## About The New England Common Assessment Program

ASSESSMENT

This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New **England Common** Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and

accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the results, schools and districts will also tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current data files containing NECAP results. grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiplechoice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics ENGLAN test, students may be required to provide the correct

answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On

the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3

This report contains a variety of schooland/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



### Fall 2011 **Beginning of Grade 11 NFCAP Tests**

**Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012** 

### **School Results**

**School:** East Providence High

**District**: East Providence

Code: 10-10112



### Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Grade Level Summary Report**

School: East Providence High

District: East Providence State: **Rhode Island** 

Cod	le:	10	-10	112
Cou	ie.	10	-10	1 1 4

DADTICIDATION : NICCAD					Numbe	ſ							Po	ercenta	ge			
PARTICIPATION in NECAP		School			District			State			School			District	t		State	
Students enrolled on or after October 1		401			422			11,119			100			100			100	
	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
Students tested	388	388	387	404	403	402	10,537	10,578	10,508	97	97	97	96	95	95	95	95	95
With an approved accommodation	20	20	20	29	29	28	1,630	2,051	1,323	5	5	5	7	7	7	15	19	13
Current LEP Students	8	8	8	8	8	8	349	380	345	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	3
With an approved accommodation	1	0	1	1	0	1	73	65	54	13	0	13	13	0	13	21	17	16
IEP Students	86	86	85	102	101	100	1,634	1,634	1,619	22	22	22	25	25	25	16	15	15
With an approved accommodation	12	12	13	21	21	21	864	915	867	14	14	15	21	21	21	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	13	13	14	18	19	20	582	541	611	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	5
State Approved	2	2	2	3	3	3	116	119	116	15	15	14	17	16	15	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	2	2	2	3	3	3	98	98	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	10	9
Other	11	11	12	15	16	17	466	422	495	85	85	86	83	84	85	80	78	81

#### **NECAP RESULTS**

						Schoo	ol									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	rel 2	Leve	el 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	401	2	11	388	117	30	192	49	57	15	22	6	1149	404	29	49	15	7	1148	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
МАТН	401	2	11	388	5	1	96	25	112	29	175	45	1135	403	1	24	28	47	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	401	2	12	387	10	3	153	40	209	54	15	4	6.2	402	2	38	54	5	6.1	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

## **Reading Results**

**School:** East Providence High

District: East Providence State: Rhode Island Code: 10-10112

#### Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student offers insightful observations/assertions that are well supported by references to the text. Student uses range of vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend a wide variety of texts.

(Scaled Score 1154–1180)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's performance demonstrates an ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student is able to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student makes and supports relevant assertions by referencing text. Student uses vocabulary strategies and breadth of vocabulary knowledge to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1140-1153)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's performance demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and comprehend grade-appropriate text. Student attempts to analyze and interpret literary and informational text. Student may make and/or support assertions by referencing text. Student's vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies may be limited and may impact the ability to read and comprehend text. (Scaled Score 1130–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's performance demonstrates minimal ability to derive/construct meaning from grade-appropriate text. Student may be able to recognize story elements and text features. Student's limited vocabulary knowledge and use of strategies impacts the ability to read and comprehend text.

(Scaled Score 1100-1129)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School		:											
2009-10	450	4	27	419	65	16	194	46	103	25	57	14	1143
2010-11	438	1 1	12	425	105	25	205	48	77	18	38	9	1147
2011-12	401	2	11	388	117	30	192	49	57	15	22	6	1149
Cumulative Total	1,289	7	50	1,232	287	23	591	48	237	19	117	9	1146
District		: :											
2009-10	467	10	31	426	65	15	195	46	105	25	61	14	1143
2010-11	450	6	17	427	105	25	206	48	77	18	39	9	1146
2011-12	422	3	15	404	117	29	197	49	62	15	28	7	1148
Cumulative Total	1,339	19	63	1,257	287	23	598	48	244	19	128	10	1146
State													
2009-10	11,189	223	224	10,742	2,466	23	5,416	50	1,875	17	985	9	1146
2010-11	11,164	195	341	10,628	2,964	28	5,126	48	1,688	16	850	8	1147
2011-12	11,119	116	466	10,537	3,289	31	4,782	45	1,571	15	895	8	1148
Cumulative Total	33,472	534	1,031	31,907	8,719	27	15,324	48	5,134	16	2,730	9	1147

C.L.	Total			ļ	Percen	nt of To	otal Po	ossible	Point	5			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Word ID/Vocabulary	20								<b>◆</b>				
Type of Text					1		1						<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Literary	42								• :				<ul><li>▲ District</li><li>♦ State</li></ul>
Informational	42							<b>4</b> <b>★</b>	<b>&gt;</b>				<ul><li>Standard</li><li>Error Bar</li></ul>
Level of Comprehension													
Initial Understanding	32				1				*				
Analysis & Interpretation	52							<b>♦</b>					



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Reading Results

**School:** East Providence High

District: East Providence State: Rhode Island

**Code**: 10-10112

						Scho	ool									Dist	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled
	N	N	N	N	N	· : %	N	. %	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	: %	: %	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Score
All Students	401	2	11	388	117	30	192	49	57	15	22	6	1149	404	29	49	15	7	1148	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender																		1				1	:		
Male	201	2	5	194	50	26	103	53	31	16	10	5	1147	205	24	52	17	7	1146	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	199	0	6	193	67	35	89	46	26	13	11	6	1150	198	34	46	14	. 6	1149	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	1	0	0	1	07	. 55	05	. 40	20	, 13	''		1130	1	) 54	. 40	. 14		1143	14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																		1							
Hispanic or Latino	23	0	1	22	4	18	11	50	4	18	3	14	1144	24	17	50	17	17	1143	1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino			•						'		-							1 77		', '					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6										6				1		51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	3	0	0	3		:		:			l			3						288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	54	0	2	52	9	. 17	27	52	14	27	2	4	1146	53	17	51	28	4	1146	875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		. ''		. 32	'-	. 21	-	7	1140	0	''		. 20		1140	19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	305	2	8	295	98	. 33	143	. 48	38	13	16	5	1149	307	32	48	14	. 7	1148	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
	9	0	0	9	90	. 33	143	40	30	13	10		1149	10	10	80	10		1149	173		1			1146
Two or more races No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1										10	10	. 80	: 10	0	1149	22	24 9	49 36	† 15 † 18	13 36	1138
LEP Status						:		:								:	:						:		
Current LEP student	8	0	0	8				:						8		:	:	:	İ	349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0		:	:			24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0		:		1	İ	34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	393	2	11	380	117	; 31	191	50	53	14	19	5	1149	396	30	49	15	6	1148	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																		1 1 1				( ) (			
Students with an IEP	90	2	2	86	7	8	37	43	26	30	16	19	1139	102	7	41	30	22	1138	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	311	0	9	302	110	36	155	51	31	10	6	2	1151	302	36	51	10	2	1151	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES						:		:								:	:	1							
Economically Disadvantaged Students	126	1 1	3	122	30	25	63	52	21	17	8	7	1147	131	23	50	18	8	1146	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	275	1	8	266	87	33	129	48	36	14	14	5	1149	273	32	48	14	6	1149	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																		1							
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		:		:						0		:				0		1			
All Other Students	401	2	11	388	117	30	192	49	57	15	22	6	1149	404	29	49	15	7	1148	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I																		1					1		
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:		:						0		:	:			2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	401	2	11	388	117	30	192	49	57	15	22	6	1149	404	29	49	15	7	1148	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan						:		:								:	:	1				1	:		
Students with a 504 Plan	3	0	0	3		1		1						3		:	:	1		238	29	49	; 19	3	1148
All Other Students	398	2	11	385	117	; 30	190	: 49	56	15	22	6	1149	401	29	49	15	7	1148	10,299	31	45	: 15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests **Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012**

### **Mathematics Results**

School: East Providence High

District: East Providence State: Rhode Island Code:

10-10112

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

#### **Proficient (Level 3)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations. (Scaled Score 1140-1151)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.

(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100-1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	rel 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Scaled Score
School													
2009-10	450	2	31	417	0	0	57	14	115	28	245	59	1130
2010-11	438	1	14	423	0	0	108	26	132	31	183	43	1134
2011-12	401	2	11	388	5	1	96	25	112	29	175	45	1135
Cumulative Total	1,289	5	56	1,228	5	<1	261	21	359	29	603	49	1133
District						:							
2009-10	467	8	34	425	0	0	57	13	116	27	252	59	1130
2010-11	450	6	19	425	0	0	108	25	132	31	185	44	1134
2011-12	422	3	16	403	5	1	96	24	114	28	188	47	1134
Cumulative Total	1,339	17	69	1,253	5	<1	261	21	362	29	625	50	1133
State						:							
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135

	Total				Perce	nt of T	otal Po	ossible	Point	s			
Subtopic	Possible Points	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
Numbers & Operations	19			:	*					1	:		<ul><li>School</li></ul>
Geometry & Measurement	43				*				:				▲ District ◆ State
Geometry & wedstrement		-			•	-			:				<ul><li>State</li><li>Standard</li></ul>
Functions & Algebra	52			:	:	<b>+</b>			:				Error Bar
Data, Statistics, & Probability	22				<b>4</b>								



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Mathematics Results

**School:** East Providence High

District: East Providence State: Rhode Island

**Code:** 10-10112

						Scho	ol									Dist	rict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	vel 4	Lev	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mea Scale
	N	N	N	N	N	: %	N	: %	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	: %	%	Scor
All Students	401	2	11	388	5	1	96	25	112	29	175	45	1135	403	1	24	28	47	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	113
Gender																		! ! !				1 1		! ! !	
Male	201	2	5	194	4	; <sub>2</sub>	48	25	60	31	82	42	1135	205	2	23	30	44	1135	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	199	0	6	193	1	1	48	25	52	27	92	48	1134	197	1	24	26	49	1134	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	1	0	0	1	•			: 23	"	-/	"	10	1131	1	·				1131	19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity								:										· ·				!			
Hispanic or Latino	23	0	1	22	0	. 0	6	27	4	18	12	55	1134	24	0	25	17	58	1133	1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino						1		1												'			1	:	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6		1								6						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	3	0	0	3		:								3						291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	54		2	52	0	. 0	5	10	16	31	31	60	1131	53	0	9	30	60	1131	868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		0	0	Ū	:	1				3.		15.	0			. 50		1131	18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	305	2	8	295	3	1	82	. 28	88	30	122	41	1135	306	1	27	29	43	1135	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	9	0	0	9	3	: '	02	. 20	00	. 30	122	41	1133	10	10	0	30	60	1133	174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1										1	10	U	30	. 00	1133	28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status								:										!				!		!	
Current LEP student	8	0	0	8				1						8						380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0		0	0				1						0				! !		24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0		0	0		1		1						0						34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	393	2	11	380	5	1	96	25	112	29	167	44	1135	395	1	24	29	46	1135	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP																		,    -				, !		!	
Students with an IEP	90	2	2	86	0	. 0	6	. 7	9	10	71	83	1127	101	0	6	11	83	1127	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	311	0	9	302	5	. 2	90	. 30	103	34	104	34	1137	302	2	30	34	34	1137	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
	311		3	302	J		30	. 30	103	. 34	104		1137	302	2	30	. 34	, J4 ,	1137	0,344	2	. 32	. 29		1130
SES	126	,	2	122	2		10	1.	24	. 20		F.4	1122	121	,	15	. 27		1122	2 020	.1	1.4	. 22		1121
Economically Disadvantaged Students All Other Students	275	1 1	3 8	122 266	3 2	2	19 77	; 16 ; 29	34 78	28 29	66 109	54 41	1132 1136	131 272	2 1	15 28	27 29	56 42	1132 1136	3,829 6,749	<1 3	14 36	22	64	1131
Migrant																		!				!			
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0		1								0						0			1		
All Other Students	401	2	11	388	5	1	96	25	112	29	175	45	1135	403	1	24	28	47	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I						:		:										!				!		!	
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		1								0						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	401	2	11	388	5	1	96	25	112	29	175	45	1135	403	1	24	28	47	1134	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan								:										!				1 	:	!	
Students with a 504 Plan	3	0	0	3							l			3				: :	l	236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	398	2	11	385	5	. 1	96	25	111	29	173	45	1135	400	1	24	28	47	1134	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

## **Writing Results**

**School:** East Providence High

**District:** East Providence **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 10-10112

#### **Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)**

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.

(Raw Score 10-12)

#### Proficient (Level 3)

Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.

(Raw Score 7–9)

#### **Partially Proficient (Level 2)**

Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.

(Raw Score 4-6)

#### **Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)**

Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning. (Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Lev	el 4	Leve	el 3	Lev	el 2	Lev	el 1	Mean
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score
School													
2009-10	450	6	29	415	17	4	167	40	209	50	22	5	6.3
2010-11	438	1 1	13	424	1 :	<1	155	37	247	58	21 :	5	6.1
2011-12	401	2	12	387	10	3	153	40	209	54	15	4	6.2
Cumulative Total	1,289	9	54	1,226	28	2	475	39	665	54	58	5	6.2
District													
2009-10	467	12	33	422	17	4	168	40	212	50	25	6	6.3
2010-11	450	6	18	426	1	<1	155	36	248	58	22	5	6.1
2011-12	422	3	17	402	10	2	153	38	217	54	22	5	6.1
Cumulative Total	1,339	21	68	1,250	28	2	476	38	677	54	69	6	6.2
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	<b>Reflective Essay</b> A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay  Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: East Providence High
District: East Providence

State: Rhode Island
Code: 10-10112

### Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing§

		verage	Jeore	Compai	יו ווטנו	yiype	OI VVI	itilig							
Tour and Mariella an			Sc	hool			I	District					State		
Type of Writing	Tested	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7 12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a	2011-12 (C)	387	6.2	-		402	6.1		-		10,508	6.4	_	•	
certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2010-11	55	6.0	_		55	6.0				1,340	6.6	-	+	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making	2011-12	47	6.6	-	-	49	6.4		-		1,304	6.4	_	•	
inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	56	5.7	4		56	5.7		4		1,327	6.2	_		
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making	2011-12	52	5.7	-	_	55	5.5	]   .	-		1,330	6.0	-	•	
inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2010-11	48	6.0	-		48	6.0				1,313	6.3	-	4	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning	2011-12	47	6.5	-	-	49	6.4		-		1,317	6.7	-	•	
of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2010-11 (C)	424	6.1	_		426	6.1		4		10,594	6.4	-	4	
<b>Report</b> Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	44	6.1	-	_	47	6.0		-		1,317	6.7	-	•	
and thoughts on a focused topic.	2010-11	52	6.5	-		52	6.5		+		1,328	6.6	-	+	
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps	2011-12	50	7.0	<b></b>	-	52	6.8		-		1,300	6.6	-	•	
of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2010-11 (NA)														

<sup>(</sup>C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar ( \_\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The A shows last year's score and the gray bar (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

<sup>§</sup> The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric.



## Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Writing Results

School: East Providence High
District: East Providence

State: Rhode Island Code: 10-10112

			Score Dis	stribution				
Total	Score	Score	Sch	ool	Dis	State		
Score	1	2	N	%	N	%	%	
12	6	6	1	<1	1	<1	<1	
11	6	5	1	<1	1	<1	1	
10	5	5	8	2	8	2	4	
9	5	4	23	6	23	6	8	
8	4	4	77	20	77	19	21	
7	4	3	53	14	53	13	17	
6	3	3	91	24	91	23	21	
5	3	2	46	12	49	12	9	
4	2	2	72	19	77	19	13	
3	2	1	6	2	6	1	3	
2	1	1	7	2	14	3	3	
0	0	0	2	1	2	<1	1	

	Scoring Rubric
6	purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing     intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose     distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	• purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout • details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent     details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well     may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence     some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence     generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and     mechanics are distracting
1	• lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



# Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012 Disaggregated Writing Results

**School:** East Providence High

**District:** East Providence **State:** Rhode Island

**Code:** 10-10112

	School														Dist	trict		State							
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Enrolled N	NT Approved	NT Other	r Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score		Level 4		Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
		N	N		N : %	: %	N	: %	N	· : %	N	%		N	%	: %	: %	: %	Jeore	N	%	%	: %	%	
All Students	401	2	12	387	10	3	153	40	209	54	15	4	6.2	402	2	38	54	5	6.1	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender																		1				1			
Male	201	2	6	193	6	3	69	36	112	58	6	3	6.1	203	3	34	57	6	5.9	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	199	0	6	193	4	. 2	84	. 44	96	50	9	5	6.3	198	2	42	51	: 5	6.3	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	1	0	0	1	-		04		30	. 50			0.5	1	_	. 42		:	0.5	15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity								:										1							
Hispanic or Latino	23	0	1	22	0	. 0	6	27	14	64	2	. 9	5.8	24	0	25	58	17	5.5	1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino					_		-				-				-					',					
American Indian or Alaskan Native	6	0	0	6										6						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	3	0	0	3				1						3						287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	54	0	2	52	0	. 0	18	35	31	60	3	6	5.8	53	0	34	60	6	5.8	870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		:	"	. 33	"		]		3.0	0				:	3.0	19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	305	2	9	294	9	. 3	122	41	153	52	10	3	6.3	305	3	40	52	. 5	6.2	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	9	0	0	9	,		122	. 41	133	, JZ	10	. ,	0.5	10	0	30	70	: 0	6.0	174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	1	0	0	1				:						1	"	. 30	; 70	:	0.0	23	4	4	52	39	4.0
LEP Status								:								:		1							
Current LEP student	8	0	0	8		:		:		:				8		:	;			345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0		:		:		:	İ			<b>l</b> 0		:	:	:		24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0		:		:		:				0		:		:	İ	33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	393	2	12	379	10	3	152	40	206	54	11	3	6.2	394	3	39	54	5	6.1	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																		!							
Students with an IEP	90	2	3	85	0	0	15	18	62	73	8	9	5.1	100	0	15	70	15	4.9	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	311	0	9	302	10	3	138	46	147	49	7	2	6.5	302	3	46	49	2	6.5	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
SES								:										1				1			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	126	1	3	122	3	; 2	38	; 31	71	; 58	10	8	5.9	130	2	; 29	; 58	; 11	5.7	3,803	2	34	; 53	11	5.7
All Other Students	275	1	9	265	7	3	115	43	138	52	5	2	6.3	272	3	42	52	3	6.3	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant								:								:		1 1				1			
Migrant Students All Other Students	0 401	0 2	0 12	0 387	10	. 3	153	40	209	. 54	15	4	6.2	0 402	2	38	. 54	5	6.1	0 10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
						1		1										1				1	1	! !	
Title I								1						<b>l</b> .				1							
Students Receiving Title I Services	0	0	0	0		:		1						0			:	1		2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	401	2	12	387	10	3	153	40	209	54	15	4	6.2	402	2	38	54	5	6.1	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan						:		:		: :								1 1 1							
Students with a 504 Plan	3	0	0	3		;	4.50	;						3	_			;		238	2	47	; 44	6	6.4
All Other Students	398	2	12	384	10	; 3	152	; 40	207	; 54	15	4	6.2	399	3	; 38	; 54	6	6.1	10,270	5	46	; 43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient