## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP Tests**

**Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012** 

### **School Results**

**School:** Central High School

**District:** Providence

Code: 28-28139



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: Central High School

District: Providence State: **Rhode Island** Code: 28-28139

DARTICIDATION : NICCAR					Number								Po	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		252			1,611			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	232	239	228	1,507	1,531	1,493	10,537	10,578	10,508	92	95	90	94	95	93	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	18	18	18	153	147	147	1,630	2,051	1,323	8	8	8	10	10	10	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	37	43	38	152	173	150	349	380	345	16	18	17	10	11	10	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	2	2	2	8	8	9	73	65	54	5	5	5	5	5	6	21	17	16
IEP Students	45	46	44	253	251	248	1,634	1,634	1,619	19	19	19	17	16	17	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	18	18	18	134	129	124	864	915	867	40	39	41	53	51	50	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	20	13	24	104	80	118	582	541	611	8	5	10	6	5	7	5	5	5
State Approved	0	0	0	16	15	16	116	119	116	0	0	0	15	19	14	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	0	0	0	15	15	15	98	98	98			1	94	100	94	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	3			1	6	0	6	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3			1	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1			f 1	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11			f 1	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	20	13	24	88	65	102	466	422	495	100	100	100	85	81	86	80	78	81

#### NECAP RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	vel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	· %	N	: %	N			N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	252	0	20	232	19	8	91	39	54	23	68	29	1137	1,507	15	41	23	20	1141	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
МАТН	252	0	13	239	0	0	9	4	34	14	196	82	1126	1,531	1	11	20	69	1129	10,578	2	28	26	44	113
WRITING	252	0	24	228	1	<1	51	22	128	56	48	21	4.9	1,493	2	34	49	15	5.6	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

## **Reading Results**

**School:** Central High School

**District:** Providence **State:** Rhode Island **Code:** 28-28139

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School					- ;								
2009-10	239	22	12	205	24	12	82	40	54	26	45	22	1140
2010-11	259	: 0 :	26	233	18	8	85	36	75	32	55	24	1138
2011-12	252	0	20	232	19	8	91	39	54	23	68	29	1137
Cumulative Total	750	22	58	670	61	9	258	39	183	27	168	25	1138
District													
2009-10	1,702	54	62	1,586	253	16	703	44	350	22	280	18	1142
2010-11	1,588	45	67	1,476	193	13	641	43	360	24	282	19	1141
2011-12	1,611	16	88	1,507	232	15	617	41	351	23	307	20	1141
Cumulative Total	4,901	115	217	4,569	678	15	1,961	43	1,061	23	869	19	1141
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

Culturia	Total			ı	Percen	nt of To	otal P	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20						•	<b></b>	<b>*</b>				
Type of Text													<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Literary	42					•	<b>A</b>						<ul><li>▲ District</li><li>♦ State</li></ul>
Informational	42						•	<b>*</b>					<ul><li>Standard</li><li>Error Bar</li></ul>
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	32						•	<b>.</b>	•				
Analysis & Interpretation	52					-	<b>_</b> :	•					



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

**School:** Central High School

District: Providence State: Rhode Island Code: 28-28139

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score
All Students	252	0	20	232	19	8	91	39	54	23	68	29	1137	1,507	15	41	23	20	1141	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender						:												! ! !				! ! !	:	! ! !	
Male	121	0	7	114	5	. 4	36	32	34	30	39	34	1135	722	11	36	26	27	1138	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	130		12	118	14	12	55	47	20	17	29	25	1140	784	20	46	20	14	1143	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	1	0	1	0		: '-		. "	20		23	. 23	1110	1		. 10			1113	14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity						:												!					:	!	
Hispanic or Latino	185	0	14	171	9	5	68	40	43	25	51	30	1137	931	11	42	25	23	1139	1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino								1									:			1					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		1								8			:			51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	21	0	1	20	5	. 25	9	45	3	15	3	15	1146	91	25	47	19	9	1146	288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	32	0	4	28	2	. 7	11	39	4	14	11	39	1135	303	14	38	26	22	1140	875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0 1	0	1	_	1			1		''			2						19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	2		0	2										137	40	39	12	9	1149	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	9		0	9		1		1						34	21	41	15	24	1141	173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	1	0										1	21		. 13	. 27	''	22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status																		, !						, !	
Current LEP student	46	0	9	37	0	0	1	3	10	27	26	70	1125	152	0	4	26	70	1126	349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:		:						8						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	3	0	0	3		:		:						16	13	50	25	13	1142	34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	203	0	11	192	18	9	88	46	44	23	42	22	1140	1,331	17	45	23	15	1142	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																		!				!	:	!	
Students with an IEP	48	0	3	45	0	. 0	7	16	11	24	27	60	1129	253	2	19	31	48	1130	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	204	0	17	187	19	10	84	45	43	23	41	22	1139	1,254	18	45	22	15	1143	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES																		!						!	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	194	0	11	183	17	9	71	39	41	22	54	30	1138	1,105	13	42	24	20	1140	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	58	0	9	49	2	4	20	41	13	27	14	29	1135	402	21	37	20	21	1142	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																		! !						! !	
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1						0						0					
All Other Students	252	0	20	232	19	8	91	39	54	23	68	29	1137	1,507	15	41	23	20	1141	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I								1										! !					1	! !	
Students Receiving Title I Services	251	0	19	232	19	. 8	91	39	54	23	68	29	1137	1,483	16	41	23	20	1141	2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	1	0	1	0		-				-		-		24	0	8	25	67	1124	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan								:									:	!					:	!	
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2		:								19	32	47	21	0	1148	238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	250	0	20	230	18	8	90	39	54	23	68	30	1137	1,488	15	41	23	21	1141	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012**

### **Mathematics Results**

School: Central High School

District: Providence **Rhode Island** State: Code: 28-28139

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

(Scaled Score 1140-1151)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100-1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	239	4	13	222	0	0	6	3	39	18	177	80	1125
2010-11	259	0	26	233	0	0	9	4	39	17	185	79	1125
2011-12	252	0	13	239	0	0	9	4	34	14	196	82	1126
Cumulative Total	750	4	52	694	0	0	24	3	112	16	558	80	1125
District													
2009-10	1,702	22	81	1,599	6	<1	170	11	289	18	1,134	71	1128
2010-11	1,588	17	65	1,506	6	<1	170	11	324	22	1,006	67	1128
2011-12	1,611	15	65	1,531	9	1	163	11	301	20	1,058	69	1129
Cumulative Total	4,901	54	211	4,636	21	<1	503	11	914	20	3,198	69	1128
State		: :											
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	e Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19		•	<b>A</b>					:				<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	43		4	•									<ul><li>▲ District</li><li>◆ State</li></ul>
Functions & Algebra	52			-	<b>A</b>	•				1 1 1 1 1 1 1			<ul><li>— Standard</li><li>Error Bar</li></ul>
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22			•	•								



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

**School:** Central High School

**District**: Providence **State**: Rhode Island **Code**: 28-28139

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Le	vel 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	· : %	N	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	252	0	13	239	0	0	9	4	34	14	196	82	1126	1,531	1	11	20	69	1129	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender																	:	:							
Male	121	0	5	116	0	; o	7	6	17	15	92	79	1126	729	1	11	19	69	1129	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	130	0	7	123	0	. 0	2	. 2	17	14	104	85	1126	801	<1	10	20	69	1130	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	1	0	1	0	_		_	: -						1						19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity								1										1				, !			
Hispanic or Latino	185	0	8	177	0	. 0	8	. <sub>5</sub>	20	. 11	149	84	1126	952	<1	8	18	74	1128	1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino						1														'					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1				1			İ			8						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	21	0	1	20	0	. 0	1	5	7	35	12	60	1131	91	0	21	36	43	1134	291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	32	0	3	29	0	. 0	0	. 0	4	14	25	86	1124	303	0	7	20	73	1128	868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1						:				2						18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	2	0	0	2		1		:		:				140	4	33	20	43	1136	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	9	0	0	9		1		:						34	0	6	26	68	1128	174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	1	0		-		-		:				1						28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status						:				:								1				!			
Current LEP student	46	0	3	43	0	; 0	0	; o	5	12	38	88	1122	173	0	1	5	94	1121	380	<1	. 3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		1								8						24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	3	0	0	3				:						16	0	0	6	94	1128	34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	203	0	10	193	0	; O	9	5	29	15	155	80	1127	1,334		12	22	66	1130	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP								1										1				!			
Students with an IEP	48	0	2	46	0	. 0	0	. 0	1	2	45	98	1119	251	<1	1	4	94	1122	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	204	0	11	193	0	0	9	5	33	17	151	78	1128	1,280	1	13	23	64	1131	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES						:		1		:								1				!			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	194	0	6	188	0	; 0	7	. 4	23	12	158	84	1126	1,113	<1	8	20	72	1129	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	58	0	7	51	0	0	2	4	11	22	38	75	1125	418	1	18	18	62	1130	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant						:		1		:								1				!			
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1		!						0		:				0		:	! !		
All Other Students	252	0	13	239	0	; O	9	4	34	14	196	82	1126	1,531	1	11	20	69	1129	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I						:		1		:								1				!			
Students Receiving Title I Services	251	0	12	239	0	· 0	9	· 4	34	14	196	82	1126	1,508	1	11	20	69	1129	2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	1	0	1 1	0	"		9	. 4	34	. 14	190	02	1120	23	0	΄ Δ	; 20 · 4	91	1118	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
All Other Students	'	"	'	U				:						23	U	. 4	. 4	ופ	1118	7,890	3	. 33		3/	1136
504 Plan								:														1			
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2				:						18	0	28	22	50	1136	236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	250	0	13	237	0	: o	9	. 4	34	14	194	82	1126	1,513	1	10	22	69	1129	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135
All Guiel Hudelits	250	"	15	231	"		"	. 7	54	. 14	1 54	02	1120	1,513	'	. 10	. 20	. 05	1123	10,542	-	. 20	. 20		1133

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

## **Writing Results**

**School:** Central High School

District: Providence State: Rhode Island Code: 28-28139

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School		: :											
2009-10	239	22	16	201	9	4	67	33	105	52	20	10	5.9
2010-11	259	0 :	36	223	0	0	74	33	117	52	32	14	5.4
2011-12	252	0	24	228	1	<1	51	22	128	56	48	21	4.9
Cumulative Total	750	22	76	652	10	2	192	29	350	54	100	15	5.4
District													
2009-10	1,702	53	92	1,557	82	5	591	38	713	46	171	11	6.1
2010-11	1,588	45	89	1,454	9	1	561	39	697	48	187	13	5.7
2011-12	1,611	16	102	1,493	26	2	504	34	737	49	226	15	5.6
Cumulative Total	4,901	114	283	4,504	117	3	1,656	37	2,147	48	584	13	5.8
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure  Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay  Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

**School:** Central High School

**District:** Providence State: Rhode Island **Code:** 28-28139

### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

	verage	JCOI		Jonipai	13011 D	утуре	OI WI	ıtınıg							
			Sch	ool				Distri	ct				State		
Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score		0	7 12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
2011-12 (C)	228	4.9		-		1,493	5.6		-	•	10,508	6.4		-	
2010-11	34	5.1				191	6.0			-	1,340	6.6			
2011-12	29	5.7		-	-	181	5.7		-	•	1,304	6.4		-	
2010-11	26	5.4		_		182	5.6				1,327	6.2			
2011-12	28	4.9		-		193	5.6		-	•	1,330	6.0		-	
2010-11	32	6.0				191	6.0			.	1,313	6.3		4	
2011-12	30	5.6		-		185	6.1		-	-	1,317	6.7		-	
2010-11 (C)	223	5.4				1,454	5.7			-	10,594	6.4			
2011-12	30	5.1		-		186	5.7		-	•	1,317	6.7		-	
2010-11	21	5.1				183	6.0			-	1,328	6.6		-	
2011-12	27	6.1		-		189	6.0		-	•	1,300	6.6		-	
2010-11 (NA)															
	Tested  2011-12 (C)  2010-11  2011-12  2010-11  2011-12  2010-11 (C)  2011-12  2010-11  2011-12	Tested Number Tested  2011-12 228  2010-11 34  2011-12 29  2010-11 26  2011-12 30  2011-12 30  2011-12 30  2011-12 30  2011-12 23  2011-12 27  2011-12 27	Tested Number Score  2011-12 (C) 228 4.9  2010-11 34 5.1  2011-12 29 5.7  2010-11 26 5.4  2011-12 28 4.9  2011-12 30 5.6  2011-12 30 5.6  2010-11 223 5.4  2011-12 30 5.1  2010-11 21 5.1  2011-12 27 6.1  2010-11 (NA)	Tested Number Tested Score  2011-12 (C) 228 4.9  2010-11 34 5.1  2011-12 29 5.7  2010-11 26 5.4  2011-12 28 4.9  2010-11 32 6.0  2011-12 30 5.6  2010-11 223 5.4  2011-12 30 5.1  2010-11 21 5.1  2010-11 21 5.1	Tested         Number Tested         Mean Score         0           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         —           2010-11 34         5.1         —         —           2011-12 29 5.7         —         —         —           2010-11 26 5.4         —         —         —           2011-12 28 4.9         —         —         —           2011-12 30 5.6         —         —         —           2011-12 30 5.4         —         —         —           2011-12 30 5.1         —         —         —           2010-11 21 5.1         —         —         —           2011-12 27 6.1         —         —         —	School           Tested         Number Tested         Mean Score         0         7         12           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         —         —           2010-11 34         5.1         —         —           2011-12 29         5.7         —         —           2010-11 26         5.4         —         —           2011-12 30         5.6         —         —           2010-11 (C)         223         5.4         —         —           2011-12 30         5.1         —         —         —           2010-11 21         5.1         —         —         —           2011-12 27         6.1         —         —         —           2010-11 (NA)         —         —         —         —	School           Tested         Number Tested Score         Mean Score         0         7         12         Number Tested Tested           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         1,493           2010-11 34         5.1         191           2011-12 29         5.7         181           2010-11 26         5.4         182           2011-12 28         4.9         193           2010-11 32         6.0         191           2011-12 30         5.6         185           2010-11 (C)         223         5.4         1,454           2011-12 30         5.1         186           2010-11 21 5.1         183         189           2010-11 (NA)         189         189	School           Tested Number Tested Score         Mean Score         0         7         12         Number Tested Score         Mean Score           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         1,493         5.6           2010-11 34         5.1         191         6.0           2011-12 29         5.7         181         5.7           2010-11 26         5.4         182         5.6           2011-12 28         4.9         193         5.6           2011-12 30         5.6         191         6.0           2011-12 30         5.4         1,454         5.7           2011-12 30         5.1         186         5.7           2011-12 30         5.1         183         6.0           2011-12 27         6.1         189         6.0	School         District           Tested         Number Tested         Mean Score         0         7         12         Number Tested         Mean Score         0           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         —         1,493         5.6         —           2010-11 34         5.1         —         191         6.0         —         181         5.7           2010-11 26         5.4         —         182         5.6         —         193         5.6           2011-12 28         4.9         —         —         193         5.6         —           2010-11 32 6.0         —         —         185         6.1         —         185         6.1           2011-12 30 5.4         —         —         186         5.7         —         183         6.0           2011-12 27 6.1         —         —         189         6.0         —         189         6.0	Tested   Number   Mean   Score   O   7   12   Number   Mean   Score   O   7   7   12   Number   Mean   Score   O   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7	School         District           Tested         Number Tested Score         Mean Tested Score         0         7         12         Number Tested Score         Mean Score         0         7         12           2011-12 (C)         228         4.9         1,493         5.6         — <t< td=""><td>  Tested   Number   Tested   Score   O   7   12   Number   Mean   Score   O   7   12   Number   Tested   Score   O   O   Number   Tested   Score   O   Number   Tested   Tested   Score   O   Number   Tested   Score   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tes</td><td>  Tested   Number   School   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Score   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O  </td><td>  Tested   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Score   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O  </td><td>  School   District   State   Number   Tested   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Nean   Nean</td></t<>	Tested   Number   Tested   Score   O   7   12   Number   Mean   Score   O   7   12   Number   Tested   Score   O   O   Number   Tested   Score   O   Number   Tested   Tested   Score   O   Number   Tested   Score   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tested   Tes	Tested   Number   School   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Score   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O	Tested   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Score   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O   O	School   District   State   Number   Tested   Number   Score   O   7   12   Number   Nean   Nean

<sup>(</sup>C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar ( \_\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: Central High School

District: Providence

State: Rhode Island Code: 28-28139

			Score Dis	stribution			
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	0	0	2	<1	<1
11	6	5	0	0	2	<1	1
10	5	5	1	<1	22	1	4
9	5	4	4	2	73	5	8
8	4	4	21	9	219	15	21
7	4	3	26	11	212	14	17
6	3	3	35	15	300	20	21
5	3	2	33	14	181	12	9
4	2	2	60	26	256	17	13
3	2	1	19	8	72	5	3
2	1	1	21	9	115	8	3
0	0	0	8	4	39	3	1

	Scoring Rubric
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

**School:** Central High School

**District:** Providence State: Rhode Island **Code:** 28-28139

	School														District						State					
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	: %	N	%		N	%	: %	: %	%		N	%	%	: %	%		
All Students	252	0	24	228	1	<1	51	22	128	56	48	21	4.9	1,493	2	34	49	15	5.6	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4	
Gender																		1								
Male	121	0	7	114	0	; o	18	16	65	57	31	27	4.4	715	1	27	52	20	5.1	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1	
Female	130	0	16	114	1	1	33	29	63	55	17	15	5.4	777	2	40	47	10	6.0	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8	
Not Reported	1	0	1	0										1				1		15	0	7	53	40	4.0	
Race/Ethnicity						-		}								:	:					!	:	!		
Hispanic or Latino	185	0	16	169	0	0	33	20	101	60	35	21	4.8	921	1	32	50	17	5.4	1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6	
Not Hispanic or Latino						:		:		:						:							:			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0	0	1		:				:				8		:				50	2	38	50	10	5.8	
Asian	21	0	1	20	1	5	10	50	7	35	2	10	6.3	91	4	47	44	4	6.5	287	7	51	39	3	6.8	
Black or African American	32	0	6	26	0	0	4	15	15	58	7	27	4.2	300	2	30	55	13	5.5	870	2	29	57	12	5.5	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0	0	1		:		:		:				2		:	:	1		19	0	37	58	5	5.7	
White	2	0	0	2		:		:						136	6	49	33	12	6.6	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8	
Two or more races	9	0	0	9		:		:		:				34	0	26	56	18	5.4	174	6	33	55	7	6.1	
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	1	0		1		1						1			-			23	4	4	52	39	4.0	
LEP Status																	:	1						, !		
Current LEP student	46	0	8	38	0	; 0	0	. 0	20	53	18	47	3.2	150	0	. 3	. 49	49	3.2	345	0	6	54	39	3.7	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										8						24	0	25	71	4	5.7	
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	3	0	0	3		:		:						15	0	33	53	13	5.1	33	0	33	61	6	5.5	
All Other Students	203	0	16	187	1	1	50	27	107	57	29	16	5.2	1,320	2	37	49	11	5.9	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5	
IEP								1										1				1 1		!		
Students with an IEP	48	0	4	44	0	. 0	2	5	23	52	19	43	3.4	248	0	10	49	42	3.8	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7	
All Other Students	204	0	20	184	1	; 1	49	27	105	57	29	16	5.2	1,245	2	39	49	10	5.9	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7	
SES						1												1				1 1 1		!		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	194	0	10	184	1	1	40	22	107	58	36	20	4.9	1,100	1	32	52	15	5.5	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7	
All Other Students	58	0	14	44	0	. 0	11	25	21	48	12	27	4.7	393	4	38	41	17	5.8	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8	
																		1 1 1				1		! ! !		
Migrant						1		1									;						;			
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0				:		:				0		:	:			0						
All Other Students	252	0	24	228	1	<1	51	22	128	56	48	21	4.9	1,493	2	34	49	15	5.6	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4	
Title I						:		:										1				1				
Students Receiving Title I Services	251	0	23	228	1	· <1	51	. 22	128	56	48	21	4.9	1,472	2	34	50	15	5.6	2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6	
All Other Students	1	0	1	0		. `'	'	. 22	1.20	. 50	10	-'	"	21	0	10	33	57	3.1	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7	
All Other Students	'		'					!						''		. 10			J.1	1,050	"	, 50	, ,,,		0.7	
504 Plan								:														1		: :		
Students with a 504 Plan	2	0	0	2		1								19	5	. 37	. 47	11	6.0	238	2	47	. 44	6	6.4	
All Other Students	250	0	24	226	1	· <1	51	· 23	126	56	48	21	4.9	1,474	2	٠	49	15	5.6	10,270	5	46	43	. 7	6.4	
All Other Students	230	"	24	220	'	, \'	1 71	, 23	120	, 50	40	. 41	۳.۶	1,4/4	-	, ,,	1 79	, 15	J.0	10,270	,	+0	, 40	, ,	0.4	

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient