1				291	5	40	23	32	1138
Black or African American	0	0	Ő	0 0										Ő						868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0 0	0										Ő						18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	114	2	0	112	4	4	54	48	37	33	17	15	1140	112	4	48	33	15	1140	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	0	0	0	0										0						174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0		-				28	4	4	36	57	1129
									;								: :	, ,				1		1	
LEP Status		0	0																	200					1122
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										0						380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0 0	0										0						24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students	0 117	2	0	0 115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140	0 115		48	33	10	1140	34 10.140	0	; 6 29	: 12 : 27	82 42	1130 1135
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	4	3	22	48	38	55	10	16	1140	115	3	48	33	16	1140	10,140	2	29	21	42	1135
IEP																						1 •		1 1	
Students with an IEP	6	2	0	4										4						1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	111	0	0	111	4	4	55	50	37	33	15	14	1141	111	4	50	33	14	1141	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	1	0	18	0	0	4	22	8	44	6	33	1135	18	0	22	44	33	1135	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	98	1	0	97	4	4	51	53	30	31	12	12	1141	97	4	53	31	12	1141	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Minuant																									
Migrant Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
5	117	0	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140	115	3	48	33	16	1140	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	4	2	22	40	50	22	10	10	1140	115	2	40		10	1140	10,576		20	20	44	1155
Title I																						1 1 1		1 1	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	4	3	55	48	38	33	18	16	1140	115	3	48	33	16	1140	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8										8		1				236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	109	2	0	。 107	4	4	51	48	36	34	16	15	1140	。 107	4	48	34	15	1140	10,342	2	29	26	44	1136
	105	-	U	107		7		40		74		15	1140	107	-	. 40	, Pt		1140	10,342	_ ^	20	. 20	. 44	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur. (Raw Score 10–12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2) Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions. (**Raw Score 4–6**)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

-	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Leve	el 4	Leve	el 3	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2009-10	131	2	0	129	4	3	62	48	62	48	1	1	6.7
2010-11	115	: 1 :	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8
2011-12	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	19	5	193	54	139	39	6	2	6.9
District													
2009-10	131	2	0	129	4	3	62	48	62	48	1	1	6.7
2010-11	115	1	1	113	2	2	68	60	42	37	1	1	6.8
2011-12	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3
Cumulative Total	363	5	1	357	19	5	193	54	139	39	6	2	6.9
State									:				
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12 Cumulative	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

	Α	verage	e Scor	e C	Comp	ariso	n by	Туре	of Wr	iting [§]	S						
T (111/1/1				Sch	ool					Distric	t				State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	(0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a	2011-12 (C)	115	7.3			-		115	7.3		-	•	10,508	6.4			
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2010-11	15	7.2					15	7.2		+		1,340	6.6			
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2011-12	15	7.3	-		-		15	7.3		-	-	1,304	6.4		-	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	15	7.1			+		15	7.1		+		1,327	6.2		-	
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's	2011-12	15	7.1			+		15	7.1		+		1,330	6.0		-	
craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	13	6.4					13	6.4		-		1,313	6.3			
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning	2011-12	14	7.4				•	14	7.4		-	-	1,317	6.7		-	
of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	113	6.8			-		113	6.8		+		10,594	6.4		-	
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	15	8.2				•	15	8.2		+	-	1,317	6.7		-	
	2010-11	13	7.3					13	7.3				1,328	6.6			
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps	2011-12	15	7.5			-		15	7.5		-	-	1,300	6.6			
of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2010-11 (NA)																

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The • shows this year's score and the black bar (_____) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

			Score Di	stribution	l				Scoring Rubric
Total	Score	Score	Sch	lool	Dis	trict	State		purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose
Score	1	2	Ν	%	N	%	%	6	distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
12	6	6	0	0	0	0	<1		• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout
11	6	5	1	1	1	1	1	5	 details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
10	5	5	12	10	12	10	4		purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent
9	5	4	18	16	18	16	8	4	details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
8	4	4	24	21	24	21	21		writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence
7	4	3	21	18	21	18	17	3	• some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
6	3	3	27	23	27	23	21		attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence
5	3	2	6	5	6	5	9	2	generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
4	2	2	2	2	2	2	13		lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random
3	2	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	U	

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

School:Scituate High SchoolDistrict:ScituateState:Rhode IslandCode:30-30104

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School													District						State					
	Enrolled N	NT Approved N	NT Other N	Tested N	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1			Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score		Level	Level	Level	Level	Mean Score
													Mean Score							Tested	4	3	2	1	
					N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	-	N	%	%	%	%	Jeore	N	%	%	%	%	
All Students	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3	115	11	55	30	3	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender						- - -												1				1 1 1			
Male	52	0	0	52	5	10	24	46	21	40	2	4	7.0	52	10	46	40	4	7.0	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	65	2	0	63	8	13	39	62	14	22	2	3	7.6	63	13	62	22	3	7.6	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	3	0	0	3		-		-				-		3			-	-		1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino						:		÷				÷					÷	÷		1		÷	÷		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0		-		-				-		0			-	-		50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	0	0	0	0				1				-		0			-	-		287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		-		-				-		0			-	i.		870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		-		-				-		0			-	i.		19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	114	2	0	112	13	12	62	55	33	29	4	4	7.3	112	12	55	29	4	7.3	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	0	0	0	0		-		-				-		0			-	-		174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0				-				-		0			-	-		23	4	4	52	39	4.0
LEP Status								1				-					-	i.					-		
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0		-		-				i.		0			i.	i.		345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		-		-				i.		0			i.	i.		24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		-		÷				÷		0			÷	÷		33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3	115	11	55	30	3	7.3	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																		1							
Students with an IEP	6	2	0	4										4						1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	111	0	0	111	13	12	63	57	32	29	3	3	7.4	111	12	57	29	3	7.4	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
SES																		- - -				- - -	-		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19		0	18	1	6	8	44	7	39	2	11	6.6	18	6	44	39	11	6.6	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	98	1	0	97	12	12	55	57	28	29	2	2	7.4	97	12	57	29	2	7.4	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant								:																	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1				1		0			1	1		0		1	1	1	
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3	115	11	55	30	3	7.3	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I								:																• •	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0				1						0						2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	117	2	0	115	13	11	63	55	35	30	4	3	7.3	115	11	55	30	3	7.3	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan								:																	
Students with a 504 Plan	8	0	0	8		:		1				1		8			1	1		238	2	47	44	6	6.4
All Other Students	109	2	0	107	12	[!] 11	59	55	32	30	4	4	7.3	107	11	55	30	4	7.3	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4
		-				:						: .													

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient