About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests**

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown

Code: 23-23108



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island

Code: 23-23108

DADTICIDATION : NECAD					Numbe	r							P	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		390			394			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	378	378	378	379	379	379	10,537	10,578	10,508	97	97	97	96	96	96	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	32	30	32	33	31	33	1,630	2,051	1,323	8	8	8	9	8	9	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	1	1	1	1	1	1	349	380	345	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	17	16
IEP Students	30	29	30	31	30	31	1,634	1,634	1,619	8	8	8	8	8	8	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	30	29	30	31	30	31	864	915	867	100	100	100	100	100	100	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	12	12	12	15	15	15	582	541	611	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5
State Approved	7	7	7	9	9	9	116	119	116	58	58	58	60	60	60	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	5	5	5	7	7	7	98	98	98	71	71	71	78	78	78	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	12	11	29	29	29	22	22	22	9	10	9
Other	5	5	5	6	6	6	466	422	495	42	42	42	40	40	40	80	78	81

NECAD RESULTS

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	/el 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mear Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
KEADING	390	7	5	378	196	52	150	40	25	7	7	2	1154	379	52	40	7	2	1154	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
LIKIM	390	7	5	378	15	4	166	44	123	33	74	20	1139	379	4	44	32	20	1139	10,578	2	28	26	44	113
WKIIING	390	7	5	378	15	4	213	56	136	36	14	4	6.8	379	4	56	36	4	6.8	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Reading Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island Code: 23-23108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	421	0	1	420	150	36	205	49	47	11	18	4	1151
2010-11	417	7 :	1	409	188	46	169	41	41	10	11	3	1152
2011-12	390	7	5	378	196	52	150	40	25	7	7	2	1154
Cumulative Total	1,228	14	7	1,207	534	44	524	43	113	9	36	3	1152
District													
2009-10	425	0	2	423	150	35	205	48	48	11	20	5	1151
2010-11	418	7	2	409	188	46	169	41	41	10	11	3	1152
2011-12	394	9	6	379	196	52	150	40	26	7	7	2	1154
Cumulative Total	1,237	16	10	1,211	534	44	524	43	115	9	38	3	1152
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

610.00	Total			ı	Percen	t of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								*	♦			
Type of Text			1										School
Literary	42		1					•	*				▲ District♦ State
Informational	42							•	♦				StandardError Bar
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	32								• - 1	♣			
Analysis & Interpretation	52							•	◆				



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island

Code: 23-23108

Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students All Other Students Asian All Students Asan And All Students All Other Students All Other Students Asapo All Other Students	NT Approved N 7 4 3 0 0 1 0 0 5 1 0 0 5	NT Other N 5 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Tested N 378 180 198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359 1 0	N 196 81 115	rel 4	N 150 82 68	% 40 46 34	N 25 14 11 21	% 7 8 6	N 7 3 4 7		Mean Scaled Score 1154 1152 1156	N 379 181 198 0 8 3 2 5 0 360	Level 4 % 52 45 58	Level 3 % 40 45 34	2 % 7 8 6	Level 1 % 2 2 2 2	Mean Scaled Score 1154 1152 1156	Tested N 10,537 5,336 5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875 19	Level 4 % 31 25 38 7 13 25 36 12	3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score 1148 1146 1150 1138 1141 1143 1149
Gender Male Female Not Reported Mispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported Ulter Status Current LEP student Former LEP student Former LEP students All Other Students All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students All Other Students S2 Black or African American American American Bankan Native Asian American Bankan Native Asian Asi	7 4 3 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 5 1	5 1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0	378 180 198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359 1	81 115	52 45 58	150 82 68	46 34	25 14 11	8 6	7 3 4	2 2 2	1154 1152 1156	379 181 198 0 8 8 3 2 5 0	52 45 58	45 34	8 6	2	1154	10,537 5,336 5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875	31 25 38 7 13 25 36 12	46 45 43 46 31 44	15 18 12 21 23 27 14	8 11 6 29 18 16 7	1148 1146 1150 1138 1141
Gender Male 185 Female 205 Not Reported 0 Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	4 3 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 5 1	1 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	180 198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359	81 115	45 58	82 68	46 34	14 11	8 6	3 4	2 2	1152 1156	181 198 0 8 8 3 2 5	45 58	45 34	8 6	2	1152	5,336 5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875	25 38 7 13 25 36 12	46 45 43 46 31 44	18 12 21 23 27 14	11 6 29 18 16 7	1146 1150 1138 1141
Male Female Not Reported Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported UEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students S188 LEP Status S20 LEP Status S388 LEP Students with an IEP All Other Students S55 All Other Students S64	0 0 1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359 1	115	58	68	34	11	6	4	2	1156	198 0 8 3 2 5 0	58	34	6			5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875	38 7 13 25 36 12	45 43 46 31 44	12 21 23 27 14	6 29 18 16 7	1150 1138 1141 1143
Male Female Not Reported Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students Stude	0 0 1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359 1	115	58	68	34	11	6	4	2	1156	198 0 8 3 2 5 0	58	34	6			5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875	38 7 13 25 36 12	45 43 46 31 44	12 21 23 27 14	6 29 18 16 7	1150 1138 1141 1143
Female 205 Not Reported 0 Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino 8 Not Hispanic or Latino 4 Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 4 White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	0 0 1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5	198 0 8 3 2 5 0 359 1	115	58	68	34	11	6	4	2	1156	198 0 8 3 2 5 0	58	34	6			5,187 14 1,934 51 288 875	38 7 13 25 36 12	45 43 46 31 44	12 21 23 27 14	6 29 18 16 7	1150 1138 1141 1143
Not Reported 0 Race/Ethnicity Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 5 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	0 0 1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 5	8 3 2 5 0 359										8 3 2 5 0				1	1130	14 1,934 51 288 875	7 13 25 36 12	43 46 31 44	21 23 27 14	29 18 16 7	1138 1141 1143
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 55 All Other Students 335	1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 5	3 2 5 0 359	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	3 2 5 0	E2	40				51 288 875	25 36 12	31 44	27 14	16 7	1143
Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 55 All Other Students 335	1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 5	3 2 5 0 359	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	3 2 5 0	£2	40		1		51 288 875	25 36 12	31 44	27 14	16 7	1143
Not Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 335	1 0 0 0 5	0 0 0 0 5	3 2 5 0 359	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	3 2 5 0	E 2	40		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		51 288 875	25 36 12	31 44	27 14	16 7	1143
American Indian or Alaskan Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 335	0 0 5 1	0 0 0 5	2 5 0 359 1	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	2 5 0	E2	40		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		288 875	36 12	44	14	7	
Asian 2 Black or African American 5 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 0 White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	0 0 5 1	0 0 0 5	2 5 0 359 1	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	2 5 0	E2	40		1 1 1 1 1		288 875	36 12	44	14	7	
Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 55 All Other Students 55 All Other Students	0 0 5 1	0 0 5 0	5 0 359 1	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	5	E2	40		1		875	12				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander White 369 Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	0 5 1	0 5 0	0 359 1	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154	0	E2	40		1						10	1141
White Two or more races 2 No Race/Ethnicity Reported 0 LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 335	5	5	359 1	187	52	144	40	21	6	7	2	1154		E2	40	_				21	58	11	11	1146
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	1	0	1	107	52	144	40	21	0	′	2	1154					2	1154	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
No Race/Ethnicity Reported LEP Status Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	•												1	52	40	6	. 2	1154	173					1146
Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335													0				1		22	24 9	49 36	15 18	13 36	1138
Current LEP student 2 Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 0 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 0 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335		1	1	1	1		į.														!			
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1 Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students 55 Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 354	0	1	1				1						1				1		349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2 All Other Students IEP Students with an IEP All Other Students SES Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students 355 All Other Students 335	0	0	0		:								0				1		24	0	54	42	4	1140
All Other Students 388 IEP Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	0	0	0										0				1		34		59		6	1140
Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	7	4	377	196	52	149	40	25	7	7	2	1154	378	52	39	7	2	1154	10,130	12 32	47	24	7	1144
Students with an IEP 36 All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335																	1				!			
All Other Students 354 SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	6	0	30	0	. 0	9	30	16	53	5	17	1137	31	0	29	55	16	1136	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
SES Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	1	5	348	196	. 56	141	41	9	3	2	17	1156	348	56	41	3	. 10	1156	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
Economically Disadvantaged Students 55 All Other Students 335	')	348	196	. 30	141	41	9	3	2	'	1130	348	00	41	3	. '	1130	8,903	30	47	12)	1150
All Other Students 335	3	0	52	12	23	20	38	13	25	7	13	1144	53	22	38	26	13	1144	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
	4	5	326	184	56	130	40	12	4	0	0	1156	326	23 56	40	4	0	1156	6,721	15 40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant							:										1				!			
Migrant Students 0	0	0	0		:		1						0				1		0					1
All Other Students 390	7	5	378	196	52	150	40	25	7	7	2	1154	379	52	40	7	2	1154	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I					:		:														!	1 1		
Students Receiving Title I Services 0	0	0	0				1						0				1		2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students 390	7	5	378	196	52	150	40	25	7	7	2	1154	379	52	40	7	2	1154	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan	1				:												, 1 ,				1 			
Students with a 504 Plan 9	,	1	_				1						9				1		238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students 381	0	0	9					1		1								1154	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Mathematics Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island Code: 23-23108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140–1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	421	3	2	416	13	3	165	40	131	31	107	26	1138
2010-11	417	; 7 ;	1	409	15	4	181	44	135	33	78	19	1139
2011-12	390	7	5	378	15	4	166	44	123	33	74	20	1139
Cumulative Total	1,228	17	8	1,203	43	4	512	43	389	32	259	22	1139
District													
2009-10	425	3	4	418	13	3	165	39	132	32	108	26	1138
2010-11	418	7	2	409	15	4	181	44	135	33	78	19	1139
2011-12	394	9	6	379	15	4	166	44	123	32	75	20	1139
Cumulative Total	1,237	19	12	1,206	43	4	512	42	390	32	261	22	1139
State													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135

	Total				Percer	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50 :	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19		:	:		◆							School
Geometry & Measurement	43					*							▲ District♦ State
Functions & Algebra	52					•	÷ :						— Standard Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22				•	*							



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island

Code: 23-23108

						Scho	ool									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	rel 3	Lev	rel 2	Lev	rel 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	· : %	N	: %	N	· : %	N	: %	Score	N	%	· : %	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	390	7	5	378	15	4	166	44	123	33	74	20	1139	379	4	44	32	20	1139	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender								:				! ! !					! !	1				1	:		
Male	185	4	2	179	12	. 7	83	46	52	29	32	18	1140	180	7	46	29	18	1140	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	205	3	3	199	3	: 2	83	: 42	71	36	42	21	1138	199	2	42	36	21	1138	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	0	0	0	0			05	. 72	''	. 50	72	. 21	1130	0	_	. 72	. 30	. 21	1130	19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity								:										1 1							
Hispanic or Latino	8	0	0	8				:		:			İ	8					İ	1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino			-	_										_				1		.,		1			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4	1 1	0	3										3						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	2	0	0	2				1						2				1		291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	5	0	0	5				1						5						868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				1		:				0				1		18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	369	5	5	359	15	· 4	162	. 45	114	32	68	19	1139	360	4	45	32	19	1139	7,193	3	35	. 29	34	1137
	2	1	0	1	15	. 4	102	. 45	114	. 32	00	. 19	1139	1	4	45	. 32	19	1139	174	3	20	29	51	1137
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0				1		28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status								:										!				1	:	!	
Current LEP student	2	0	1	1		:		:		:				1						380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0				:		:			İ	0		:				24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0				1						0						34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	388	7	4	377	15	4	166	44	122	32	74	20	1139	378	4	44	32	20	1139	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP																		:				:			
Students with an IEP	36	6	1	29	0	0	1	3	3	10	25	86	1126	30	0	3	10	87	1126	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	354	1	4	349	15	4	165	47	120	34	49	14	1140	349	4	47	34	14	1140	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55	3	2	50	0	0	8	16	13	26	29	58	1132	51	0	16	25	59	1131	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	335	4	3	328	15	5	158	48	110	34	45	14	1140	328	5	48	34	14	1140	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		1		:				0		:				0		1	1		
All Other Students	390	7	5	378	15	4	166	44	123	33	74	20	1139	379	4	44	32	20	1139	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I						:		:															:	! !	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:								0						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	390	7	5	378	15	4	166	44	123	33	74	20	1139	379	4	44	32	20	1139	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9		:		1		:				9		:		1		236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	381	7	5	369	14	. 4	163	: 44	119	32	73	20	1139	370	4	44	32	20	1139	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown Rhode Island Code: 23-23108

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

(Raw Score 4-6)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2009-10	421	3	2	416	42	10	228	55	138	33	8	2	7.2
2010-11	417	7 :	1	409	7	2	222	54	177	43	3	1	6.8
2011-12	390	7	5	378	15	4	213	56	136	36	14	4	6.8
Cumulative Total	1,228	17	8	1,203	64	5	663	55	451	37	25	2	6.9
District									:				
2009-10	425	3	4	418	42	10	228	55	140	33	8	2	7.2
2010-11	418	; 7 ;	2	409	7	2	222	54	177	43	3	1	6.8
2011-12	394	9	6	379	15	4	213	56	136	36	15	4	6.8
Cumulative Total	1,237	19	12	1,206	64	5	663	55	453	38	26	2	6.9
State							:						
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown
State: Rhode Island
Code: 32, 33108

Code: 23-23108

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§ School District State Type of Writing Number Mean Number Mean Number Mean Tested 12 12 12 Tested Score Tested Score Tested Score Persuasive Essay 2011-12 378 379 10,508 6.4 6.8 6.8 Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept (C) a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer 2010-11 54 6.9 54 6.9 1.340 6.6 supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience. **Response to Literary Text** 2011-12 47 6.6 47 6.6 1,304 6.4 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2010-11 50 6.5 50 6.5 1.327 6.2 Response to Informational Text 2011-12 46 6.1 6.1 1.330 6.0 Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational 2010-11 46 6.6 46 6.6 1.313 6.3 text. Reflective Essay 2011-12 50 6.8 51 6.7 1.317 6.7 A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea. 2010-11 409 10,594 409 6.8 6.8 6.4 (C) Report 2011-12 47 7.3 47 7.3 1,317 6.7 Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic. 2010-11 53 7.2 1,328 7.2 53 6.6 Procedure 2011-12 49 49 1,300 6.8 6.8 6.6 Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all 2010-11 necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know. (NA)

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

⁽C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (_______) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The shows last year's score and the gray bar () shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

[§] The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown State: Rhode Island Code: 23-23108

			Score Di	stribution	l		
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	trict	State
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%
12	6	6	2	1	2	1	<1
11	6	5	4	1	4	1	1
10	5	5	9	2	9	2	4
9	5	4	36	10	36	9	8
8	4	4	108	29	108	28	21
7	4	3	69	18	69	18	17
6	3	3	78	21	78	21	21
5	3	2	24	6	24	6	9
4	2	2	34	9	34	9	13
3	2	1	6	2	6	2	3
2	1	1	8	2	9	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

	Scoring Rubric
6	• purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing • intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose • distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

School: North Kingstown Sr. High

District: North Kingstown Rhode Island

Code: 23-23108

REPORTING CATEGORIES All Students						Scho	ol								State										
	Enrolled N 390	NT Approved N 7	NT Other N 5	Tested N 378	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2				Mean	Tested	Level	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean	Tested	Level 4		Level 2	Level 1	Mea
					N 15	. %	N 213	: %	N 136	% 36	N 14	%	Score 6.8	N 379	%	% 56	% 36	%	Score	N	%	%	43	%	Score
						4		56											6.8	10,508	5	46			
								:															:		
Gender						:		:						İ									: :		
Male	185	4	1	180	6	3	87	48	78	43	9	5	6.6	181	3	48	43	6	6.6	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	205	3	4	198	9	. 5	126	64	58	29	5	. 3	7.1	198	5	64	29	3	7.1	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0		:								0				! !		15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity																		, ,							
Hispanic or Latino	8	0	0	8										8						1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino								:			İ			ľ						.,,,,,				• • •	5.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	4	1 1	0	3										3						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	2	0	0	2							İ			2						287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	5		0	5				:			İ			5			:			870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	369	5	5	359	14	. 4	203	57	129	36	13	. 4	6.8	360	4	56	36	4	6.8	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
	2	1 1	0	1	14	. 4	203	. 37	129	. 30	13	4	0.0	1	4	. 50	. 50	. 4	0.0	174	6	33		7	6.1
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0														i		23	4	4	55	7 39	4.0
No nace/Euimenty reported						:		:						ľ				1		23	7		. 52	33	1.0
LEP Status	_	_	_			1		:									:	1			_	_	: ;		
Current LEP student	2	0	1	1		:								1						345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:		:						0			:			24	0	25	; 71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		:								0						33	0	33	; 61	6	5.5
All Other Students	388	7	4	377	15	; 4	212	; 56	136	36	14	; 4	6.8	378	4	56	36	4	6.8	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	36	6	0	30	0	. 0	3	10	18	60	9	30	4.2	31	0	10	58	32	4.1	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	354	1 1	5	348	15	. 4	210	60	118	34	5	. 1	7.1	348	4	60	34	1	7.1	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
, iii o thei o taaches	55.	'		3.0									'	5.5	·				'''	0,003					0.7
SES							47		2.0			4.5					40	47	- 4	2 002		24	-		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	55	3	0	52	1	; 2	17	; 33	26	50	8	15	5.4	53	2	32	49	17	5.4	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	335	4	5	326	14	4	196	60	110	34	6	2	7.1	326	4	60	34	2	7.1	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant						:		:										1					:		
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	390	7	5	378	15	4	213	56	136	36	14	4	6.8	379	4	56	36	4	6.8	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I								:																	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0										0						2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	390	7	5	378	15	. 4	213	56	136	36	14	. 4	6.8	379	4	56	36	4	6.8	7,838	6	50	. 39	5	6.7
Galer stadents		'		3,0				. 50	.50		'		0.0	""			. 50		0.0	,,050				,	0.7
504 Plan														_											
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9		: .		:	4.22			: _		9				1		238	2	47	; 44	6	6.4
All Other Students	381	7	5	369	15	; 4	209	; 57	133	36	12	; 3	6.9	370	4	56	36	4	6.9	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient