


About The New England Common Assessment Program



This report highlights results from the Fall 2011 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests. The NECAP tests are administered to students in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont as part of each state's statewide assessment program. NECAP test results are used primarily for school improvement and accountability. Achievement level results are used in the state accountability system required under No Child Left Behind (NCLB). More detailed school and district results are used by schools to help improve curriculum and instruction. Individual student results are used to support information gathered through classroom instruction and assessments.

NECAP tests in reading and mathematics are administered to students in grades 3 through 8 and 11 and writing tests are administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11. The NECAP grade 11 tests are designed to measure student performance on grade span expectations (GSE) developed and adopted by the three states. Specifically, the tests are designed to measure the content and skills that students are expected to have as they begin the school year in their current grade — in other words, the content and skills which students have learned through the end of the previous grade.

Each test contains a mix of multiple-choice and constructed-response questions. Constructed-response questions require students to develop their own answers to

questions. On the mathematics test, students may be required to provide the correct answer to a computation or word problem, draw or interpret a chart or graph, or explain how they solved a problem. On the reading test, students may be required to make a list or write a few paragraphs to answer a question related to a literary or informational passage. On the writing test, students are required to provide two extended responses of 1-3 pages.

This report contains a variety of school- and/or district-, and state-level assessment results for the NECAP tests administered at a grade level. Achievement level distributions and mean scaled scores are provided for all students tested as well as for subgroups of students classified by demographics or program participation. The report also contains comparative information on school and district performance on subtopics within each content area tested.

In addition to this report of grade 11 results, schools and districts will also receive Item Analysis Reports, Released Item support materials, and student-level data files containing NECAP results. Together, these reports and data constitute a rich source of information to support local decisions in curriculum, instruction, assessment, and professional development. Over time, this information can also strengthen school's and district's evaluation of their ongoing improvement efforts.



Fall 2011 Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

School Results

School: Cranston High School West

District: Cranston

Code: 07-07126



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Grade Level Summary Report

School:	Cranston High School West
District:	Cranston
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	07-07126

PARTICIPATION in NECAP	Number									Percentage								
	School			District			State			School			District			State		
Students enrolled on or after October 1	430			879			11,119			100			100			100		
Students tested	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing	Reading	Math	Writing
With an approved accommodation	424	424	424	828	829	826	10,537	10,578	10,508	99	99	99	94	94	94	95	95	95
Current LEP Students	32	34	33	90	99	94	1,630	2,051	1,323	8	8	8	11	12	11	15	19	13
With an approved accommodation	0	0	0	23	25	23	349	380	345	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	4	3
IEP Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	65	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	17	16
With an approved accommodation	44	44	44	120	120	120	1,634	1,634	1,619	10	10	10	14	14	15	16	15	15
Other	28	30	29	70	75	75	864	915	867	64	68	66	58	63	63	53	56	54
Students not tested in NECAP	6	6	6	51	50	53	582	541	611	1	1	1	6	6	6	5	5	5
State Approved	1	1	1	5	4	5	116	119	116	17	17	17	10	8	9	20	22	19
Alternate Assessment	1	1	1	2	2	2	98	98	98	100	100	100	40	50	40	84	82	84
First Year LEP	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	20	3	0	3
Withdrew After October 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3
Enrolled After October 1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	20	25	20	1	2	1
Special Consideration	0	0	0	1	1	1	10	12	11	0	0	0	20	25	20	9	10	9
Other	5	5	5	46	46	48	466	422	495	83	83	83	90	92	91	80	78	81

NECAP RESULTS

	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
READING	430	1	5	424	125	29	221	52	59	14	19	4	1149	828	27	51	16	7	1147	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
MATH	430	1	5	424	5	1	110	26	132	31	177	42	1135	829	1	22	28	48	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
WRITING	430	1	5	424	16	4	199	47	193	46	16	4	6.5	826	4	44	48	4	6.4	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Throughout this report, percentages may not total 100 since each percentage is rounded to the nearest whole number.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Reading Results

School: Cranston High School West
District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island
Code: 07-07126

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	430	1	5	424	125	29	221	52	59	14	19	4	1149	828	27	51	16	7	1147	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Gender																									
Male	211	1	4	206	39	19	112	54	41	20	14	7	1146	416	19	52	20	10	1145	5,336	25	46	18	11	1146
Female	219	0	1	218	86	39	109	50	18	8	5	2	1151	412	36	49	11	4	1150	5,187	38	45	12	6	1150
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						14	7	43	21	29	1138
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	33	0	0	33	9	27	17	52	5	15	2	6	1146	129	18	52	17	13	1143	1,934	13	46	23	18	1141
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										4						51	25	31	27	16	1143
Asian	19	0	1	18	8	44	8	44	1	6	1	6	1152	69	36	41	16	7	1148	288	36	44	14	7	1149
Black or African American	5	0	0	5										27	11	67	19	4	1145	875	12	44	26	18	1141
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						19	21	58	11	11	1146
White	372	1	4	367	106	29	193	53	52	14	16	4	1149	591	29	51	15	5	1148	7,175	39	45	11	5	1151
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										8						173	24	49	15	13	1146
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						22	9	36	18	36	1138
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										23	0	9	39	52	1129	349	1	11	34	54	1129
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	54	42	4	1140
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	12	59	24	6	1144
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	125	29	221	52	59	14	19	4	1149	805	28	52	15	5	1148	10,130	32	47	14	7	1148
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	46	1	1	44	2	5	20	45	11	25	11	25	1139	120	6	40	31	23	1138	1,634	4	34	33	29	1136
All Other Students	384	0	4	380	123	32	201	53	48	13	8	2	1150	708	31	53	13	4	1149	8,903	36	47	12	5	1150
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	1	2	63	11	17	31	49	13	21	8	13	1144	267	15	52	20	13	1144	3,816	15	47	22	15	1142
All Other Students	364	0	3	361	114	32	190	53	46	13	11	3	1149	561	33	50	13	4	1149	6,721	40	44	11	5	1151
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	125	29	221	52	59	14	19	4	1149	828	27	51	16	7	1147	10,537	31	45	15	8	1148
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1										4						2,680	15	45	23	17	1142
All Other Students	429	1	5	423	125	30	220	52	59	14	19	4	1149	824	27	51	16	7	1147	7,857	37	45	12	6	1150
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										9						238	29	49	19	3	1148
All Other Students	421	1	5	415	122	29	218	53	56	13	19	5	1149	819	27	51	15	7	1147	10,299	31	45	15	9	1148

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School West
 District: Cranston
 State: Rhode Island
 Code: 07-07126

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with strong explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student's work exhibits a high level of accuracy, effective use of a variety of strategies, and an understanding of mathematical concepts within and across grade level expectations. Student demonstrates the ability to move from concrete to abstract representations.

(Scaled Score 1152–1180)

Proficient (Level 3)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning with appropriate explanations that include both words and proper mathematical notation. Student uses a variety of strategies that are often systematic. Computational errors do not interfere with communicating understanding. Student demonstrates conceptual understanding of most aspects of the grade level expectations.

(Scaled Score 1140–1151)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)

Student's problem solving demonstrates logical reasoning and conceptual understanding in some, but not all, aspects of the grade level expectations. Many problems are started correctly, but computational errors may get in the way of completing some aspects of the problem. Student uses some effective strategies. Student's work demonstrates that he or she is generally stronger with concrete than abstract situations.


(Scaled Score 1134–1139)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)

Student's problem solving is often incomplete, lacks logical reasoning and accuracy, and shows little conceptual understanding in most aspects of the grade level expectations. Student is able to start some problems but computational errors and lack of conceptual understanding interfere with solving problems successfully.

(Scaled Score 1100–1133)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
School													
2009-10	419	5	2	412	6	1	115	28	135	33	156	38	1136
2010-11	410	3	2	405	11	3	125	31	156	39	113	28	1136
2011-12	430	1	5	424	5	1	110	26	132	31	177	42	1135
Cumulative Total	1,259	9	9	1,241	22	2	350	28	423	34	446	36	1136
District													
2009-10	823	13	7	803	9	1	176	22	217	27	401	50	1134
2010-11	905	14	27	864	16	2	205	24	286	33	357	41	1134
2011-12	879	4	46	829	10	1	186	22	236	28	397	48	1134
Cumulative Total	2,607	31	80	2,496	35	1	567	23	739	30	1,155	46	1134
State													
2009-10	11,189	195	278	10,716	181	2	2,748	26	2,958	28	4,829	45	1134
2010-11	11,164	156	336	10,672	272	3	3,224	30	3,094	29	4,082	38	1135
2011-12	11,119	119	422	10,578	226	2	2,941	28	2,755	26	4,656	44	1135
Cumulative Total	33,472	470	1,036	31,966	679	2	8,913	28	8,807	28	13,567	42	1135





Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Mathematics Results

School: Cranston High School West
District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island
Code: 07-07126

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N	N	%	%	%	%	N
All Students	430	1	5	424	5	1	110	26	132	31	177	42	1135	829	1	22	28	48	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Gender																									
Male	211	1	4	206	3	1	54	26	66	32	83	40	1136	417	1	22	29	47	1134	5,351	3	29	26	43	1135
Female	219	0	1	218	2	1	56	26	66	30	94	43	1135	412	1	23	27	49	1134	5,208	2	27	26	45	1134
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						19	0	5	42	53	1129
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	33	0	0	33	0	0	2	6	10	30	21	64	1132	129	0	4	29	67	1130	1,955	<1	11	19	70	1129
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										4						51	0	6	25	69	1130
Asian	19	0	1	18	1	6	9	50	4	22	4	22	1140	69	1	41	25	33	1137	291	5	40	24	32	1138
Black or African American	5	0	0	5										27	0	4	26	70	1130	868	0	9	21	70	1129
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						18	0	17	39	44	1135
White	372	1	4	367	4	1	99	27	114	31	150	41	1135	592	2	26	29	44	1135	7,193	3	35	29	34	1137
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										8						174	3	20	26	51	1133
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						28	4	4	36	57	1129
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										25	0	12	12	76	1126	380	<1	3	6	90	1123
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	13	13	75	1128
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						34	0	6	12	82	1130
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	5	1	110	26	132	31	177	42	1135	804	1	23	29	47	1134	10,140	2	29	27	42	1135
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	46	1	1	44	0	0	0	0	6	14	38	86	1126	120	0	3	13	83	1126	1,634	<1	4	12	84	1125
All Other Students	384	0	4	380	5	1	110	29	126	33	139	37	1136	709	1	26	31	42	1135	8,944	2	32	29	37	1136
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	1	2	63	0	0	5	8	23	37	35	56	1131	266	1	12	25	62	1132	3,829	<1	14	22	64	1131
All Other Students	364	0	3	361	5	1	105	29	109	30	142	39	1136	563	1	28	30	41	1135	6,749	3	36	28	33	1137
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	5	1	110	26	132	31	177	42	1135	829	1	22	28	48	1134	10,578	2	28	26	44	1135
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1										4						2,688	1	13	20	66	1130
All Other Students	429	1	5	423	5	1	110	26	132	31	176	42	1135	825	1	22	29	48	1134	7,890	3	33	28	37	1136
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										9						236	2	29	32	37	1136
All Other Students	421	1	5	415	5	1	107	26	127	31	176	42	1135	820	1	22	28	48	1134	10,342	2	28	26	44	1135

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School:	Cranston High School West
District:	Cranston
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	07-07126

Proficient with Distinction (Level 4)
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task with clarity and insight. Focus is well developed and maintained throughout response. Response demonstrates use of strong organizational structures. A variety of elaboration strategies is evident. Sentence structures and language choices are varied and used effectively. Response demonstrates control of conventions; minor errors may occur.
(Raw Score 10–12)

Proficient (Level 3)
 Student's writing demonstrates an ability to respond to prompt/task. Focus is clear and maintained throughout the response. Response is organized with a beginning, middle, and end with appropriate transitions. Details are sufficiently elaborated to support focus. Sentence structures and language use are varied. Response demonstrates control of conventions; errors may occur but do not interfere with meaning.
(Raw Score 7–9)

Partially Proficient (Level 2)
 Student's writing demonstrates an attempt to respond to prompt/task. Focus may be present but not maintained. Organizational structure is inconsistent with limited use of transitions. Details may be listed and lack elaboration. Sentence structures and language use are unsophisticated and may be repetitive. Response demonstrates inconsistent control of conventions.
(Raw Score 4–6)

Substantially Below Proficient (Level 1)
 Student's writing demonstrates a minimal response to prompt/task. Focus is unclear or lacking. Little or no organizational structure is evident. Details are minimal and/or random. Sentence structures and language use are minimal or absent. Frequent errors in conventions may interfere with meaning.
(Raw Score 2–3)

	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
School													
2009-10	419	4	2	413	31	8	210	51	152	37	20	5	6.8
2010-11	410	3	2	405	4	1	221	55	167	41	13	3	6.7
2011-12	430	1	5	424	16	4	199	47	193	46	16	4	6.5
Cumulative Total	1,259	8	9	1,242	51	4	630	51	512	41	49	4	6.7
District													
2009-10	823	12	7	804	57	7	369	46	340	42	38	5	6.6
2010-11	905	13	32	860	5	1	413	48	414	48	28	3	6.5
2011-12	879	5	48	826	29	4	366	44	396	48	35	4	6.4
Cumulative Total	2,607	30	87	2,490	91	4	1,148	46	1,150	46	101	4	6.5
State													
2009-10	11,189	221	289	10,679	742	7	5,124	48	4,253	40	560	5	6.7
2010-11	11,164	189	381	10,594	119	1	5,269	50	4,680	44	526	5	6.4
2011-12	11,119	116	495	10,508	478	5	4,830	46	4,499	43	701	7	6.4
Cumulative Total	33,472	526	1,165	31,781	1,339	4	15,223	48	13,432	42	1,787	6	6.5

	Types of Writing Reported in the Results Above
2009-10	Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.
2010-11	Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.
2011-12	Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School: Cranston High School West
District: Cranston
State: Rhode Island
Code: 07-07126

Average Score Comparison by Type of Writing[§]

Type of Writing	Tested	School					District					State				
		Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12	Number Tested	Mean Score	0	7	12
Persuasive Essay Persuasive writing is writing that aims at convincing people to accept a point of view, to change their minds about something or to act in a certain way. A persuasive essay is a form of writing in which a writer supports an opinion and tries to persuade an audience.	2011-12 (C)	424	6.5		●		826	6.4		●		10,508	6.4		●	
	2010-11	51	6.8		▲		105	6.7		▲		1,340	6.6		▲	
Response to Literary Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	53	6.2		●		99	6.3		●		1,304	6.4		●	
	2010-11	53	6.4		▲		110	6.4		▲		1,327	6.2		▲	
Response to Informational Text Writing in which the writer analyzes plot/ideas/concepts, making inferences about content, characters, philosophy, theme, author's craft, or other elements within a piece of literature or informational text.	2011-12	57	5.7		●		109	5.7		●		1,330	6.0		●	
	2010-11	50	6.5		▲		110	6.2		▲		1,313	6.3		▲	
Reflective Essay A form of writing in which the writer explores and shares the meaning of a personal experience, belief, or idea.	2011-12	53	6.8		●		101	6.7		●		1,317	6.7		●	
	2010-11 (C)	405	6.7		▲		860	6.5		▲		10,594	6.4		▲	
Report Writing that results from gathering, investigating, and organizing facts and thoughts on a focused topic.	2011-12	53	7.0		●		108	6.9		●		1,317	6.7		●	
	2010-11	52	7.0		▲		112	6.7		▲		1,328	6.6		▲	
Procedure Writing a procedure is writing to explain a process or to inform an audience of how to do something. A procedure piece presents the steps of the process in a clear, logical, easy-to-follow manner; includes all necessary steps; and defines any terms the audience may not know.	2011-12	47	6.4		●		99	6.3		●		1,300	6.6		●	
	2010-11 (NA)															

(C) This type of writing was administered to all students. (NA) This type of writing was not administered to students in 2010-11

The ● shows this year's score and the black bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

The ▲ shows last year's score and the gray bar (————) shows the range where most students in this sample scored.

§ The range of 0 to 12 on the graphic display represents the possible score range for the writing prompt. The range of 0 to 12 is a result of adding the two scores assigned to the student's response from the 6-point scoring rubric. The score of 7 represents the score required to be proficient.

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Writing Results

School:	Cranston High School West
District:	Cranston
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	07-07126

Score Distribution							
Total Score	Score 1	Score 2	School		District		State
			N	%	N	%	
12	6	6	0	0	1	<1	<1
11	6	5	2	<1	4	<1	1
10	5	5	14	3	24	3	4
9	5	4	27	6	51	6	8
8	4	4	102	24	181	22	21
7	4	3	70	17	134	16	17
6	3	3	99	23	186	23	21
5	3	2	35	8	82	10	9
4	2	2	59	14	128	15	13
3	2	1	7	2	21	3	3
2	1	1	9	2	11	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	3	<1	1

Scoring Rubric	
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> purpose is clear throughout; strong focus/controlling idea OR strongly stated purpose focuses the writing intentionally organized for effect • fully developed details; rich and/or insightful elaboration supports purpose distinctive voice, tone, and style enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> purpose is clear; focus/controlling idea is maintained throughout • well-organized and coherent throughout details are relevant and support purpose; details are sufficiently elaborated • strong command of sentence structure; uses language to enhance meaning • consistent application of the rules of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> purpose is evident; focus/controlling idea may not be maintained • generally organized and coherent details are relevant and mostly support purpose • well-constructed sentences; uses language well may show inconsistent control of grade-level grammar, usage, and mechanics
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> writing has a general purpose • some sense of organization; may have lapses in coherence some relevant details support purpose • uses language adequately; may show little variety of sentence structures • may contain some serious errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> attempted or vague purpose; stays on topic • little evidence of organization; lapses in coherence generalizes or lists details • lacks sentence control; uses language poorly • errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics are distracting
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> lack of evident purpose; topic may not be clear • incoherent or underdeveloped organization • random information • rudimentary or deficient use of language • serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics throughout
0	Response is totally incorrect or irrelevant.

Score 1 and Score 2 represent two independent scores assigned to a student's response to the common writing prompt. The two scores added together equal the student's total score on the common writing prompt. If the two scores differ by more than one point, the student's response is scored a third time to resolve the difference.



Fall 2011 - Beginning of Grade 11 NECAP Tests

Grade 11 Students in 2011-2012

Disaggregated Writing Results

School:	Cranston High School West
District:	Cranston
State:	Rhode Island
Code:	07-07126

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School												District						State						
	Enrolled	NT Approved	NT Other	Tested	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score	Tested	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1	Mean Score
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N	%	%	%	%		N	%	%	%	%	
	N	N	N	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	%		
All Students	430	1	5	424	16	4	199	47	193	46	16	4	6.5	826	4	44	48	4	6.4	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Gender																									
Male	211	1	4	206	8	4	77	37	108	52	13	6	6.1	415	3	36	55	6	6.0	5,312	3	40	47	9	6.1
Female	219	0	1	218	8	4	122	56	85	39	3	1	6.9	411	4	53	40	3	6.7	5,181	6	52	38	4	6.8
Not Reported	0	0	0	0										0						15	0	7	53	40	4.0
Race/Ethnicity																									
Hispanic or Latino	33	0	0	33	0	0	14	42	18	55	1	3	6.2	128	1	40	51	9	6.0	1,921	1	33	52	14	5.6
Not Hispanic or Latino																									
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0										4						50	2	38	50	10	5.8
Asian	19	0	1	18	2	11	10	56	5	28	1	6	7.3	68	3	56	40	1	6.7	287	7	51	39	3	6.8
Black or African American	5	0	0	5										27	4	33	52	11	6.0	870	2	29	57	12	5.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0										0						19	0	37	58	5	5.7
White	372	1	4	367	14	4	170	46	169	46	14	4	6.5	591	4	45	48	3	6.4	7,164	6	52	38	4	6.8
Two or more races	1	0	0	1										8						174	6	33	55	7	6.1
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0	0	0										0						23	4	4	52	39	4.0
LEP Status																									
Current LEP student	0	0	0	0										23	0	9	65	26	4.0	345	0	6	54	39	3.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 1	0	0	0	0										0						24	0	25	71	4	5.7
Former LEP student - monitoring year 2	0	0	0	0										0						33	0	33	61	6	5.5
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	16	4	199	47	193	46	16	4	6.5	803	4	45	47	4	6.4	10,106	5	47	42	6	6.5
IEP																									
Students with an IEP	46	1	1	44	0	0	5	11	34	77	5	11	5.0	120	1	15	74	10	5.1	1,619	1	15	62	22	4.7
All Other Students	384	0	4	380	16	4	194	51	159	42	11	3	6.7	706	4	49	43	3	6.6	8,889	5	52	39	4	6.7
SES																									
Economically Disadvantaged Students	66	1	2	63	0	0	25	40	32	51	6	10	5.9	266	3	36	54	8	5.9	3,803	2	34	53	11	5.7
All Other Students	364	0	3	361	16	4	174	48	161	45	10	3	6.6	560	4	48	45	3	6.6	6,705	6	53	37	4	6.8
Migrant																									
Migrant Students	0	0	0	0										0						0					
All Other Students	430	1	5	424	16	4	199	47	193	46	16	4	6.5	826	4	44	48	4	6.4	10,508	5	46	43	7	6.4
Title I																									
Students Receiving Title I Services	1	0	0	1										4						2,670	2	33	53	13	5.6
All Other Students	429	1	5	423	16	4	199	47	192	45	16	4	6.5	822	3	44	48	4	6.4	7,838	6	50	39	5	6.7
504 Plan																									
Students with a 504 Plan	9	0	0	9										9						238	2	47	44	6	6.4
All Other Students	421	1	5	415	16	4	194	47	190	46	15	4	6.5	817	4	44	48	4	6.4	10,270	5	46	43	7	6.4

Level 4 = Proficient with Distinction; Level 3 = Proficient; Level 2 = Partially Proficient; Level 1 = Substantially Below Proficient

Note: Some numbers may have been left blank because fewer than ten (10) students were tested.